

CURRENT No. 572

Encl

222

18-2-98

From

The Revenue Department

Order No. 222 of 18-2-98.

Communicated to the
Accountant General

No. 687-M

2. This Sanction has been Dated 10th February 1898.
registered as No. 73 of 1897-98 Received 14/2/98

Cms
18/2/98

18/2.98

Registered 15.2.98

Enclosures 3 (one in duplicate)

Spare copies 2 1/2

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning proposition statement for the entertainment of an Abkari clerk on Rs. 25/ in the Ganjam Collectorate in connection with the introduction of tree-tax system.

To

The Acct Genl (Rtd) Secy to Govt.
in Rev Deptt (Rtd).

18/2/98

18/2/98

BACK PAPERS. ✓

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
R244:	24	2-97	
R54:	23	1-95	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S REPORT.

No. Ad
362

The entries in the column of "Present Scale" have been compared with the Registers of this office, and are correct, with the exception of the items altered in red ink.

The proposed increase is within the sanctioning power of the Local Government.

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Fort St. George.

27 January 1898.

Accountant-General.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

DEPARTMENT.

No.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

The

189

Secretary to the Government
of Fort St. George.

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

[illegible]

To

The Secretary to Government, *Revenue* Department, *General*
through the Accountant-General, with reference to G.O., No. 1695,
dated the 23rd September 1878, Financial Department.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

(Signature) A Bhatnagar
(Officer's Designation) Assistant Secretary

1008
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. _____
Current No. 403

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE (SEPARATE REVENUE).

READ—the following letter from H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., Acting Collector of Ganjam, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue, dated Camp Cheligodo, 26th November 1897, Dis. No. 2465-Ver. :—

I have the honour to request that an additional clerk on Rs. 25 may be sanctioned in the vernacular branch of my office establishment for abkari work in connection with the tree-tapping system.

2. In the last paragraph of his letter, Dis. No. 198-Ab., dated 11th November 1896, Mr. Weir, in recommending an increase in taluk establishments, stated that he would for the present decline to recommend that the Huzur office be strengthened but that it would probably be necessary to apply later on for an additional clerk on Rs. 25. I consider that the time has now arrived when this additional establishment is really needed.

3. The work in the abkari branch of the office has of late years very greatly increased, and the enclosed statement A shows the number of vend areas and shops under the several heads of abkari revenue from 1893-94 to 1897-98. It will be observed that the increase in the last two years has been very large and that this increase is due almost entirely to the extension of the tree-tapping system.

4. The extra amount of revenue involved is not very great it is true, but the correct criterion of the amount of work or checking of account is not the amount of revenue but the number of shops for each of which a separate account has to be maintained. I may note however, that the tree-tax demand for the toddy year ending September 1897 was Rs. 34,399 as against Rs. 22,664 of the previous year, and that the demand is likely still further to expand.

5. In spite of repeated circulars and most precise instructions, I find it at present impossible to secure harmony in the monthly D.C.B. demand between the Taluk and Huzur accounts and so far as I can see the only remedy for this state of things is for a periodical inspection of taluk account to be held in the Huzur office. For this work the present Abkari Accountant cannot possibly spare the time.

6. At present owing to the discrepancies between the Taluk and Huzur demand and the references thereby entailed, I find it almost impossible to submit my monthly excise D.C.B. statement by the prescribed date, viz., the 15th of each month.

7. It is against my wish to propose any increased establishment under the present financial pressure, and I should not do so had not a year's trial convinced me of the necessity for the extra clerk now asked for; and I trust that the Board will see its way to recommending this relief, without which it appears hopeless to try and get the Huzur and Taluk accounts under the tree-tapping system to agree, or to secure punctual submission of the monthly excise D.C.B. statement.

8. A proposition statement is enclosed.

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT showing the number of farms and shops under the several items from 1893-94 to 1897-98.

Details.	Number in				
	1893-94.	1894-95.	1895-96.	1896-97.	1897-98.
Arrack vend areas	35	35	35	38	37
Independent arrack shops	33	33	33	65	65
Agency arrack shops	8	17	49	75	81
Toddy vend areas	31	31	24	..	..
Independent toddy shops	138	33	111	..	..
Do. under tree-tapping system	..	171	165	429	423
Ganja shops	20	26	20	23	21
Opium farms	3	3	3	3	3
Independent opium shops	..	..	..	..	..
Foreign liquor shops	..	10	13	12	11
Total	268	359	453	645	643

RESOLUTION—No. 542, dated 14th December 1897.

Submitted for the orders of Government. The Board supports the Collector's recommendation. Ganjam is the only district in the Presidency which has not got a separate Abkari Clerk in the Collector's office.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) N. S. BRODIE,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) A. KRISTNASAMI IYENGAR,
Ag. Assistant Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with a proposition statement in duplicate
(through the Accountant-General, Madras).

Exd. Md. Pahlivan.

$$\frac{F}{21} 5,000-1-5-97.$$

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

2 copy attached

— : —

/ True extract /

True extract /
Wetmore & Co.

Ag. Assistant-Secretary to Government.

Gobt. of {189 } Madras.

REVENUE.

To

Dated

Reed.

Encl.

Mis. G.O., 189 , No.
R.

SUBJECT.