

Finance ¹⁸⁹⁸ (193-) Department.

Routine SERIES.

G.O. No. 1075 R.

DATED 13-10-1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Through the Accountant-General.

Financial (1898) Department.

*Go 1075 Final
13-10-98*

CURRENT No. *2831*

From *H. A. Stuart Esq. A.B.S.,
Ag. Inspector General of Police,
Madras.*

Order

No. *3568.*

Dated *14th September/98.*

Received *10. Oct.*

Registered *11.*

Enclosures *enc. 2 (in duplicate)*

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Submitting proposition Statement for the addition of 3 clerks to the Inspector General's Office Establishment sanctioned in G.O. No. 1579. Judicial, dated 5th September 1898.

PARTM

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S REPORT.

No. A.D.S.
S.P.

THE entries in the column of "Present Scale" have been compared with the Registers of this office, and are correct, with the exception of the items altered in red ink.

2. The proposed increase is within the sanctioning powers of the Local Government.

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Fort Saint George,

7th October 1898.

W. Dawson
For Accountant-General.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

DEPARTMENT.

No.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,

The

189 . }

Secretary to the Government
of Fort St. George.

ned.

Ini
att

Statement of Proposition for revision of Establishment.

Under sanctioning present establishment. Government of Madras Financial Department.		Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE.										PROPOSITION.								Grounds of Proposition.	Local Government's recommendation.	ORDERS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.		
Present Scale.			Proposed Scale.								Permanent.				Temporary.				Home Department.	Financial Department.					
No.	Designation.		Pay.			Average cost.	No.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Remarks.	Remarks.		Orders.					
			Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.												Amount.	Amount.	Period.
No.	Date.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.			A.		RS.	A.			
		1		Manager		250	250	1		Manager		250	250												
		1		Storekeeper	150	10	200	187	8	1		Storekeeper	150	10	200	187	8								
		1		Head Clerk	120	6	150	142	8	1		Head Clerk	120	6	150	142	8								
		1		Head Auditor	90	6	120	112	8	1		Head Auditor	90	6	120	112	8								
		2		Clerks on Rs 80 each		160	160	2		Clerks on Rs 80 each		160	160												
		2		do " 75 "		150	150	2		do " 75 "		150	150												
		1		do " 65 "		65	65	1		do " 65 "		65	65												
		3		do " 60 each		180	180	3		do " 60 each		180	180												
		1		do " 50 "		50	50	1		do " 50 "		50	50												
		6		do " 45 each		270	270	6		do " 45 each		270	270												
		3		do " 40 "		120	120	3		do " 40 "		120	120												
		2		do " 35 "		70	70	2		do " 35 "		70	70												
		18		do " 30 "		300	300	11		do " 30 "		330	330	30											
		4		do " 25 "		100	100	4		do " 25 "		100	100												
		2		do " 20 "		40	40	3		do " 20 "		60	0	20											
		3		do " 18 "		54	54	3		do " 18 "		54	54												
		1		do " 15 "		15	15	2		do " 15 "		30	30	15											
		1		Attendant " 12		12	12	1		Attendant " 12		12	12												
		45				2306	2278	8	48			41	2343	8	65										
		31		Constabulary Servants &c.		277				31		277													

290 and A. No. 889

4th April 1898 and 16th August 1898 respectively.

Office of the Inspector General of Police.

Approved in G. O. No. 1379, Serial, dated 5th September 1898.

Approved in G.O. No. 1379, dated 5th September 1898.

Chief Office of the
Supt. Genl. of Police,
Madras 14th September 1898

To
The Secretary to Government,
through the Accountant-General,
dated the 23rd

Department,
reference to G.O., No. 1635,
for 1878, Financial Department.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Signature
(Officer's Designation, *Asst. Supt.*)

Form of Statement to accompany all applications for sanction to expenditure not provided for in Budget for 1898-1899.

(Form No. 17, C.A.C. Vol. I).

Expenditure not provided for:-

Rs 325

Of the Inspector General of Police

Amount proposed to be spent during current year

Rs 31.950

Amount proposed to be spent during future years

Rs 31.650 (including

Rs 24 addition sanctioned to a Lascar's pay in 30.8.89 Financial dated 13-8-1898 and Rs 120 Store-keeper's increment).

Proposed re-appropriation for current year.

1	2	3	4
Head of Estimate affected by the proposal	Actual expenditure up to date of proposal viz 26 th September 1898.	Amount as in the estimate sanctioned by Government.	Amounts as they will stand after re-appropriation.
Head under which the proposed expenditure will fall.			
20 B. Police Superintendence	15. 4 22	30. 7 25	310 50
Inspector General and his Establishment			
Total	15. 4 22	30. 7 25	310 50
Head under which it is proposed to reduce the grant			
20 B. Police Superintendence			
Inspector General and his Establishment	14 9	1. 100	7 75
Telegraph Charges			
Total	14 9	1. 100	7 75

Note: The cost of telegram requisitions from Railway Police which was paid at this office and debited to 20 B Superintendence has been provided for under 20 F Railway Police. Hence there is saving under 20 B. Superintendence.

W. Douglas Robertson

for Ag. Inspector General of Police

AA. R.

No. O-49.

DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

No. 3568.


MADRAS, 14th September 1898.

FROM

H. A. Stuart
M. HAMMICK, I.C.S., Esq., J.C.S.

Acting INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras,



TO

THE Chief Secretary
to Government.

Thro' the Accountant General.

SIR,

ENCLOSURES.

With reference to G.O. No. 1349, Judicial, dated 5th September 1898, I have the honour to submit a proposition statement, in duplicate, for the addition of three clerks therein sanctioned.

The additional cost will be met from savings under head 20 B Superintendence.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedt. Servt.

Douglas Robertson

for Ag. Inspector General of Police

NOTE FOR THE C. A.

I have been enquiring in the Asst. General's office about the proposition statement referred to in L.O. No. 1379 dated 5th Sept 1908. It was sent to Cstry yesterday & I believe that the Secy. of Police suggested that the present ~~...~~ might be met from savings in his own budget. In that case the Railway Police provision of Rs 26,000 need not be drawn on. A draft order in which this & the proposition statement might be disposed of together is submitted.

 J. A. S.
 8/10/98

24

To Financial (no)
 for personal in the ref. c.
 to G.O. 1379 Just
 d. 5.9.55
 Mr.
 22-9.55

I then
live to S

Issue G.O.
 Mr.
 25/5/55

Mr S
 Please put up
 papers re. A.S.B.
 India has, I think
 refused to accept
 our suggestion that
 he should stay in
 the G.O. office.

Mr.
 25/5/55

NOTE FOR THE C.S.

As 26000 provided in the
 Budget for the Ar
 Pola scheme is still
 untraced. The scheme is
 unlikely to be introduced
 in a whole this year (
 certain proposals of the
 I.C. for introducing parts
 of it will be separately
 submitted).

It is submitted that
 the Ar funds required
 for the constitutional
 clerks may be
 provided from the Ar
 Pola scheme provision.

Mr
 25/8/55 Gen. H. W. H. H. H.
 into all his knowledge
 to tell us about the I.C.'s
 position in Bengal & the
 points taken in H. W. H. H. H.

13/12/55
 of appropriate Stat

non no + copy of appropriate state