

Financial (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

These Proceedings

have been subsequently printed.

C. 7.
How has it been customary to
deal with such petty cases. As
City Inspector is and his
cases of a day or two overstaying.

MSA 7-1-98

24

never
any cases

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
ROUTINE SERIES
No. 100-44388-100

From

M. Hermann, Esq. & Co.
Inspector Sub. Police,
Madras

No. 4512

Dated

December 1897.

Received

159

12. 97.

Registered

115

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting that
L. Hilkinton, Asst. Super-
ice, Malapuram, may be
relieved from the operation of
the 1159, Civil Service Regulation
to enable him to draw halting
allowance for the day he
is at Pondicherry in
the course of 10 days.

Order In. 103 26 Jan 98

The claim will be admitted under § 1159 of the Civil Service Regs. With reference to the Algonquins, it is observed that Mr. Williamson maintained camp equisage

Ans
10.1292

Oct 2nd 1891 24th Oct 91 24th Oct 91

T 26/11/60

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
<i>1750/98</i>			
<i>1790/98</i>			
<i>2180/98</i>			
<i>1834/98</i>			
<i>2304/98</i>			

No. O-49.

DEPARTMENT.

No. 4512.

FROM

M. HAMMICK, I.C.S.,

INSPECTOR-G

C

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
MADRAS, 7th November 1897.

Esq.,

GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras,



To

THE

Chief Secretary.

to Government.

Fort St George.

SIR,

through the Accountant General.

ENCLOSURES.

I have the honor to state that Mr. W. L. Wilkinson, Assistant Superintendent of Police, Malabar, was obliged to halt at Pandikhal for 11 days in August last, viz. from 18th to 20th, 22nd to 24th and 26th to 30th having been engaged in the investigation of dacoity cases. Mr. Wilkinson maintained camp equipage during his halts and it was necessary to do so as he might have been called away on duty at any moment. The period of ten days halt is admissible under Article 1159, Civil Service Regulations, was overstayed only by one day and I think that he should have his daily allowance

for the day he stayed in excess. I therefore request that Government may be pleased to exempt his case from the operation of Article 159, Civil Service Regulations, to enable him to draw halting allowance for one day in excess of 10 days' halt at Paudit. Had.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. Servt.

M. M. M.

Inspector General of Police

2D

Ind. Pa.

No 3961

Forwarded to Government with reference to article 159 of the Civil Service Regulations. Sanctions can be accorded if Government consider that the officer was put to extra expense after the expiration of the first 10 days of the halt.

Fort Saint George
Accountant Genl. Office
14th December 1897.

W. M. M.

Offg. Accountant General

To the Chief Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

[3.]
[7.]
1.000-81-7-17

The Hon Sir A. Bliss.

B.O.C. are against the exemption for 11 days or more in favour of B.O.C. are later than 11 days or more. Cms

Mr. Williamson's was a special case perhaps but the principle in B.O.C. (altho not laid down definitely) is against the exemption. Cms

mentioned above

and will be commd to depots and heads of depots

Keep the principle in view

NOTE FOR THE Chief Asstt

For cases of halts of one or two days in excess of the 10 days' limit please see G.O. No. 20 Fml dated 11th Jan 97, 880 Fml dated 16th December 1896, 1624, Fml dated 3rd August 1895 and 910 Fml dated 29th October 1894. In the first two cases, similar claims were disallowed as the period by which the ten days' limit was exceeded was insignificant.

Submitted to the Chief Secy I did not recollect the G.O. mentioned above when drafting in the current.

The following revised order is sent for approval. Para. 2 will form a ~~para~~ standing order & will Order

The G.O. declines to entertain the application as the period by which the ten days' limit was exceeded was insignificant. 2. The G.O. will not entertain applications for exemption from the operation of article 159 of

the C.S.R. unless the period in excess
of the 10 days limit prescribed therein
is above 8 days

Curb
18/1/98

MA 18.1.98

Lt Police (9 officers) 1/20 in miss 1
Depts of the Sect } para 2 in print
All heads of Depts }

My

Copy to T. A. file

Anyway this is not a
good case in which to base
such a general rule is proposed,
which is doubtful for the reason
given by the C.S. - Let Mr. W. have
his extra day. But I think
the L.G. might be told D.O. that
the C.S.'s example, as Cdr. (see
docket), is worthy of imitation

W.S.

19/1/98

⊗ For orders. - This day?

Curb

MA

H.M.

I think a rule might be
made to this effect.
But then officers will stay
four days in order to
get a reference. The
only way to meet this
would be if the case
seemed a proper one
to pass the allowance
only for the fourth &
following days.

MA 18.1.98

G.
74
1.000-3-9-87

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

The draft on the
docket of the current may
issue?

The following D.O. to the
D.G. of Police is submitted for
approval:-

27. 1. 98.

My dear Hammick,

With reference
to G.O. R. No. 103 Dnt of the 26th instant
sanctioning the exemption of
Mr. Williamson, Asst. Supdt. of Malapomam
from the operation of article 1159
of the C.S.R. for his ^{by one day} ~~extra~~ days
overhaul of the ten days limit
last August, I ^{with an} ~~am~~ to suggest
that you should refuse to send up
to ^{for} ~~for~~ petty cases involving a day
or two or no day

Curb
21.1.98

MA 21.1.98

M. Hammick Esq D.C.S.

D.G. of Police

Dec 27/1/98

Done
25/1/98

Office
File this D.O.
With this f.d. in the papers
Curb

Government {1898} of Madras.
FINANCIAL.

ROUTINE.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1900.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., R. No. 103, 26th January 1898.

JANUARY.

Halts in excess of Ten Days.

Admitting, under article 1159 of the Civil Service Regulations, the claim of Mr. Wilkinson, Assistant Superintendent of Police, Mallapuram, to daily allowance for the days he halted at Pandikkad in August last in connection with the investigation of certain dacoity cases.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S., Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Accountant-General), dated Madras, 7th December 1897, No. 4512.

I have the honour to state that Mr. H. L. Wilkinson, Assistant Superintendent of Police, Mallapuram, was obliged to halt at Pandikkad for eleven days in August last, viz., from 18th to 20th, 22nd to 24th and 26th to 30th, having been engaged in the investigation of dacoity cases. Mr. Wilkinson maintained camp equipage during his halts and it was necessary to do so as he might have been called away on duty at any moment. The period of ten days' halts admissible under article 1159, Civil Service Regulations, was overstayed only by one day, and I think that he should have his daily allowance for the day he stayed in excess. I therefore request that Government may be pleased to exempt his case from the operation of article 1159, Civil Service Regulations, to enable him to draw halting allowance for one day in excess of ten days' halts at Pandikkad.

No. 3961.

Forwarded to Government with reference to article 1159 of the Civil Service Regulations. Sanction can be accorded if Government consider that the officer was put to extra expense after the expiration of the first ten days of the halt.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
14th December 1897.

(Signed) F. C. HARRISON,
Offg. Accountant-General.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

ORDER—R. No. 103, Financial, dated 26th January 1898.

The claim will be admitted under section 1159 of the Civil Service Regulations. With reference to the Accountant-General's endorsement it is observed that Mr. Wilkinson maintained camp equipage.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.
„ Inspector-General of Police.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., R. No. 103, FINANCIAL, DATED
26TH JANUARY 1898.

From the Inspector-General of Police, No. 4512, dated 7th December 1897.
„ „ Accountant-General No. 3961, dated 14th December 1897.

For the Chief Secretary—

Exemptions from the operation of article 1159 of the Civil Service Regulations are permissible if Government is satisfied that (i) a halt beyond ten days was necessary in the interests of the public service and (ii) that it were necessary to maintain camp equipage during the prolonged halt. Both the conditions were fulfilled in Mr. Wilkinson's case and the Accountant-General's endorsement is not understood.

C. M. SCHMIDT.
20-12-97.

Chief Assistant—

How has it been customary to deal with such petty cases? As Collector J refused to send up cases of a day or two overstay.

A. T. ARUNDEL.
7-1-98.

Note for the Chief Assistant—

For cases of halts of one or two days in excess of the ten days' limit please see B and c are against the exemption for eleven G.Os., No. 20, Financial, dated 11th days, d and e in its favour. B and c are January 1897, No. 880, Financial, dated later than d and e. 16th December 1896, No. 1624, Judicial, C.M.S. dated 3rd August 1895, and No. 910, Mr. Wilkinson's was a special case perhaps, but the principle on B and C (although not Financial, dated 29th October 1894. In the first two cases similar claims were laid down definitely) is against the exemption. disallowed as the period by which the ten days' limit was exceeded was insignificant. C.M.S.

S. PANCHAPAGESAN.
10-1-98.

Submitted to the Chief Secretary. I did not recollect the later orders mentioned above. The following revised order is submitted for approval. Paragraph 2 will form a standing order and will be communicated to departments and heads of departments and will keep the principle in view:—

Draft Order.

The Government declines to entertain the application as the period in excess of the ten days' limit was insignificant.

2. The Government will not entertain applications for exemption from the operation of article 1159 of the Civil Service Regulations unless the period in excess of the ten days' limit prescribed therein is above * days.

* For Orders—Three days?
C.M.S.

A.T.A.

C. M. SCHMIDT.
18-1-98.

Honourable Member—

I think a rule might be made to this effect. But then officers will stay *four* days in order to get a reference. The only way to meet this would be if the case seemed a proper one to pass the allowance only for the fourth and following days.

A. T. ARUNDEL.
18-1-98.

Any way this is not a good case on which to base such a general rule as proposed, which is doubtful for the reason given by the Chief Secretary. Let Mr. Wilkinson have his extra day. But I think the Inspector-General might be told demi-officially that the Chief Secretary's example as Collector is worthy of imitation.

H.W.B[LISS].
19-1-98.

Demi-official from the Chief Secretary to Government, to the Inspector-General of Police, dated 27th January 1898.

With reference to G.O., R. No. 103, Financial, dated the 26th instant, sanctioning the exemption of Mr. Wilkinson, Assistant Superintendent of Mallapuram, from the operation of article 1159 of the Civil Service Regulations for his overstayal by one day of the ten days' limit last August, I am to suggest that you should refuse to send up to Government petty cases involving a day or two overstay.