

Financial (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 874

Dated 27-10-97

INDEXED.

13/13

W1
26/10/97

[Despatch Abstract.]

is not prepared to reconsider the orders already passed regarding the Contribution to be paid by Govt. to the Municipal Hospital, Bimlipatam, but that the case of the Municipal Hospital, Cocanada, will be dealt with in the Public Dept. in connection with the general question of the reorganization of police hospitals.

T 27/10/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
247/97	1741						
	26848						

Financial Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3053

Ref
There was a loss
of 10 on the general
subject last year or
year but not original
179 Feb
20-2-97
terminated
179 97

CURRENT NO 2889

Order No 966 dated 15th Sept. 1897.

Transferred to the
Financial Department.

From

The Chairman,
Municipal Council
Cocanada

No. 675

Spoken
Secretary to Government

Dated 20th August 1897

Received 4 AUG. 1897

Registered 24-8-1897

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting a copy
of the Council's resolution,
regarding Hospital
contribution on account
of seamen &c

The Financial Department.

119 2/9/97

Reference

See paras 9, 10 & 11 of

the note flagged in the
original of G.O. No 179 File of
20. 2. 97. Put up a flag the
paper quoted there. Also see at
the end of para II regarding contrib-
utions to local bodies for treating

Police sick. Inquire of Pub. Res. &
S. A. what the state of this subject
is put up recent papers regarding
it

См. 8.

18-9-97

Wm. B. Dwyer
21-9-97

Pub. Rep. & S.A. Say they do not know, but on further search it is found that 800 1115 Pub of 96, 233 977 & 638 of 97 on Pubcos 3262 of 96, 298 & 136 977 respectively, which are replies to 800 Pub of 96 ^{benefit up} ~~have some in com.~~ with Pubcos 3066 977 / _{presented} Report on Police Hospitals / May they be awaited?

File No 2967 of 97 re Contribution to the Bimblebipetum Humungus!
Hogel has since come & has been linked with this.

27-9-97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No	Department.	Date.
fo	543	L	18-3-97
"	1744	h	9-8-97
Ordinary Rpt	179	h	20-2-97
"	565	"	22-7-97
"	503	"	28-6-97
R	520	"	24-97
P	207	"	5-7-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. Sent out to Public Lib. C.

Dr. 2. 4. 1911

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Cocanada,

passed at its Meeting held

on Saturday the

19th June 1897.

PRESENT :

Mr. P. V. Srinagajulu as Chairman.
Do Rao Bahadur P. Srinivasulu
as Ex officio Councilor.

Captain G. W. Wicks _____ 50

Reverend H. H. Laflamme _____ do

G. H. White Esquire _____ 00

Surgeon Captain P. C. Sabbe - do

In RB₃ K. serraju same — do

Do 8. Vee Kata Kata nam jani - do

20 N. Padmanabhaiah June 20

200 80. Chittaiya fane — 80

Do M. Sarva rāzudufam — Do

50 P. A. Rajajeevarane — 50

50 B. Anisoparazufam - 50

NaKhoda Dada Sahib Jams. — 50

17. Read letter N^o. 162 dated 13th May 1897, from The Civil Surgeon, Cocacunda forwarding a Statement of the number of Sea men treated here together with G. O N^o. 543d., dated 18th March 1897

Resolved that the Government be addressed to continue the usual contribution of Rs 600 from provincial

Items on the grounds referred to
in the Civil Surgeon's letter.

(Sd) Mr. Somayajulu
Chairman Delegate

(True Extract)

Municipal Office
Cocanada 20th Aug
1897

Chairman

Office of Civil Surgeon
Cocanada 13th May 1897.

From

Surgeon Captain. P. C. Gabbett

Civil Surgeon

Cocanada.

To

The Chairman

Municipal Council

Cocanada.

No. 162.

Reference.

His letter no III dated 28th April 1897.

Subject.

Sir

With reference to your letter no III
of 28th April 1897, I have the honour to append
a statement of the number of seamen treated here.
Seamen are however a source of profit rather than
loss to the Hospital so no claim could be successfully
made on their account for the Contribution from
Government or Port Funds. There is however a
Police ward in Hospital (of which figures are given)
towards which Government pays nothing extra
also during 1894-95. 1408 Government servants

Seamen

1894-95 - 16

1895-96 - 12


1896-97 - 9

Police

1894-95 - 131

1895-96 - 76

1896-97 - 102



were treated as outpatients figures for subsequent years cannot be obtained, but there are always a large number of Government servants attending as in or out patients. Salt ponds, policemen, Clerks, schoolmasters, Forest employees, Railway Telegraph employees &c. The total is very large & in my opinion Government should contribute more not less than Rs 600 as its due share.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

(Sd) D. C. Lalibett.

Surgeon Captain

Civil Surgeon

(True copy)

Municipal office
Cocanada 20-8-97

W Ben nji
Chairman

No 675

Municipal office
Cocanada 20th August 1897

From

Mr R. Perayal 3155/R
Chairman, Municipal Council
Cocanada

To

The Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras.

Sir

* on Ind. Co. 179
20-2-97

With reference to G. O. No 5432 dated 18th March 1897 on the Subject of Government Contribution to hospitals and dispensaries, I have the honor to submit a copy of the Council's resolution and the Civil Surgeon's letter and request that the Subject may receive the favourable consideration of Government.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

W Ben nji
Chairman

2/2055/2008/807

1897
2

Financial (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 2267

From

The L & M Dept.

Order

No. 1983 m.

Dated 15-9-97.

Received 23-9-97

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Contribution.

Having, with much, that the
request of the M. Council,
Bairdipalau that the usual
— be continued, of one-fourth
of the pay of the apothecary in 1/8
charge of the M. Hospital
and be considered in the F. Dept.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:—

From the Surgeon General with the Government of Madras,
No. T 3/22, dated 22nd August 1897.

In forwarding the accompanying application from the Chair-
-man, Municipal Council, Eimlipatam, I have the honour to state
that there are no junior Civil apothecaries on Rs 75 ie (pay
Rs 50 with charge allowance of Rs 25) available to meet the
request of the Municipality.

2. Eimlipatam is an important ^{municipal} town and a port and as such
an experienced Civil apothecary is very necessary there.

3. In this connection, I would invite the attention of Go-
vernment to my letter No. 26 dated the 15th January 1895 ad-
dressed to Government in the Public Department in which ^{the} diffi-
-culties of getting men on this low rate of pay was pointed
out.

(Enclosures)

From the Chairman, Municipal Council, Eimlipatam, No. 160,
dated 4th August 1897.

I have the honour to inform you that the Civil Apothe-
cary who is now in charge of this municipal Hospital is draw-
ing Rs 125 per mensem including $\frac{1}{4}$ contribution from Provinci-
-al funds. But, of late, the Accountant General under G.O. No.
179 Financial dated 28th February 1897 disallowed the payment
of $\frac{1}{4}$ of his pay for the months of March and April '97 saying
that $\frac{1}{8}$ contribution is admissible according to the said G.O.

2. It is with great difficulty this poor Municipality is
paying Rs 125 per mensem to the apothecary in charge of the
Hospital including the $\frac{1}{4}$ contribution from Government. Sub-
sequently the Government has reduced their aid from $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{8}$,
the remaining $\frac{1}{8}$ must naturally fall upon the Municipality.

22.10.7 absolutely necessary

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

With ref to paras of
the Finl Dept note it is sub-
mitted that it was the Finl
Dept that remarked that pro-
vision would be made for
remuneration at a fixed
rate to local fund and
municipal hospitals
where police are treated.

It is true that the whole
question of the reorgan-
isation of police hospitals
is still under consideration.

(Po 1006 Feb 25. 7. 97),
but the suggestion of the
Surgeon that the con-
tribution to local boards
for the services of House
Aids should be fixed
at Rs 5 per mansew
instead of being as now
1/8th of the pay of the
well subordinate
has been vetoed by the
Lm Dept (vide notes
flashed N²). It does
not therefore seem to be

C.W.
22.10.7 absolutely necessary
that

para 7980 179 Buld 20.2.97
(F)

in cases where the police are accommodated
in a ward of a local fund hospital

R

that the disposal of the
present question should
await a decision
in Public on the general
question of reorganization

At the same time the Finl
Dept in its draft order
declines to reconsider
orders previously passed,
and as in the case of
Cocanada a Police ward
is concerned, there seems
to be no objection to
considering the case
later in dealing with
the general question

Car
22/10/17
Recd
23/10/17

[G.]
25
800 - 2-9-97.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 2889 & 2967

~~HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.~~

THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.

~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

SUBJECT.

Contributions to hospitals
& dispensaries -

FOR ORDERS.

To Public Dept
for counterinitials.
with up to 10 anna
3 of the up to
the draft order

Ora:6

In G.O. No. 179, dated 20th February 1897, the question of continuing the payment ^{Provincial} of contributions to certain dispensaries was discussed and it was remarked that there appeared ^{to be} no reason why in the case of Cocanada and Bimlipatnam Provincial funds should contribute more than the usual proportions, viz. ^{1/8} of the pay and allowances of the medical subordinates ~~attached~~. Accordingly a contribution to that extent ^{accordingly} only was ordered to be made in future; but the Municipalities concerned were allowed to address Government in case any considerable number of

of seamen were treated at these dispensaries in view to a suitable contribution being made from Port-funds.

2 The Currents read above are appeals from these two Municipalities against this decision. ~~of Government~~ ~~referred to in the premises~~ ~~from~~. Paras 9 and 11 of the note for orders in G.O. No. 179, Fint, dated 20th February 1897, give the history of the contributions made to these two dispensaries. ~~For the purpose of the same~~ The contribution of Rs. 1440 made to Locanada in 1871 from Port Funds was ^{discontinued} under G.O. No. 749 Fint, dated 12th April 1880, discontinued, and an application from Bimlipatam for similar aid from Port-funds was disallowed. In the ~~former~~ ^{of Locanada} case the present contribution of Rs. 600 per annum ~~was~~ sanctioned on the withdrawal of the Port-fund grant in consideration of the services rendered ^{by the institution} ~~and medicines supplied~~ to

reduced by the order appealed against to the usual 1/8th

G.O. No. 22862 Fint.
12 May 1881.

~~to the Government Subordinates~~
~~of the Port-funds~~
~~in the case of~~

When Bimlipatam which was refused aid from Port-funds, as stated above, the Municipality, was told that if no contribution from Government was ~~already~~ received an application might be made for it in consideration of the services rendered to Government officials; and, on receipt of such an ^{the} application, a moiety ^{Rs} (75) of the salary of the medical officer was sanctioned as contribution from Provincial funds. This proportion was in 1888 reduced to 1/4 of the pay of the medical subordinate under para 4 of G.O. No. 12815 Fint, dated 5th Nov 1888.

3 In the first Current read above the Municipal Council, Locanada, requests that the contribution of Rs. 600 from Provincial funds may be continued on the grounds ~~advanced by the~~ ~~same~~

G.O. No. 1165 Fint,
11 June 1880.

K²

on these grounds
that there is a Police ward
in the hospital towards
which Government pays
nothing extra, the number of
Police treated during the last
three years having been 131,
76 and 102 respectively;
that during 1894-95, 1408 Govern-
ment servants were treated
as out-patients, figures
for subsequent years not
being available, there being
always a large number of
Government servants such as
Salt-pans, Police men, Clerks,
School masters, Forest employees,
Railway and Telegraph employees
attending as in or out-patients;
that the total is very large
and that the Govt. should
therefore in this opinion
contribute, now, not less than
Rs 6000 as its due share.
In para 7 of G.O. No. 179,
Hil, dated 20th February 1897,
it was remarked that in the
orders which were expected
shortly to issue on the subject
of

56 put up paper R.
A Vide docket of G.O.
No. 1006 Public &
28 July 1897 put
up here & flagged R.
Will Public
please see
Cmt

7th mil del.
Proposals for the reorganisation of
Police hospitals has been referred
back to the Surgeon General of the E.I.
Police for a joint report
which has not yet been
received vide G.O. 1006 Pub
d/25.7.97 (R)

CW
22.10.7

of Police hospitals, provision
would be made for remuneration
at a fixed rate to Local
fund and Municipal hospitals
where police were treated.
It is understood that the
subject is still under consi-
deration in the Public Dept.,
and it is submitted that orders
on the present application
from the Locanada Municipality
must for the present be
deferred. From para 1 supra
it will be observed that the
Municipalities concerned
were allowed to address
Government in case any
considerable number of
seamen were treated at the
dispensaries in view to a
suitable contribution being
made from Port-funds. It
must be noted that the
present application from
Locanada is not made on
these grounds as the Civil
Surgeon considers seamen
a source of profit rather
than loss to the hospital

In the second Current
 read above the Bimlipatam
 Municipal Council requests
 that the contribution of $\frac{1}{4}$ of
 the Apothecary's pay, which
 has by the order appeared
 against been reduced to
 $\frac{1}{8}$, may be continued, or
 that a junior Civil Apothecary
 on Rs 75 may be deputed
 by the Surgeon General in the
 room of the one on Rs 125
 at present in charge. The
 main reason for the present
 application is the financial
 condition of the Municipality;
 but it is ~~stated above~~ ^{apparently} that the application
~~is not supported by the~~
 Local and Municipal Dept.,
 which has with reference
 to the alternative request
 drawn the attention of the
 Municipal Council to the re-
 marks of the Surgeon General
 who states that there are no
 junior Civil Apothecaries
 on Rs 75 available to meet
 the request of the Municipality;
 that Bimlipatam is an
 important

important municipal town and
 a port and that as such an
 experienced Civil Apothecary is
 very necessary there. It is submitted
 that sufficient reasons have
 not been advanced for a recon-
 sideration of the orders passed
 in this case.

The following draft
 order is subd. for approval:-

Order
~~no~~ The Govt is not prepared
 to reconsider the orders already
 passed

The following draft order
 is subd. for approval:-

Order W. 874 of 27 Oct 97.
~~no~~ The Govt is not prepared
 to reconsider the orders already
 passed but the case of Cocanada
 will be ^{dealt with} ~~considered~~ in the
 Public Dept in connection with
 the general question of the
 reorganization of Police
 hospitals

CMB
 19/1/98
 23/10/97

S.G. La Porta 101
 A.G. La Porta 101
 Lotu (a... 101
 Public Debt / a 101

passed 29/1/97

for copying 26/10/7
ed 27/10/1897

No. DATED

TO C. No

OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A /

OF GOVERNMENT

MENT WITH DITTO

APERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.