

25-3-14 D.L. ✓
15-10-14 ✓

Financial (1897) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 828

Dated 5-10-97

INDEXED.

5/6

W4
7/10/97

[Despatch Abstract.]

stating that the claim
for daily allowance of Major W.L.
C. Baddeley R.E., Sup^r Engr^r II circle,
for the period he overhauled at
Madras in July last, is inadmissible

T-7/10

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[F.]
28
1,000-25-11-96.

Financial (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 2791

BC
mt
cul
mln

From

P. to D.

Order

No. 1320 D

Dated 7 }
Received 7 } Sept 97
Registered 9 }

Enclosures 2 in. 8751 with 2 inches

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Transf. with remarks papers
re: the claim of the Suptg.
Engineer 2nd class to daily all-
owances, during his halt at
Madras.

Obtained
22/9
23/9

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	342	High	of 96
	34	—	of 96
	51	—	of 96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO. 2791
No. 1320 D

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM

Endorsement

Recd 2/8/97

Cotacamund

Dated Madras 7th September 1897.

From the Superintending Engineer
II Circle, No. 1257 K dated 30th July 1897.

Do — No. 1454 K dated 20th August

From the Examiner, P. W. Accounts
No. 2783 D/2. dated 31st August 1897.

Transferred to the Financial
Department with reference to G.
K. 342 Financial dated 19th May 1897
and with the remark that the claim
seems admissible under article 1159
Civil Service Regulations.

J. H. Parnell Secy. P. W. D.,
Secretary to Govt.

+ 2 pps

To The Financial Department.

Sup 2-10-97
R 57097

Financial DEPARTMENT

C. No. 279

~~HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.~~

THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.

~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

SUBJECT.

*Claim for daily allowance
for more than ten days*

FOR ORDERS.

*This is a claim prepared
by the Superintendent Engineer, II
first, for exemption from the
operation of article 1159 of the
Civil Service Regulations. Major
Baddley left Poona on the
3rd July and arrived in Madras
on the 5th idem for the purpose
of going through the plans
and estimates of the Coom
Improvement scheme and
discussing with the Executive
Engineer, Buckingham Canal
Division, other questions
connected with the proposed
Harbour Boat Basin and the
Buckingham Canal. Further
on the 15th July he ^{received} tele-
graphed ^{from} the Chief
Engineer for Irrigation to
await*

await his arrival in Madras.

Major Baddley accordingly asks that he may, under article 1159(b), be exempted from the operation of the rule against drawing daily allowance for more than 10 days of a halt at one place. He states that for the period 16th to 23rd July both days inclusive. He states that during the time he was at Madras he paid Rs 42 for the hire of a carriage and horse without which he could not have done the work he had to do and that he was therefore put to extra expense which was of the kind that travelling allowance is intended to meet. Daily allowance for the first 10 days has already been passed to him and the Public Works Dept^y transfer the claim for the rest of the period with the remark that it seems admissible under article 1159(b) of the Civil Service Regulations.

2 From the notes in G.O. 12761, dated 13th Sept 1895, it

G.O. No. 600 Fink, 20th Augt 1895. B

Costy Ref

This appears to be the G.O. Please see docket flagged B & put up original.

Rs 60 = 6 x 10. Vide No. 110 in Appendix 25, p. 63 of Appendices to C.S. Regs.

The claim cannot, it is sub^d, be admitted in face of the

29/9/97

it will be observed that attention was drawn to Mr. E. Clark's case in which the Govt of India took exception to carriage hire being considered as expense of the kind that daily allowance was intended to meet. Again in the case of the Educational officers disposed of in para 2 of G.O. No. 34 Fink dated 14th Jan 1896 claims based on the plea that heavy expenses were incurred at the place of halt on account of boarding, carriage &c were likewise disallowed. Major Baddley's claim cannot, it is submitted, in the face of these cases, be supported. It will moreover be seen that the daily allowance for ten days already passed to him more than covers the extra expense of Rs 42 to which he was put during the whole period of halt at Madras.

The ruling of the G.O. I recorded in G.O. No. 34 Fink: 14 Jan 1896 which restricts the extra

Our expense contemplated in § 1159 (6)
 May of the C. S. R. to expenditure
 of the nature of "actual travelling
 expenses" as defined in article
 15 of the C.S.R. ~~1000000000~~ The
 Supd. Engineer cannot be granted
 a conveyance allowance under article
 1186 of the C. S. R. as he is entitled
 to daily allowance under the Regs.

Cwd. 4.10.97
 110797
 110697

Order W. 828 of 5 October '97
 In view of the ruling of
 the Govt. of India contained in
 G.O. No. 34 Fint. of 14 Jan'y '96
 the claim for exemption from
 the operation of § 1159 of
 the C. S. R. is inadmissible
 (From Order)

Cwd. 5.10.97
 P. WD (9.10)
 will orig papers set?

Then given for copying
 Then copied 7/10
 Then examined
 Then signed

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 189
 DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

OF GOVERNMENT

MENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A