

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Financial (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1650

W. Carriacade

Note please

*Civil
8/6/97*

From

*The Superintendent
of Stationery
Madras*

Encl. No. 1230 of 11 June 1897.
Order

*The Supt of Stationery
is requested to be so good
as to forward samples of
the 20 ~~the~~ double foolscap
and of the 10 ~~the~~ single foolscap
referred to by him in his
letter No. 947 of 27th May '97
(by order)*

*Civil
11/6/97*

No. 7
28 MAY 1897
CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Dated 27 May 1897
Received 28th May 97
Registered 31
Enclosures one
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Forwarding a copy of letter from
the Director-General of Stores
for information, regarding the
additional cost involved
by the watermarking of writing
papers.*

*Supdt of Stty (20) Dec/22
11/6/97*

*K. K. K.
NRS to examine
18/10/96*

M 5-6-97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

S. 5397.

India Office,
Whitehall
London - S. W.
29 April 1897.

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 19 March 1897 No. 424 inquiring what additional cost is involved by watermarking writing paper with the words "Government of India", I have the honor to inform you that the watermark would make practically little addition to the price, probably about £ 2 on an order, irrespective of the quantity.

An important point is that watermarking makes any paper that may be rejected unsaleable, and necessitates its destruction, thus exposing a Contractor to loss and possibly indirectly enhancing the price. It not infrequently happens that a make of watermarked paper is not up to standard, and is therefore necessarily rejected and thus is a risk which cannot be over-looked by any manufacturer. It is possible therefore that some saving might be effected by the omission of the watermark.

It is thought that watermarking might also be omitted with advantage from the Double Foolscap cream laid 20 lbs paper, being of the same quality as the other description.

I have &c
(Sd) E. Grant Burles
Secretary General of Stores
(True copy)

J. N. Atkinson
49. Superior &c

CURRENT No. 1650

Stationery Office,
Madras, 27 May 1897.

No. 947

From

J. W. Atkinson Esq., C.S.,
Ag. SUPERINTENDENT OF STATIONERY,
MADRAS,

To

The Hon'ble Mr. J. F. Price C.S.I.
Chief Secretary to Government,
Financial Department

SIR,

A

With reference to G.O. Financial No. 226 dated 10 March 1897, I have the honor to enclose for your information a copy of letter No. S. 5397 dated 29 April 1897, from the Director-General of Stores, India Office, London. The papers from which the watermark with the words "Government of India" is suggested to be omitted are single and double Foolscap cream laid 10 lbs and 20 lbs respectively. These papers are used for drafts and fair copies of ordinary letters &c. The saving to be effected by the omission of the watermark would be about £2 on an order, irrespective of the quantity.

Mr. C
Put up & flag
Samples
Cust.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

J. W. Atkinson
Ag. Superintendent

1807
Fol. Dept.
Knots. No. 1
No. 1350
O. No.

Financial (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1865

From

The Superintendent
of Stationery,
Madras

Order

No. 1056

Dated

17 June 1897

Received

19

Registered

19 June 97

Enclosures 2 samples

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding samples of the
20 lbs double Foolscap and
10 lbs single Foolscap cream
laid paper.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Double Frodo-cup cream 20 lbs

Single Foodstuff Cream 10 lbs

1897
Ind. Dept.
Enolos. No. 1
To
G. No. 1865

Single Foodstuff Cream 10 lbs

Department of Agriculture

Other Foodstuff Cream 10 lbs

Write reference to your name
and date no 1200 dated 11 June 1897
to have the date to forward cream
samples of the 20 lbs double cream
and 10 lbs single Foodstuff Cream
last paper.

of have the date to be
your most valuable account

1897
Ind. Dept.
Enolos. No. 2
To
G. No. 1865

CURRENT No. 1865

Stationery Office,
Madras, 17 June 1897.

No. 1056

From

J. V. Atkinson Esq., C.S.,
Ag. SUPERINTENDENT OF STATIONERY,
MADRAS,

To

The Under-Secretary to Government,
Financial Department
Ootacamund

SIR,

E With reference to your memo-
randum no. 1230 dated 11 June 1897,
I have the honor to forward herewith
samples of the 20 lbs Double Foolscap
and 10 lbs single Foolscap Cream
laid paper.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

J. V. Atkinson
Ag. Superintendent

WTR

1897
Fml. Dept.
Encls. No. 2
To
Q. No. 1865

C. No 1650

In February last the Supt. of Stationery, at the instance of the Director of Stores at the India office, raised the question whether the practice of watermarking the cheaper paper supplied to Govt should be continued.

The Supt. reported that the watermarking added to the cost of the paper. He could not, however, state the amount ^{when asked to do so} as there

was no information available in his office on the subject;

but from a reference made to Messrs Arbutnot & Co, the

Agents at Madras, ^{or} the Bengal Paper Mills Co, he learnt

that no extra charge was made by that company for

watermarking paper supplied to Govt. He was then

requested to ascertain from the Director General of Stores

(1) from what papers the latter would suggest that

the water-mark should be omitted and (2) what

savin

G.O. No. 226 Fin d/10-3-97 C 400 A

C No 686 A

G.O. No. 226 d/10-3-97 A

saving in expense would
be effected by the omission.

2. The Supt. now (C.N. 1650)
forwards the reply he has
received from the India
office. The Director General
states that the water-marking
of papers makes practically
little addition to the price,
probably about £2 on an
order, irrespective of the
quantity, ~~but~~ that the
important point is that ~~as~~
papers have not unfrequent-
ly to be rejected for not being
up to the standard, ^{and} that as
rejection of papers marked
with the words "Govt of India"
~~would~~ renders such paper
unsaleable ~~and~~ that ~~the~~
contractors ^{are possibly} might be led to
enhance their price if this
requirement be insisted on.

3. ~~As to~~ The quality of papers
from which the Director
General suggests that the
words "Government of India"
should be omitted are the
double foolscap cream laid
20 lbs and the single foolscap

cap, 10 lbs which are papers
of the same quality and are
used for drafts and fair
copies of ordinary letters. The
papers are ~~very cheap and of~~
~~very poor quality~~ presence of
the water mark will reduce
the chances of speculation
but it is also to be remarked
that the paper is a very
cheap one and of ~~very~~ poor
quality. The cheapness of poor
quality would however, in the
absence of the water mark, render
the papers most liable to be
stolen & used for private purposes.
Submitted that it is not worthwhile
to disturb present arrangements

C. N. 1650
19.6.97
I agree. The saving would
be quite inappreciable
28/6/97.

J/ C. N. 1650 & 1865
ORDER W. 504 of 29th June 97
M. 1

The Govt. does not consider
it necessary to alter existing
arrangements as the saving would
apparently be inappreciable

C. N. 1650
28/6/97
18/6/97

M. 5.6.97

Supd. of Stat. 206640
677/97

When given or copying 117
 When copied 217
 When examined 317
 When signed _____

189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED _____
 189 ,

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.