

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No 445

Dated 4- 6- 97

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Expenditure on the Mayavaram-Mutpet Railway.
Stating that this post has no objection to the entire—
for 1897-8 and future years, including Rs 82,000
on the Peralam Station, being debited to "37
Construction of Railways - Provincial" as hitherto,
but that all the outlay thus incurred should be fully
recovered from the Tanjore Dist Bd., no portion
being retained against Provincial.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
<i>cuo</i>							
3525/97	1073						

Government { 1897 } of Madras. FINANCIAL.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1897.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 445, 4th June 1897.

JUNE.

Expenditure on the Mayavaram-Muttupet Railway.

Stating that this Government has no objection to the entire — for 1897-98 and future years, including Rs. 32,060 on the Peralam station, being debited to "37. Construction of Railways—Provincial" as hitherto, but that all the outlay thus incurred should be fully recovered from the Tanjore District Board, no portion being retained against Provincial.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From R. A. GAMBLE, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 29th March 1897, No. 1456-A.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt, with your endorsement, No. 878, dated the 16th December 1896, of a copy of the Financial Proceedings of the Government of Madras, No. 877, of the same date, passing orders on the budget estimates of the Májavaram-Muttupet Railway for 1897-98.

2. From paragraph 4 of the proceedings, it appears that the Government of Madras have decided to show a portion of the expenditure on the Májavaram-Muttupet Railway in the local section of the accounts under "37. Construction of Railways". In this connection, I am to invite your attention to the letter from the Government of India, in the Public Works Department, No. 646-A.R., dated the 4th December 1890, in which it was stated that the outlay on the Májavaram-Muttupet Railway should be recorded under "37. Construction of Railways—Provincial", the local share being recovered through the head "Contributions", and to say that, unless the Government of Madras have some objection to urge, the Government of India would prefer that a change should not be made.

Office-note from the Officiating Joint Secretary, Public Works Department (Railway Branch), dated 14th May 1897, No. 216-D.

ORDER—No. 445, Financial, dated 4th June 1897.

This Government has no objection to the entire expenditure on the Májavaram-Muttupet Railway for 1897-98 and future years, including Rs. 32,060 on the Peralam station, being debited to "37. Construction of Railways—Provincial" as hitherto. But all the outlay thus incurred should be fully recovered from the Tanjore District Board, no portion being retained against Provincial. The Public Works Department should arrange for the careful observance of these principles both in the estimates and in the accounts of future years. Subject to this understanding the expenditure may be booked under "37. Construction of Railways—Provincial" and "Contributions" as in the sanctioned estimates of the current year.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Local and Municipal Department.
 „ Public Works Department.
 „ Accountant-General.
 Editors' Table.

Ed A. Raitt.

Financial (1897)) Department.

CURRENT No. 1125

From G. of I. Finance & Commerce Dept.

Order

No. 1456-A.

Dated 29-3-97

Received 43-4-97

Registered 53

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Offering certain remarks on the Proceedings of the Govt. of Madras No. 877 dated 16-12-96. Passing orders on the Budget Estimates of the Májavaram-Muttupet Railway for 1897-98.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
877-8:	16-12-96.		
74:	20-1-97		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Financial Department.

C. No. 1125.

SUBJECT.

*Incidence of the cost of the
Peralam junction station*

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE MR. BLISS, C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

FOR ORDERS.

The Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.,
for favour of counterinitials.

India wishes that the expenditure on the Peralam junction arrangements of the Mayavaram-Mutupet Railway should continue to be debited to Provincial revenues in the first instance and recovered from Local as in the case of past expenditure. The only objection is that while half the expenditure ^{has} hitherto ^{been} borne by Provincial, the full cost will hereafter be debitable to Local funds, the French Govt. and the South Indian Railway, according to the proportions debitable to each vide notes at C. Submitted therefore that the following order may be issued:-

ORDER:-

P.S.
The Govt. has no objection to that portion of the expenditure ^{which} relating to the construction of the ^{purpose} Mayavaram-Mutupet Railway being debited to Provincial funds, in accordance with the original understanding entered into

*There would should
be on the 1/1
and the one right
7/1/97*

*Yes; the proportionate charges referred to
at C are Revenue charges for interest, maintenance
6/5/97*

Financial (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1511

From

The P.W. &
Ry. Branch

Order

No. 2168

Dated 14th

Received 14th

Registered 15th

May 1897.

Enclosures One and Encl. C No 1125
with notes in original ret'd.

Spare copies. Nil

SUBJECT.

Suggesting an amended draft
order on the subject of Peralam
Junction station.

with the Tanjore district board. All additional outlay on junctions, extensions or further alignments including the entire cost of the Peralam junction arrangements should be fully recovered from the parties concerned, no portion being retained against Provincial. ~~Revenue~~. The Public Works Department should arrange for the careful observance of these principles both in the estimates and in the accounts of future years. Subject to this understanding, the expenditure may be be booked under 37 Construction of Railways Provincial Contributions, as in the sanctioned estimates of the current year

46
15/4/97

Cms
13.4.97

12
22/4/97

To the L. & M. Dept.

,, P.W.D.

,, Accountant-General.

,, Editors' Table.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	9045	Finl	29.12.96 (80)
	877-8	Finl	16.12.96 (80)
	74	—	20.1.94

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Inadequacy of the cost of Prudhoe
Inadequacy of the cost of Prudhoe
Inadequacy of the cost of Prudhoe

The Finance Dept has forwarded
to the P. W. Dept. for consideration, a
draft. G. O. on the subject of
the Account Head to which expenditure
on the Peralva Junction Station should
be debited.

It is not advisable, or usual, that
the cost of a junction station should
be shown anywhere else but in the
account of the parent line. The latter
must arrange to recoup itself for its
outlay by charging interest to the other
rivalry on whose behalf the extra
junction expenditure is incurred.

The French Government agreed to provide a certain sum of money for the Peralam, Karithur line. The sum to be provided was doubtless to

arrived at by a estimate framed
doublet of the S.I.B.

I want to know whether the cost of
the Perakam junction station was or
was not included in the estimate on
the basis of which the French Govt.
agreed to provide the agreed sum of
money. If so, it seems as if the usual
practice was intended to be departed from.
If on the other hand the estimate did
not include this item, the cost of
the junction is undoubtedly debitable
to the Ind. R. Ry. that is, I take it,
since 31st March last, to the Tanjore
District Board.

The question was whether or not
the expenditure should be a matter of
accounting to tangentially joined things
the account Head 37. Construction of
Railways, Provincial. leaving Provincial
to ~~adj~~ adjust hereafter with Local
in the Provincial account. No question
at all came in of taking remain
from an adjustment with the French
Government or the South Indian R.
unless, as I have suggested above, the

yes The French Govt. have agreed to provide the
funds necessary for the construction of the P.H. Ry.
according to an estimate framed by the S.I.B. and
approved by the French authorities. Any excess over that
estimate in actual construction would have to be met
by the French Govt.

The cost of the additions to Perakam junction was
not included in the estimate of the P.H. Ry. If it is
decided that the French Govt. are to bear any part of that
cost, it would be necessary to ask for an amount additional
to the estimate with an explanation that the item was
omitted.

I think that was the only question the Govt. of India
intended to raise.

There is certainly no question of changing any part of the
estimate sent to the S.I.B.

The estimate did not provide for this work

I think this is the only point Indian
intended to object to

I think the words "as hitherto" should be
substituted for the words "in accordance with
the original understanding with the Tanjore
District Board" The original understanding was
that Provincial should fund half the junction, but it
now no longer intends to do.

estimate accepted by the French Government
covered such a change.

Indian object to the expenditure being
debited tangentially to the ~~Ind~~ subhead
Local instead of to the subhead
Provincial of the Head 37. Construction
of Railways. All that seems necessary
is that the G.O. to issue in the
Financial Dept should take the
following form.

66 Order

This Govt. has no objection to
the entire expenditure on the
Ind. R. Ry. for 97-98. included
Rs 32,060 on the Perakam station,
being debited to "37 Construction of
Railways, Provincial" in accordance
with the original understanding with the
Tanjore Dist. Board. But all the
outlay should be fully recovered during
the year from the Tanjore Dist Board
no portion being retained against Provincial.
The P.W. Dept. 4. 4. (as drafted).

Will examine, who is now
familiar than I am with the

Board, no portion being retained
 against Provincial. The P.W. Depart-
 ment should arrange for the careful
 observance of these principles both
 in the estimates and in the accounts
 of future years. Subject to this
 understanding the expenditure may be
 booked under "37 Construction of
Railways-Provincial" and "Contribu-
tions," as in the sanctioned estimates
 of the current year.

7/18.
 Offg: R.

11/5/97 22/5/97.
 8/15
 31/7/97

CWS
 21/5/97

L. & M. Dept 5
 P.W. " 10
 Acc. Genl 4
 Dispt: 16/6/97
 Editors Table (S. J. S. 15/6/97)

Ad. oc to Mayavaram. Mutapet
 Railway file
 CWS

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature. 15/6/97

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
 157

DATED

CURRENT No.

1125-4
 1571-9

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

(PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL)

10 Copies

N.B.—

- A = Print in full.
- B = Not to be printed.
- P = Print abstract only.
- Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
 REQUIRED
 Order complete
 Order complete with Letter.