

17/4
Financial (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 341

Dated 17-4-97

INDEXED.

u/s

W3
21-4-97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing Col. Luxmoore, Ootacamund, that the Madras Govt has no authority to interfere in the matter of his petition regarding the delay in the payment of a money order, & that he should apply to the Post Master General or to the Director General of Post offices.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[F. 28]
1,000-25-11-96.

C 15.4.97
R 17.4.97
Financial (1897)) Department.

CURRENT No. 1128

From Col. C. T. P. Lummoore
Bostacumund

HM

Order No 341 of 17-4-97
This Colonel Lummoore will

be informed that the Madras
Govt. cannot interfere in the
matter. He should apply to
the Post Master General
Madras or to the Govt. of
India

CMB
6.4.97

Col. C. T. P. Lummoore (16)
with 2 copies

No.
Dated 31-3-97
Received 4 } -4-97
Registered 5 }
Enclosure 2
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Requesting order of the
Madras Govt for payment
of the equivalent of a
sum of £10 sent by money
order from Mackay Queens-
land but received after
the time during which it was
payable had elapsed.

Enclosures
Money Order 9544
P.M. G. Madras letter 4 Feb 97

Wellbeck

1128 Mad
Ootacamund

March 31st 1897

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

From Colonel C.F. Luxmoore
Indian Staff Corps

To The Chief Secretary to Government
Madras

Sir I have the honor to solicit the favor of your laying the following case before H.E. The Governor of Madras in Council with a view to orders being obtained for the payment of the equivalent of a sum of £10, which would appear to be R^s 177.12.0, for Post Office Money Order No 9544 granted in Macleay, Queensland, but not sent to this country till the time during which it was payable had elapsed.

Some years ago my son Mr C.H. Luxmoore residing in Queensland and working on a cattle farm obtained some cheroots from India through his sister Mrs. J.P. Peake and her husband Mr. J.P. Peake of the Forest Department and intimation was in due course sent of their cost to which no answer was received.

As Mr Luxmoore was working in an out-of-the-way part of the Colony and did not often write, the fact that no remittance had been received was not mentioned to him (as his sister did not wish to appear to press for the money) until he wrote for some further delay.

After a time Mr C H Dunsmore mentioned that he had found among his papers a money order for £10 which he thought he had sent his sister more than a year before. This order (herewith attached) he enclosed to his sister in a subsequent letter which reached Mr Peake at Madras on the 14th Sep^r 1896 but Mr Peake had meanwhile started for England.

The money order was dated 24th September 1894 and was consequently nearly two years old when it arrived. (or 21st?)

Mr Peake on receiving it sent it the same day to the Post Office Kodikaval (where it was payable and where Mr Peake had been residing) with a request that it might be made payable at Madras. No reply having come a reminder was sent on the 4th November to which a reply was sent "order not received yet."

Mr Peake sent this to the Post Master General Madras on the 17th November 1896, but nothing having been heard from him, Mr Peake wrote again to the Post Master General at the end of January 1897 and received in reply Post Office Dep^t letter No E11-71134 of 2nd Feb 1897 (herewith sent).

It will be seen from this letter that the Post Master General admits the fact that Rs 177.12.0 (equivalent of the £10) were sent to Kodikaval to meet the demand at the time the money order should have been presented but, as

the order had not been forwarded to them, neither Mr nor Mrs Peake were in a position to claim it or ^{were} even aware that any remittance had been made.

It is clear that the remittance was duly made from Queensland, and though the Post Master General intimates that the accounts no longer exist which would show how the money was dealt with, it is clear from the money order and the statements of Mr and Mrs Peake that the money was not paid and must apparently still remain with the Postal Department.

I presume that the rule limiting the period during which the Post Office is liable is intended to protect it from double payment and that this would not be enforced if it were demonstrable that the money had not been paid. And I venture to solicit the favourable consideration of Government in this case which has arisen from the absence of business habits on the part of a young man employed from boyhood in a rough occupation and in circumstances which made writing a difficult task.

Mr Peake sent the enclosed letter and money order some time after my arrival in Colombo towards the end of last month (Feb). On my way to Queensland - The money order covers only a part of the money paid by Mr Peake for my son. I am unwilling to leave sums due from my son unsettled when I leave for Queensland in May and I venture to solicit the favourable consideration of Government for the money which has been paid to

Postal Depart: but has not been paid out by it,
 And that Government will not insist on their
 right to retain the money.

I have the honor to be Sir
 Your most obedient Servant

C. J. P. Lawrence Colonel
 Indian Staff Corps
 Unemployed Supernumerary List.

Notice consideration of
 claim for account of a
 money order received by the
 payee after the date on which
 the Postal Depart: was liable.

From Col: C. J. P. Lawrence
 to The Chief Secretary to Govt.
 Ottawa 31.10.97 }
 Ottawa 31.10.97 }

Not given for copying 17/11
 Not copied 21/11
 Not examined 23/11
 Not signed

AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

REF TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A