

[F]
1,000-25-7-98

Financial (189) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 199

DATED 4.3.97

INDEXED.

6/8

[Despatch Abstract.]

Comm & the High Court letter from
submitting remarks altered
on the memo of altered
grants for 1896-97 in the J. & L. Dept.
stating that re-appropriations
from savings under 19 A. Law & Justice
have already been made & the extent
of Rs 75,000.

Tu 19/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Judicial (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

From

The Registrar.,
High Court of Judicature,
Madras.

Order

No. 281.


Dated 15th February 1897.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies



SUBJECT.

submitting report about the
difference between the Accountant-
General's and the High Court's re-
vised Budget estimates for 1896-97
and surrendering Rs. 40,000 out of
the grant for the year.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

[illegible]

DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM


ALTERED GRANTS

1896-97.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department,
through the Accountant-General
with reference to G.O., No. 777, Financial, dated 14th November 1896.

No. 281.



High Court of Judicature.,
Madras, 15th February 1897.

From

D. W. G. Cowie Esquire., I. C. S.,

Registrar of the High Court of Judicature.,
Madras.

To

The Honourable Mr. J. F. Price., C. S. I.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.,

(Through the Accountant-General, Fort Saint George, Madras.)

Honourable Sir,

With reference to paragraph 4 of G. O. No. 46, Financial, dated the 19th January 1897, I am directed to state that the High Court's revised estimates for the current official year were as usual calculated by adding the actuals of the first half of the year to those of the second half of last year. The details of the main differences between the Accountant-General's revised estimates and those of the High Court are as follow\$:-

Minor heads.	Revised estimates.		Difference between (a) and (b).
	High Court's. (a).	Accountant- General's (b).	
High Court.	5.36	5.50	- .14 (1)
Law Officers.....	1.58	1.54	+ .04 (2)
Civil and Sessions Courts.....	25.19	24.85	+ .34 (3)
Criminal Courts..	11.72	11.62	+ .10 (4)
Refunds.....	1.0	.98	+ .02 (5)

As to (1), the Accountant-General's revised estimates exceed those of this office by .24 under "salaries of Puisne Judges", and ^{this} is due to 8 months' salary of the sixth Judge having been included in the former while owing to an oversight less than 2 months' salary has been included in the latter. The Accountant-General's revised estimates for suitors' translation and printing are .08 below those of the High Court, but the item being a fluctuating one, no forecast can at present be made as to the probable

2.

expenditure during the rest of the year. (2) and (5). The small excess of .04 over the Accountant-General's figure against Law Officers occurs under the item of "Fees to Pleaders" which is also a fluctuating item. So too is the item "Refunds" in which the small excess of .07 over the Accountant-General's figure occurs. (3) This excess over the Accountant-General's figure consists of .18 under Salaries and Establishments of Civil and Sessions Courts, due mainly to large savings under that head, and .14 under contingencies, the major portion of which relates to petty charges and rent, rates and taxes which vary from month to month. (4) This difference is due to the High Court figures exceeding the Accountant-General's by .17 under General Establishments - Criminal Courts, that office having taken the actuals of the last eight months into account in framing the revised estimates; and falling short of those figures by .7 under Elephants' charges in the Hill Tract Agency Establishments which is due to heavy expenditure under that head in Vizagapatam during the third quarter of the current year. This was not provided for in the revised estimates of the High Court.

2. Having regard to the difference between the Accountant-General's revised estimates and the Budget estimates for the current official year, I am to say that surrenders can be made, if desired by the Government, to the extent of Rs. 40,000 as shown below:-

Salaries and Establishments under Civil and Sessions Judges..	Rs. 25,000.	✓
Presidency Court of Small Causes.....	3,000.	✓
Salaries and Establishments under Criminal Courts.....	12,000.	✓

At the same time I am to state that as this is the last quarter of the current year and the grants under the several minor heads are in some Courts already exhausted, numerous applications for additional allotments from general savings are being received. In these circumstances a larger sum than Rs. 40,000 cannot be surrendered. A memorandum showing the alteration of grants proposed is enclosed.

3.

I am to add that the Budget grant and the actuals under 19.A-Law and Justice, for the last year were very nearly equal while the actuals of the two previous years exceeded the grants for those years.

I have the honour to be,
Honourable Sir,
Your most obedient servant,


Doyle
Registrar.

Ba
16.2.97
m.s.K.
16.2.97

Financial (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

672



From

The Accountant General,
Madras.

Order No. 1998 4-3-97

The Accountant's letter will
communicated the High Court

No. 674.

to the remark that reappropriation
from savings under 19-A
now & justice have already been
in the extent of Rs 75,600.

Dated 26 Feb. 97.

Received 26 " 5.0
Do for Registry 1-3-97
Registered 1-3-97

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Fwdg. letter from the Registrar, High
Court No. 281 of 15-2-97 with its
enclosure with the remark that
in the latter all the minor
heads budgeted for by the High
Court should have been
exhibited.

(*) B 25000 to 25000 of 11.10.1896
B 50000 to 21.10.1896 - 11.10.1896
B 600 20-1-97

The Accountant (9)
to the Chief Justice with C.L.
(as per L.C.)

Recd
5/3/97

1007
Final Date
2
672

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,

28th February 1897.

No. 674

DEPARTMENT.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
467:	19-1-97		
871:	14-12-96		
820:	27-11-96		
807:	24-11-96.		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

From

J. C. Harrison Esqre,

Offy. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government
Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward the accompanying letter from the Registrar, High Court No 281 dated the 15th February 1897 with its enclosure, and to observe that in the latter all the minor heads budgetted for by the High Court should have been exhibited.

2. My Revised Estimate of Rs 4592000 under the Major head 19 Law and Justice Courts of Law reckons upon a saving of Rs 113000 compared with the Budget Estimate of the current year, whereas the High Court does

does not expect a larger saving than \$40,000.
The actuals of the first 10 months of the
current year which amounted to about
\$379,800 do not however warrant any
change in my revised estimate, whilst the
High Court has presumably taken into con-
sideration the savings expected under a few
minor heads only.

3. From savings expected under this
head a sum of \$25,000 has already been
appropriated in Government Order No 807
Financial dated 23 December 1896 to meet
an excess under 18 General Administration.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt.,

J. Harrison
Offy Accountant General.



(189) DEPARTMENT.

given for copying 4/9
copied 4/3 } 1897.
examined
signed

AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

RENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
MADRAS,
To
THE

Dated
Received

No.

No.

Subject.