

Financial (1897) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 20

DATED 11-1-97

INDEXED.

8/8

[Despatch Abstract.]

deciding, with remarks, to
sustain the claim for
exemption from the provisions
of art 1159 of the C.S. on behalf
of H. C. Sivvanatha Aiyar, a
Supervisor in the P.W.D.

These Proceedings
have been subsequently printed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
640							
2180/98							

Government (1897) of Madras. FINANCIAL.

MISCELLANEOUS.

Recd.

Regd.

1900.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 20, 11th January 1897.

JANUARY.

Halts in excess of Ten Days.

Declining, with remarks, to entertain the claim for exemption from the provisions of article 1159 of the Civil Service Regulations on behalf of K. C. Visvanatha Aiyar, a supervisor in the Public Works Department.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

Memorandum in the Public Works Department, No. 4719-C., dated Madras, 9th December 1896.

Read—the following papers:—

From the Executive Engineer, Negapatam Division, dated 22nd September 1896, No. 410-S.E.

The Examiner of Public Works Accounts has, under article 1159 of Civil Service Regulations, disallowed the daily allowance claimed by Supervisor K. C. Visvanatha Aiyar for 7th, 29th and 30th May 1896 and 3rd, 6th and 12th June, for halts at a place other than head-quarters on the ground that the halt was for more than ten days at one place.

2. The prolonged halt at Eugan was necessary in the interests of public service to push on vigorously with the laying in of foundations for the work "constructing a regulator of nine vents across the Vettar" and to finish it before water comes in the river. I have the honour, therefore, to request that you will be good enough to obtain sanction of Government to his exemption from the operation of the ten-day limit and to authorise the Examiner to pass daily allowance for halts in excess of ten days at Eugan in April, May and June 1896, during which the work was in progress, as it was necessary for the supervisor to maintain camp equipage during such halts.

An extract of the disallowance list for May is enclosed for information.

Endorsement thereon by the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, No. 303 W., dated 28th September 1896.

Copy to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for favour of obtaining sanction.

From the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, dated 24th November 1896, No. 366 W.

In resubmitting my endorsement, No. 303 W., dated 28th September 1896, returned with your No. 3952-C., dated 7th November 1896, I have the honour to state that Supervisor K. C. Visvanatha Aiyar maintained camp equipage, and that I consider that the extra expenditure incurred by him was of the nature which travelling allowance is intended to meet.

Memorandum—No. 4719-C., dated 9th December 1896.

Transferred to the Financial Department with the remark that, in the opinion of the Public Works Department, the claim is admissible.

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Col., R.E.,
Secretary.

ORDER—No. 20, Financial, dated 11th January 1897.

The Government declines to entertain the application as the periods by which the ten days' limit was exceeded were insignificant.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department.

Erd. S. J. Pereira.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., MIS. No. 20, FINANCIAL, DATED 11TH
JANUARY 1897.

*From the Public Works Department, No. 4719 C., dated 9th December 1896—
Halts in excess of ten days.*

For the Chief Secretary—

This is an application for exemption from the provisions of article 1159 of the Civil Service Regulations on behalf of a supervisor in the Public Works Department. From the Executive Engineer's letter, dated 22nd September 1896, it would appear that the supervisor exceeded the ten days' limit on five occasions, viz., the 7th May, the 29th and 30th May, the 3rd June, the 6th June and the 12th June. The Honourable Member recently decided on an application submitted by the Inspector-General of Police that, where the ten days' limit was exceeded by a period of one day, the case was not one which should have been submitted to Government. The present case seems to be of such a nature. It will be observed that only on one occasion (the 29th and 30th May) was the ten days' limit exceeded by more than one day. It is proposed to say that the Government declines to entertain the application.

C. M. SCHMIDT.
19-12-96.

Public Works Department u.o.

A. T. ARUNDEL.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

It would be well if the reasons for the disallowance were given for the guidance of this department.

D. McNEIL CAMPBELL.
15-12-96.

Financial
~~Public~~ (189 6) Department.

CURRENT No. 3234



From

The Secretary
P. H. Dept

No. 4719. C

Order

Dated 9-12-96

Received 10-12-96

Registered 10-12-96

Enclosures -

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

H. C. Viswanatha Aiyar,
Supervisor Reg: the claim
of — for daily allowance
for halts in excess of ten
days at a place other
than head quarters -

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
342:	19.5.96		
34:	14.1.96		
51:	19.1.95		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 4719C

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM.

Dated Madras, 9th December 1896.

From the Executive Engineer,
Regapatam Division, No. 410 S.E. dated
22nd September 1896: —

The Examiner of Public Works
Accounts has, under Article 1159 of
C.S.R. disallowed the daily allowance
claimed by Supervisor K. C. Viswanath
aiyar for 7th, 29th and 30th May 1896
and 3rd, 6th and 12th June, for halts at
a place other than head quarters,
on the ground that the halt was
for more than 10 days at one place.
2. The prolonged halt at Engan
was necessary in the interests of
public service to push on vigorously
with the laying in of foundations
for the work "constructing a regu-
lator of 9 vents across the Vettar"
and to finish it before water comes
in the river. I have the honour
therefore

(3)
therefore to request that you will be
good enough to obtain sanction of
Government to his exemption from the
operation of the ten day limit and to
authorise the Examiner to pass daily
allowance for halts in excess of ten
days at Engan in April, May and
June 1896, during which the work was
in progress, as it was necessary for the
Supervisor to maintain camp equipage
during such halts.

An extract of the Dis List for May
is enclosed for information.

Endorsement thereon by the Super-
intending Engineer V Leirde, No. 303 W. dated
28th September 1896:-

Copy to the Chief Engineer, P.W. De-
partment for favour of obtaining
sanction -

From the Superintending Engineer
V Leirde, No. 366 W. dated 24th November 1896:-

In resubmitting my endorsement
No. 303 W. dated 28th September 1896, returned
with your No. 3932 C. dated 7th November 1896,
I have the honour to state that Supervisor K. C.
Viswanatha Aiyar maintained camp equip-
age, and that I consider that the extra ex-
penditure incurred by him was of the
nature which travelling allowance is
intended

This is not a matter of
Government. It is a matter of fact
and

(4)
intended to meet.

2D

Transferred to the Financial
Department with the remark that in
the opinion of the P.W.D. the claim is
admissible.

Mr. Campbell Col. R. E.,
Secretary.

The Financial Department

(5)

Financial Dept:

[12]
6
5 00-25 1-06.

C.No.3234

2 H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

SUBJECT.

THE HON'BLE MR. BLISS, C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

Halts in excess of ten days.

FOR ORDERS.

The Secy. P.W.D. in favour
of counter. initials

It would be well
if the reasons for the dis-
allowance were given for
the guidance of this
Department.

Draft added to
CMB
19.12.96

80 880 only 16-12-96
15/12/96
C
W

MD

This is an application for exemption from the provisions of art:1159 of the C.S.R. on ~~account~~^{behalf} of a supervisor in the P.W. Dept: From the Executive Engineer's letter d/ 22nd Sept: 1896, it would appear that the supervisor exceeded the ten days limit on five occasions, viz the 7th^{May}, the 29th and 30th May, the 3rd June, the 6th June and the 12th June. The Hon'ble Member recently decided ~~on an~~^{ap/lication} submitted by the I.G. of Police that where the ten days' limit was exceeded by a period of one day, the case was not one which should ^{have} ~~be~~^{er} submitted to Govt. The present case seems to be of such a nature. It will be observed that only on one occasion (the 29th and 30th May) ^{was} ~~that~~ the ten days' limit ~~was~~ exceeded by more than one day. It is proposed to say:--

ORDER No 208 11-1-97

The Govt. declines to entertain the application

As the periods ~~by~~ which the ten days limit was exceeded were ~~negligible~~
CMB insignificant
14.12.96
P.W.D. 191

CMB
14.12.96

MA 14.12.96
MA 18.12

When given for copying 11/1
 When copied 3 11/1 } 1897
 When examined 3 11/1
 When signed 3 11/1

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only