

Financial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 99

DATED 1st Feby 1895

INDEXED.

3/4

[Despatch Abstract.]

ITIKIVALASA-KORAPUT ROAD, VIZAGAPATAM DISTRICT.

Communicating to the Agent to the Governor in Vizagapatam for further report, Proceedings, Public Works Department, returning with remarks estimate for repairs to the ---.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	504		1895				

1895.

Public Works Department.

80.29: 12-1-95 *Final* ✓
 80.2150: 4-9-94 *Final* ✓
 80.210R: 26-2-94 *Final* ✓
 80.424: 20-2-94 *Final* ✓
 80.1280: 19-12-93 *Final* ✓
 80.1048: 24-10-93 *Final* ✓
 80.968: 30-9-93 *Final* ✓

CL
ms

No. 250C

Dated 23-1-1895

Despatched

Received 25 January 1895

Regd 26

Done one



*Returning with remarks
 estimate for repairs
 to the Sivakalasa road
 Vizagapatnam District.*

Order 1st May 1895 No 99

*Communicated to the
 Agent to the Government
 Vizagapatnam for further
 information report.*

Ch.
30/1/95

31/1/95

28/1/95

*For Agent to Govt
 Public Works Dept*

30/1/95

4/1

W.O.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM.

Dated Madras, 23rd January 1895.

In returning the estimate received with G.O. dated 12th January 1895, No. 29 Financial, the undersigned has the honor to state that the document has not been prepared in sufficient detail to admit of its being scrutinised with advantage or compared with the P.W. divisional schedule of rates.

2. Road metal is entered at varying rates apparently owing to the difference in the cost of cartage, but as the cost of cartage is not shown separately the rate for metal cannot be checked.

3. The two letters from the Estate Engineer referred to in the Agent's letter, as explaining the increase, have not been forwarded.

The estimate has not been correctly prepared.

4. The estimate is always complete and correct.

prepared, and has been framed apparently to work up to the grant for the current official year. viz. —

Original grant	Rs 15,000
Amount transferred from the agency reserve	" 2,500
Grant now sanctioned	" 4,000
	<u>Rs 21,500</u>

The sum of Rs 3,000 transferred from the Jeypore Cutcherry and subfair should not have been entered in lump as a proposed refund. The amount having been spent on the road, details of work, working up to that sum should have been given in the estimate. Of the sum of Rs 4,000 now sanctioned, apparently only Rs 1,000 are required for the road and Rs 3,000 for the Jeypore Cutcherry. The latter amount should be treated as a fresh grant to the Cutcherry and should not be mixed up with the road estimate. The Agent's statement that the estimate if sanctioned will virtually amount to Rs 18,500 is not understood, as the full amount of Rs 21,500 will be spent on the road.

George H. E.
 Secretary to Govt. Road
 The Financial Department
 with estimate returned.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. —
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only