Financial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No 996.

Dated 12th Dec 1895.

INDEXED. 18/19

[Despatch Abstract.]

PRINTING OF SESSIONS JUDGMENTS.-

Requesting the Honourable the Judges of the High Court and the Collectors of Bellary and Kistna to furnish certain information regarding the ----.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Fo	144		6-3-96				
8o	77		4-2-96				
	155		1876				

No. 996, Financial, 12th December 1895.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From D. W. G. COWIE, Esq., Acting Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 23rd October 1895, No. 3001.

The District Judges of Bellary and Kistna report that, under the authority of G.O., No. 247, Judicial, dated 7th February 1887, they have been having their sessions judgments printed at private presses, but that recently the Accountant-General has objected to the expenditure because the bills have not borne the countersignature of the Superintendent of the Government Press and a declaration by him to the effect that the work could not have been undertaken by the Government press at the station concerned without inconvenience to the interests of the public service, and referred the District Judges to G.Os., Nos. 1000 and 160, Financial, dated 17th November 1894 and 15th February 1895, observing that these Government orders have superseded the Government Order of 1887 : *vide* his circular, XIV of 1895 (copy of which is enclosed). On the same ground the Accountant-General will object to charges for printing forms, &c., required for the use of mufassal courts when the work is executed by private presses, though he has been authorized by G.O., No. 154, Financial, dated 15th February 1888, to pass these charges on the certificate of the Registrar on the Appellate Side of the High Court. I am to state that this is likely to cause considerable correspondence and delay and to suggest that District and Sessions Judges may be declared to be their responsible officers referred to in the second paragraph of G.O., No. 160, Financial, dated 15th February 1895, for the purpose of signing the necessary declaration on bills for the cost of printing sessions judgments, forms, &c., for the use of their courts and the courts subordinate to them when the printing work cannot be carried out by the local Government presses. I am accordingly directed to request that His Excellency the Governor in Council will be pleased to declare that District and Sessions Judges are the responsible officers for this purpose. Copies of the letters of the District Judges of Kistna and Bellary and of their enclosures are herewith forwarded for the information of Government.

Enclosures.

From F. H. HAMNETT, Esq., District Judge, Kistna, to the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, Madras, dated 14th August 1895, No. 2515.

I have the honour to state that a bill from the Manager, C.M.S. Dove Press, Masulipatam, for printing sessions judgments was paid as usual in accordance with the marginally-noted Government Orders and forwarded to the Accountant-General with the contingent bill of this court. The Accountant-General returns the printer's bill for " want of countersignature of the Superintendent, Government Press, and

No. 1042, Judicial, dated 30th April 1884.
,, 1301 ,, ,, 29th May ,,
,, 247 ,, ,, 7th Feb. ,,

declaration to the effect that the work could not have been undertaken by the Government press at the station without inconvenience to the interest of the public service," and refers me to G.Os., Nos. 1000 and 160, Financial Department, dated 17th November 1894 and 15th February 1895, respectively, and remarks that the marginally-noted Government Orders are considered to have been cancelled* by the Government Orders referred to by him in his objection memorandum.

* *Vide* his letter, No. 26-I.A.C.G., dated 31st July 1895, herewith sent in original (to be returned).

2. I am unable to accept the ruling of the Accountant-General that the marginally-noted Government Orders have been cancelled, and would bring to the notice of the High Court the difficulty that will be experienced in sending such bills to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras, every month for countersignature and the required declaration notwithstanding that there is no change in the rate for printing, which was approved of by the Superintendent in his letter, No. 845, dated 18th June 1887, of which a copy is herewith sent. The Superintendent considered it unnecessary for future bills to be sent to him.

3. I request that the High Court will be good enough to make a reference to Government on the subject.

From R. HILL, Esq., Superintendent, Government Press, to the Sessions Judge of Kistna, Masulipatam, dated Government Press, Fort St. George, 18th June 1887, No. 845.

In returning the six bills duly countersigned together with the specimens forwarded with your letter, No. 248, of 14th instant, I have the honour to inform you that the bills need not in future be sent here for countersignature, but can be paid by you as long as the rate of Rs. 1-2-0 a page is adhered to.

From L. MOORE, Esq., Sessions Judge, Bellary, to the Acting Registrar of the High Court, Appellate Side, Madras, dated the 24th September 1895, No. 1354.

In G.O., No. 1301, Judicial, of the 29th May 1884, rules for the printing of sessions judgments at private presses were sanctioned. In G.O., No. 247, Judicial, of the 7th February 1887, it was directed that bills for the printing of these judgments at private presses need not for the future be countersigned by the Superintendent, Government Press.

2. The sessions judgments of this court are printed by Messrs. Graves, Cookson & Co. at the Scottish Press, Madras, and the Accountant-General has now directed that in consequence of paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 160, Financial, of the 15th February 1895, no bill for printing charges submitted by Messrs. Graves, Cookson & Co. can be passed at the treasury here unless it is first countersigned by the Superintendent of the Government Press and unless the Collector of the district also attaches a declaration to it to the effect that the printing work charged for in it could not have been undertaken by his press without inconvenience to the interests of the public service. (Letter of the 17th September 1895 enclosed).

3. The inconvenience caused by the old rule (now attempted to be revised) directing that bills for printing sessions judgments should be countersigned by the Superintendent, Government Press, has been recognised in G.O., No. 247, Judicial, of the 7th February 1887 and it is scarcely necessary for me to point out that it would cause great delay and inconvenience if I have, as each sessions judgment is ready to be printed, to write and ask the Collector if it can be printed at his press without inconvenience to the public service and to await his reply before forwarding the judgment to Messrs. Graves, Cookson & Co.

4. Under these circumstances, I have the honour to suggest that Government should be moved to declare that paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 160, Financial, of the 15th February 1895, does not apply to the printing of sessions judgments under the rules already sanctioned by Government.

From W. H. MICHAEL, Esq., Deputy Accountant-General, to the Sessions Judge, Bellary, dated Fort St. George, 17th September 1895, No. 18-T.A.C.F.

With reference to your letter, No. 1240, dated the 9th September 1895, I have the honour to return the objection memorandum forwarded with the above and to state that the bills of Messrs. Graves, Cookson & Co., printers, Madras, should be countersigned only by the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras, in token of his verification of the charges made therein, as required in paragraph 2 (*a*) of G.O., No. 1000, Financial, dated 17th November 1894. They are not required to be countersigned by the Collector also. Only a declaration is required from the Collector that the printing work charged for in the bills could not have been undertaken by the Collectorate press without inconvenience to the interests of the public service, as required in paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 160, Financial, dated 15th February 1895.

ORDER—No. 996, Financial, dated 12th December 1895.

Before passing orders on the above papers, the Government desires to be informed by the Collectors of Bellary and Kistna whether the printing of sessions judgments cannot be undertaken by the Collectorate presses of those districts without inconvenience to the public service. It is observed that the forms required for these Collectorates are now printed at the Government Press, Madras.

2. The Hon'ble the Judges of the High Court will also be requested to be so good as to favour Government with information as to the arrangements at present in force for the printing of the judgments of other Sessions Courts and whether the work cannot be undertaken by the district press in cases in which this is not done at present.

(True Extract.)

for *Chief Secretary.*

To the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, with C.L.
" Accountant-General.
" Collector of Bellary.
" " of Kistna.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., No. 996, 12th December 1895.

DECEMBER.

Printing of Sessions Judgments.

Requesting the Hon'ble the Judges of the High Court and certain Collectors to furnish information regarding the —.

Original Cti

G.O. 996 Finl d/12.12.95

directed to request that His Excellency the Governor in Council will be pleased to declare that District and Sessions Judges are the responsible officers for the purpose. Copies of the letters of the District Judges of Kistna and Bellary and of their enclosures are herewith forwarded for the information of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Honorable Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D W G Cowie
Acting Registrar.

17th November 1894 and 15th February 1895, Nos. 1000 and 160, Financial, observing that these G.O's have superseded the G.O. of 1887. Vide his Circular XIV of 1895 (Copy of which is enclosed). On the same ground the Accountant-General will object to charges for printing forms &c, required for the use of Mufassal Courts when the work is executed by private presses, though he has been authorized by G.O. dated 15th February 1888, No. 154, Financial, to pass these charges on the Certificate of the Registrar on the Appellate Side of the High Court. I am to state that this is likely to cause considerable correspondence and delay and to suggest that District and Sessions Judges may be declared to be their responsible officers referred to in the second paragraph of G.O. dated 15th February 1895, No. 160, Financial, for the purpose of signing the necessary declaration on bills for the cost of printing Sessions Judgments, forms, &c, for the use of their Courts and the Courts subordinate to them when the printing work cannot be carried out by the local Government presses. I am accordingly

No. 3001.

High Court of Judicature,
Madras, 23rd October 1895.

CURRENT No. 5037

From

D. W. G. Cowie, Esquire,
Acting Registrar of the
High Court of Judicature,
Appellate Side, Madras.

To

The Honorable J. F. Price, C.S.I.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

File 7968 17/12

Honorable sir,

The District Judges of Bellary and Kistna report that under the authority of G.O. dated 7th February 1887, No. 247, Judicia they have been having their sessions judgmen printed at private presses but that recently the Accountant General has objected to the expenditure because the bills have not borne the Countersignature of the Superintendent of the Government Press and a declaration by [him] to the effect that the work could not have been undertaken by the Government Press at the station concerned without inconvenience to the interests of the public service, and referred the District Judges to G.O's, dated –

Un Coll.

Enclosure No. II, to letter from the High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, Madras, dated 23rd October 1895, No. 3001.

Copy of letter (and enclosure) from the Sessions Judge, Bellary suggesting to move Government to declare that para. 2 of G.O. No. 160/15-2-95 Financial does not apply to the printing of Sessions Judgments.

300—8.4.95.

without inconvenience to the interests of the public service as required in paragraph 2. of G. O. No. 160 Financial, dated 15th February 1895.

I have &c.,

(Signed) W. H. Michael.

Dy. Accountant-General.

(True Copy.)

High Court of Judicature,
Appellate side,
Madras, 23rd October 1895.

D. W. G. Cowie
Acting Registrar.

Fort St. George,

Treasury account Department. Accountant-General's Office,

17th September 1895.

No. T. A. C. F1 / 18

688

From:

W. H. Michael, Esquire,

Dy. Accountant-General to the

Government of Madras,

To

The Sessions Judge,

Bellary.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1240, dated the 9th September 1895, I have the honour to return the objection memorandum forwarded with the above and to state that the bills of Messrs. Graves, Cookson and Co., printers, Madras, should be countersigned only by the Superintendent Government Press, Madras, in token of his verification of the charges made therein, as required in paragraph 2(a) of G. O. No. 1000, Financial, dated 17th November 1894. They are not required to be countersigned by the Collector also. Only a declaration is required from the Collector that the printing work charged for in the bills could not have been undertaken by the Collectorate press

File/

Fil. Tr A
Dept.
Bombay 4
G. O. No. ~~5737~~ 2840

4. Under these Circumstances I have the honor to suggest that Government should be moved to declare that para. 2 of G.O. No. 160 Financial of the 15th February 1895 does not apply to the printing of Sessions Judgments under the rules already sanctioned by Government.

I have &c.,

(Signed) Lewis Moore,
Sessions Judge.

(True Copy)

High Court of Judicature,
Appellate Side,
Madras, 23rd October 1895

D W G Cowie
Acting Registrar.

E.W.

---

unless it is first Countersigned by the Superintendent of the Government Press and unless the Collector of the District also attaches a declaration to it to the effect that the printing work charged for in it Could not have been undertaken by his press without inconvenience to the interests of the public service. (Letter of the 17th September 1895 enclosed).

3. The inconvenience caused by the old rule (now attempted to be revised) directing that bills for printing Sessions Judgments should be countersigned by the Superintendent, Government Press, has been recognised in G.O. No. 247 of the 7th February 1887 Judicial and it is Scarcely necessary for me to point out that it would Cause great delay and inconvenience if I have as each Sessions Judgment is ready to be printed to write and ask the Collector if it can be printed at his press without inconvenience to the public service and to await his reply before forwarding the Judgment to Messrs. Graves, Cookson and Co.

No. 1354. Sessions Court. Bellary

Dated the 24th September 1895.

From

Lewis Moore, Esquire,

Sessions Judge, Bellary.

To

D. W. G. Cowie, Esquire,

Ag. Registrar of the High Court,

Appellate Side, Madras.

Sir,

In G. O. No. 1301 Judicial of the 29th May 1884, rules for the printing of Sessions Judgments at private presses were sanctioned. In G. O. No. 247 Judicial of the 7th February 1887 it was directed that bills for the printing of these Judgments at private presses need not for the future be countersigned by the Superintendent, Government Press.

2. The Sessions Judgments of this Court are printed by Messrs Graves, Cookson and Co. at the Scottish Press, Madras, and the Accountant General has now directed that in consequence of para. 2 of G. O. No. 160, Financial of the 15th February 1895, no bill for printing ~~c~~ charges submitted by Messrs Graves, Cookson and Co. can be passed at the treasury here

Book file

1895

Jud. ~~Pol.~~

Enclos. 2

G. No ~~537~~ 2860

it unnecessary for future bills to be sent to him.

3. I request that the High Court will be good enough to make a reference to Government on the subject.

I have, &c.,

(Signed.) F. H. Hamnett,
District Judge.

(True Copy.)

High Court of Judicature,
Appellate Side,
Madras, 23rd October 1895

D. W. G. Cowie
Acting Registrar.

to the interest of the Public service" and refers ^re to G. O's. Nos. 1000 and 160, Financial Department, dated 17th November 1894 and 15th February 1895, respectively and remarks that the marginally noted G. O's. are considered to have been cancelled* by the G. O's. referred to by him in his objection memo.

File

*Vide his letter No. I.a.c.g dated 31st 26 July 1895 herewith sent in original (to be returned)

2. I am unable to accept the ruling of the Accountant-General that the marginally noted G. O's. have been cancelled, and would bring to the notice of the High Court, the difficulty that will be experienced in sending such bills to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras, every month for counter-signature and the required declaration notwithstanding that there is no change in the rate for printing, which was approved of by the Superintendent in his letter No. 845 dated 18th June 1887, of which a copy is herewith sent. The Superintendent considered

No. 2515

District Court, Kistna.
Dated 14th August 1895.

From

F. H. Hamnett, Esquire,
District Judge,
Kistna.

To

The Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that a bill from the manager, C. M. S. Dove Press, Masulipatam, for printing Sessions Judgments was paid as usual in accordance with the marginally noted G.O.s. and forwarded to the Accountant General with the Contingent bill of this Court. The Accountant-General returns the Printer's bill for "want of Countersignature of the Superintendent, Government Press, and declaration to the effect that the work could not have been undertaken by the Government Press at the station without inconvenience

No. 1042 dated 30th April 1884
" 1301 " 29th May 1884
" 247 " 7th February 1887 } Judicial.

No. 845

Government Press: Ft. St. George
18th June 1887.

From

R. Hill, Esquire,
Superintendent,
Government Press.

To

The Sessions Judge of Kistna,
Masulipatam.

Sir,

In returning the 6 bills duly countersigned together with the specimens forwarded with your letter, No. 248 of 14th instant, I have the honor to inform you that the bills need not in future be sent here for countersignature, but can be paid by you as long as the rate of Rs. 1-2-0 a page is adhered to.

I have &c.,
(Signed) R. Hill.
Superintendent.

(True Copy)

High Court of Judicature,
Appellate side,
Madras, 23rd October 1895

D. W. E. Cowie
Acting Registrar.

Enclosure No. I *, to letter from the High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, Madras, dated* 23rd October *1895, No.* 3001 *.*

Copy of letter (and enclosure) from the District Judge, Kistna, requesting to make a reference to Govt. regarding the payment of bills for printing sessions judgments to private presses without the certificate of the Supdt. of Govt. Press that the work could not be undertaken by the Public press.

1895
Fil
Enclos. 1
O. No. ~~[illegible]~~ 2800

300—3-1-95.

Every letter, whether printed or in manuscript, should be docketed and abstracted on the back ; * * * * and the abstract should state clearly the purport of the letter and not merely its title subject. * * * * *

Enclosures and statements also, whether sent with letters, or merely under cover, should be numbered, docketed and abstracted. The headings of statements should be written in full to prevent mistakes. (G. O. 8th April 1893, No. 289, Public.)

500—13-7-95.

CURRENT No. ~~5337~~

Jud. Dept.

Judicial {1895} Department.

No.

FROM 247 D 7.2.87

The Ag. Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

Dated 23rd October } 1895.

Received 25 OCT. 1895

CHIEF SECRETARY GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Regd 25/10
Enclos. 4

No. 3001.
Encs. two.

Requesting that District and Sessions Judges may be declared ~~to be~~ the responsible officers ~~for~~ signing the declaration on bills ~~for~~ the cost of printing sessions judgments, forms &c.

Ro. papers

RH 30/10

Put up 30/10

---

160 D 15.2.95 Fin. &c.
1000 D 17.11.94 " "
154 D 13.2.88 " Mis.
247 D 7.2.87 Jud. Mis.

CURRENT No. 2800

Dept. Financial

Endorsement
Enclosures 4

Transferred to the Fin. Dept.

W Francis

Recd in Fin. 4/11/95 Under Secretary

To the Fin. Dept.

C.S.
P.S.

Order

The District & Sessions Judge is declared the responsible officer, in each district, for signing the declaration, referred to in para 2 of G.O. No 160 Fin. of 15th February 1895, on bills for printing Sessions judgments forms &c.

To the Jud. Dept.
" Acctt. Genl.
" Supdt. Govt. Press.

Code Class.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number.

To (Office) Telephone message			From (Office)		
Words.	Day. 27	Hour. 13	Minute. 47	Official Instructions.	
To (Person) Second Asst. Chief Secy.			From (Person) Supdt. Govt. Press		

[illegible] Kistna and Bellary Collectorates print their forms at the Penitentiary.

27-11-95

Sent to the CS

CS
27-11-95

HC
For more papers
I think CS
27/11

Put up
27/11

Where is the telephone which [illegible] return it to me

27/11

84 Word Form.

F. C 2.

Recd. from I.N.	Sent to I.N.	
At Hrs. Mts. by	At Hrs. Mts. by	Telegraph Master.

they

Telephone
To Supdt. Govt. Press
[Ask the Press which]
Do Kistna & Bellary
Collectorates [Are districts the] may print their
forms [for which
are printed] at
the Penitentiary

Ack
26/11

2611

Q Return for rec after issue

[G./25]
1,000—5-11-95

Financial DEPARTMENT

C. No. 2800

FOR ORDERS.

In 1884 rules were issued regarding the printing of judgments for Dt. and Session Courts--G.O.s No.1042 Judl., d./ 30th April 1884 and No.1301 Judl., d./ 29th May 1884.

G.O.No.147 Judl., d./ 7-2-87

In '87 the Registrar of the High Court pointed out that unnecessary delay, correspndence and expense were caused by the system then in force for ~~of~~ the checking of printing ~~bills~~ charges so incurred which were required to be counter-signed by the Supt., Govt. Press, Madras, before being passed for payment, ~~but~~ stated that in each district there was a uniform rate of charge per page for printing judgments and suggested that it might be left to Dt. Judges to see that the charges were within the rate once approved, countersignature being required only in the case of any newly adopted rate. The A.G. supported this suggestion which was approved by Govt. and the Supt. of the Govt. Press was at the same time instructed to communicate to the A.G. the prevailing rates in each district as well as any changes they

~~under~~ they might undergo from time to time.

~~Para 2(a)~~ G.O.No.154, Finan., d./ 15-2-~~93~~ 88 authorizes the Registrar of the High Court, Appellate Side, to pass charges for printing at private presses of forms required by Dt. Courts.

In para 2 (a) of G.O. No.1000 Finan., d./ 17th Nov. 1894, heads of depts. were empowered to sanction the payment of charges on account of printing at private presses provided such charges did not exceed the budget allotment and were verified by the Supt. of the Govt. Press, and in para 2 of G.O.No. 160 Finan., d./ 15-2-95, it was directed that on every bill for the cost of printing executed by a private press there should be a declaration signed by the Supt. of the Govt. Press or other responsible officer to the ~~fact~~ effect that the work could not have been undertaken by the Govt. press at the station without inconvenience to the interests of the public service. The Orders of 1884 and 1887 and '88 were not considered ~~as the~~ when the recent financial proceedings were passed ~~As they are fixed rates for each district, the intervention of the Supt. is perhaps unnecessary and the proposal of the High Court may, it is submitted, be approved~~

The High Court now forwards letters from the Dt. Judges of Bellary and Kistna complaining of the inconvenience caused by the necessity of the Supt.'s countersignature to bills for printing Session judgments. There seems to be a mistaken impression among the Judges and the Registrar of the High Court as to the parties who should sign the two certificates. The Supt. of the Govt. Press should, under the Financial G.O.s quoted above, certify that the printing charges are correct and the Collector of the Dt. that the work could not be executed at the Collectorate press.

FOR ORDERS.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.
THE HON'BLE MR. H. W. BLISS, C.I.E.
THE HON'BLE MR. J. GROSE.

The High Court proposes that Dt. and Session Judges should be declared to be the responsible officers referred to in para 2 of G.O. No.160 Finan., d./ 15th Feb. 1895.

As there are fixed rates for each district, the intervention of the Supt is perhaps unnecessary and the proposal of the High Court may, it is submitted, be approved.

C.S.
22.xi.95

The complaint of both Sessions Judges is as regards the printing of Sessions Judgments. I submit that before any orders are passed it should be ascertained from the Collectors of Bellary and Kistna whether it is not possible to print Sessions Judgments at their presses without inconvenience to the public service. In both these districts all forms for the magistracy [illegible] and I think the Revenue forms too are printed at the Penitentiary here and the presses have been relieved of an enormous amount of work which they

DELEGATION of POWERS.

(FOR ORDERS)

H.M.

G
27
600—23-7-95.

DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

FOR ORDERS.

they formerly had to get through. A "mamool" has apparently been allowed to spring up and it seems extraordinary that the Judges of Bellary and Kistna have both ~~allowed~~ permitted it to continue without troubling themselves in the least to enquire whether the work could be done at the District Press. It seems absurd that with a Press almost next door to his Court the Sessions Judge of Bellary should employ Messrs Graves Cookson & Co at Madras to print his Judgments. ~~Then~~ This arrangement must of course if nothing else lead to delay and extra expense. If a Govt. Press on the spot can do the work there seems not the slightest reason for giving it to a private firm in Madras.

I submit that it should be ascertained where every Sessions Court has its Judgments printed and whether where this is not done at the Dt. Press an arrangement by which it shall be cannot be made.

Sd
29/11/95

I quite agree
2/12/95

Delegation of Powers

FOR ORDERS.

Hm

C 2/12

$\left[\frac{G.}{24.}\right]$
209—5-10-95.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

9 P.

Again G.O , dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 2800 of 1895, dated 23rd ch; from The High Court (A)

" " " 189 , " , "

" " " 189 , " , "

ORDER. No 996 d/12 Dec 95

Before passing orders on the above papers, the Govt. desires to be informed by the Collectors of Bellary & Kistna whether the printing of ~~[illegible]~~ ~~Session~~ ~~jury~~ Sessions judgments cannot be undertaken by the Collectoral presses of those districts without inconvenience to the public service. ~~[illegible]~~ It is observed that the ~~[illegible]~~ forms required for these Collectorates are now printed at the Government Press, Madras,

2. The Hon'ble the Judges of the High Court will also be requested to be so good as to ~~ascertain and report~~ favour Govt. with ~~where~~ information as to the arrangements at present in force for the printing of the judgments of Other Sessions Courts ~~[illegible]~~ and whether the ~~printing~~ work cannot be undertaken by the ~~Collectorate~~ district press ~~[illegible]~~ in cases in which this is not done at present.

J.F.
10/12/95 (from Orders)

~~Supdt~~
The Hon'ble the C. J. (with Ch. 6
— Acct. Genl 6
— Collr. Bellary 8
— Collr. Kistna 8

despd. 6
24/12/95

FROM ORDERS.

C. S.

[illegible]

P.S

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
- Order complete.
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G O., No. 189 DATED

CURRENT No

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.