

28-8-05✓

13-7-14 BTJ

26/8/05✓

Financial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 975

Dated 7th Dec. 1895

INDEXED. 7/8

[Despatch Abstract.]

CARRIAGE OF HORSES

Replying to a reference of the Accountant-General on the question of the applicability of article 1093, Civil Service Regulations, to the case of an officer joining his first appointment.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
80	320		8-5-96				

CURRENT No 2566

Final (189) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary
Financial Dept

Memo No. 2506.

The Public Works Dept. 10


requested to forward for reference
the original papers returned into
80. No. 647 Final of 3. Sept. 95

No. 2789

Filed

Received

8 } October 1895.



Curr.
fines
20/10

RWD Dept. 21/10
(Memo on 23/10)

Subject.

Counting out with remarks
that the view of the Madras
Govt. that certain articles
do not apply to the case
referred to in G.O. No. 47 Final
of 3rd Sept. 95

Madras Office

Original of 80

11/10
orig. put up

CURRENT No. 2566

Gazetted audit DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office,

8th October 1895.

No. 2789

From

F. C. Harrison Esquire,

Offg. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE *Chief Secretary to Government,*
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to Government Order
No 147 Financial, dated the 3rd September 1895
regarding the cost of conveyance of a
horse by rail belonging to a Public Works
Department Officer joining his first ap-
pointment, I have the honour to point out
that the view of the Madras Government
which was apparently approved by the
Government of India seems to be that Article
1093 does not apply to the case because Article
1200 only makes mention of Chapter 48 not
Chapter ⁴⁷ of the Civil Service Regulations.
This reasoning if valid must, it seems to me,

Vol. 6
Page 56
1895
July

also cover the case of Civilians joining
their first appointment or proceeding on
transfer, for Article 1200 applies to the first
case and Article 1203 to the second. I beg
therefore respectfully to inquire whether the
Government can point out any reason why
this view is not correct. Personally my
own reading of the rules in question is
that Article 1093 can be applied to cases
of first appointment or transfer for which
special provision is not made elsewhere.
Chapter 48 is referred to (in my opinion)
in Articles 1200 and 1203 merely to show
how travelling allowance is calculated of
Article 1104. I have of course not the slightest
desire to press my views but apparently, as
I point out, if they are wrong, the effect
of the present decision is greater than was
contemplated by the Madras Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt servant,

Manison

1895.

Public Works Department.

File
CURRENT No. 2751

No. 3188C

Dated 30-10-95

Despatched

Received 30 Oct.

Reya 31

Encl - 4.



Returning four enclosures
connected with G.O. No.
647 Financial dated
3rd September 1895.

CURRENT No.
No. 37880

2751

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM.

THE undermentioned documents are forwarded to the *Financial*
Department dated 23rd October 1895- with reference to his letter No. *emo No 2506*

*The four enclosures received with
G.O. No 647 Financial, dated 3rd September
1895-*

(By Order.)

C. H. B. Butler.

Under Secretary to Government, P. W. D.

CHEPÂK, MADRAS,

30th October 1895

To

The *Financial Department.*

C.Nos. 2566 & 2751.

FOR ORDERS

The A.G. questions the correctness of the view taken in para 6 of this Govt.'s letter to the Govt. of India, No.516, Finan., dated 11th July 1895, where it was observed, in regard to the claim of a P.W. officer to the reimbursement of the cost of conveyance of his horse by rail on joining his first appointment, that his claim for travelling allowance was governed by art.1200 in which reference is made to Chap.48 and not to Chap.47 which contains art.1093. Mr. Harrison is of opinion that art. 1093 can be applied to cases of first appointment or transfer for which special provision is not made elsewhere and that Chap.48 is referred to in arts. 1200 and 1203 merely to show how travelling allowance is calculated. He points out that if his views are wrong the effect of the present decision will be greater than was contemplated.

The A.G.'s meaning is not quite clear. Whether his view as to the statement in para 6 of letter No. 516, Finan., is correct or not, the Govt. of India's letter read in G.O. No.647, Finan., dated 3rd Septr. 1895 seems to be quite clear on the point that the cost of carriage of a horse by

- This note seems to be to the point raised by the A.G. He points out that under the ruling (1) young civilians joining their first app'ts. won't get the cost of taking their horses with them to their stations - as to this, I did not know that they did get any such allowance and am content that they should not get it.
- (2) Officers, such as Colonels, transferred won't be able to carry their horses at the public expense. This is a difficulty raised by the wording of para 6 of your letter to which I don't quite see how to get over it - The point that receives further consideration. It may be that we shall have to make a further rule for India - at present, I don't see what reply to make to the A.G.

RS

8/11/95

Noted for the Hon'ble Member.

It is submitted that the A.G. may be told that the G.O. of India has not dealt with the question raised in this Govt.'s letter, No.516, Finan., dated 11th July 1895. and that the only point it has decided is that §1093 does not apply to the case of an officer proceeding to join his first appointment. The A.G. seems to be correct as to §1093

by rail on the occasion of joining a first appointment is not admissible under art. 1093 of the C.S.R. This was the point submitted for the orders of the Govt. of India and the only point on which it has given a ruling and it will of course apply to all officers whether belonging to the P.W.D. or not.

Cost of conveyance of horses on joining first appointments.

(FOR ORDERS)

H.M. again

applicability of general application to transfers, but and he might be told that Govt. in consideration, agrees with his view so far; but the G. of I. has distinctly prohibited its application to transfers joining first appointments. ~~prohibiting~~ The only other course open appears to be to send the Adj. letter up to India.

We may answer the Adj. as proposed

Read 25/11/95

27/11/95

[G.]
24.
1,000-15-11-95.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 2566 of 1895, dated 8 Oct from the Acctt General

" " 2757 " 1895, " 30 " " the P.W.D.

" " " 189 , " , "

ORDER. Dated 7 Dec. 95, No. 975.

The Acctt. General will be informed that the Govt. of India has not dealt with the question raised by this Govt. in para. 6 of its letter No. 516, F.W.D., D. 11 July 1895. The only point it has decided is that art. 1093 does not apply to the case of an officer proceeding to join his first appointment. The Accountant General is apparently correct in assuming that art. 1093 applies to transfers, and the Govt. concurs in this view, but the Govt. of India has distinctly prohibited its application to the case of officers joining first appointments.

(From Orders)

To the A.G. (V of 2566 & co) Deptt

CS 29/11/95

20 4/11/95

*Cost of Consequence of
horses in joining
appointments.*

FROM ORDERS.

C. S.

1700

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.