

Financial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 572

Dated 8th Aug. 1895

INDEXED. 89

[Despatch Abstract.]

FAVOURABLE LEAVE RULES

Communicating to Mr. Jones, Chief Presidency Magistrate, Madras, the decision of the Secretary of State granting him the benefit of the more -- applicable to the European services.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	340		96				
	1233		96				

Financial Dept
From

O. R. Jones Esq,
Chief Secy to Magr.
Madras

The Honble Mr J. F. Price
Chief Secy to Govt.
With The Governor's House Bill

No 190
dated }
despatch } 5-6-95
Recd 6-11-95
Regd 8-11-95.



Signed.

Relative to the applicability
of the new Lease Rules to
himself

Ref
I think there are
previous papers re
this matter
N.C. written to Mr. Jones
614/5-8-30-6-93
961/5-29-9-93
1416/7-28-12-92
CS
8/7-24
80-508-10-7-95
Cand
9/7

Presidency Magistrates' Court,

Egmore, Madras, 2 July 1895.

FROM

O. R. Jones, Esq.,

Chief Presidency Magistrate,
Madras,

To

The Honble Sir J. F. Price,
Chief Secretary to Government,
"With the Governor Stone-house Hill"

Sir,

I have the honor to request that Government may be pleased to represent to the Government of India how adversely I am affected by the rules laid down by Resolution No. 2959 P, dated 22nd June 1895, Finance and Commerce department, published in the Gazette of India of 22 June, extending the Leane Rules in Chapter VII of the Civil Service Regulations to a large number of officers; and I beg that Government may be pleased to recommend my case to the favorable consideration of the Government of India -

2- My record of service shows that I served as an officer of S. M. 32nd L. I. from 1870 to 1879 when I was appointed Assistant Superintendent of Police, promoted to be Assistant Inspector

General

G.
80. 508
10.7.95

General of Police in 1886 (Superintendent on re-organization in 1887) and finally promoted to be Chief Presidency Magistrate in 1890 in which office I am still serving.

3. By the above Resolution a large number of officers, including all Police officers ^{of} and above, the rank of Assistant Superintendent, have been admitted to the same rules under Chapter XIII. Civil Service Regulations, but I regret to find that my office is entered in the list of those qualifying for the above Leave Rules only when held by a Barrister. Therefore, although I should have been entitled to these Leave Rules had I remained in the Police Department, I now find that, not being a Barrister, my promotion to be Chief Presidency Magistrate has resulted in my losing a concession granted to many junior officers who were not even in the service when I held the very same office which now admits them to the benefits of more favorable Leave Rules. I may here be allowed to mention that the 2nd Presidency Magistrate, being a Barrister, now enjoys these benefits while I, the Head of his Department, do not, although each of the offices I have held since I entered the service of the Government of India, until my last promotion, ^{is} ~~are~~ in the list as qualifying for Leave Rules under Chapter XIII. without any restriction.

4. From the explanatory note appended to the Resolution above quoted I understand that the separation between Imperial and Provincial Branches as regards judicial appointments in Presidency Towns has not, as yet, been made. As the office of Chief Presidency Magistrate will probably always be reserved for a European it is possible that that office will be included in the Imperial Branch, in which case I should be entitled to the Leave Rules under Chapter XIII. If however, this is not immediately contemplated I would beg that some other steps may be taken to admit me to the advantages conceded by the above Resolution.

5. Section 323 of the Civil Service Regulations lays down that an officer who has been admitted to the rules under Chapter XIII does not forfeit his privileges if transferred to an office which does not qualify for these rules. Unless the transfer is ordered as a penalty or for inefficiency. Therefore a Police officer of, or above, the rank of Assistant Superintendent, if now appointed a Presidency Magistrate would continue to enjoy the more favorable Leave Rules granted to him by the Resolution, and I would venture to submit that an officer who has held one of the qualifying offices for some years (in my case 11 years) might with justice be allowed the privileges as regards leave now granted to these offices although he may be serving in a different office on the date of the Resolution.

6- It is reported in the newspapers that the Government of India is considering a further extension of ^{the} Lease Rules in question. I would therefore most respectfully request Government to favorably recommend my case at an early date.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

O. R. Jones

Chief Presidency Magistrate

[$\frac{G}{9}$]
2,000-2-4-95.

Current No. 377

DEPARTMENT.

From

C. R. Jones Esq.

Madras.

No.

Dated 7th July 1896

Received 9 " " "
Regd 10 " " "
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Re lease rules just under
force sanctioned by the Govt
of India.

Egmont

Madras

7th July

Dear Mac Cartie,

I have addressed
a letter to Government about
the leave rules just conceded,
by a Govt of India Resolution,
to a large number of Officers,
of whom I am not one owing
to my not being a Barrister.

When the letter comes up to
H.E. the Governor you will see
how hard it is on me because
if I had never been promoted
from the first app't I held
in Govt Service I should have
got these rules, also, of course

was between
in and Agar.
OKO

CURRENT No. 1785

No. 1124

From

C. H. A. Hill, Esq.,
Offg Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
Madras.

Home Department

(Judicial)

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with the letter from this Department No. 1134 dated the 18th September 1893, I am directed to say that Her Majesty's Secretary of State has sanctioned the grant to Mr. O. R. Jones, Chief Presidency Magistrate, Madras, as a personal concession, of the benefits of the more favourable leave rules applicable to the European services. The orders contained in paragraph 2 of the Resolution of the Finance and Commerce Department No. 2959P, dated the 22nd June 1895 will be applicable to his case.

2. I am to request that, with the permission of the Governor in Council, Mr. Jones may be informed accordingly.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. Servant,

C. Hill

Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

CHIEF SECRETARY
9. JUL. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

my dear Sir

Please Sir

application here when the lands of

9.7.95

Handwritten signature

Gr.
G.O. 508
10.7.95

CURRENT No. 1783

Financial Dept.

From

The Govt of India
Home Dept.

No 1124

20. VII. '95

Recd 28 VII. '95

Regd. 29. VII. '95

Subject.

Informing that the Secy.
of State has granted to
Mr. C. R. Jones, the benefits
of the more favourable
leave rules applicable
to the East European Service.

The connected papers are
in file 27/7/95

one
Return with
H. C. S.

[G.]
[24.]
500-21-5-95.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 1586 of 1895, dated 5 July from O. R. Jones Esq

" " " 189 , " , "

" 397 " 1895, " 7. " " Dillo

ORDER.

Note It is proposed to send Mr.
Jones' letter as the matter is
entirely one for India's consideration
C.S.

C. R. Jones Esq. & C.S.

Mr. Jones' letter will be forwarded
to the Government of India for
favourable consideration

C.S. 17.7.95
24/7/95

Letter to the Secy. to the G. of I.
Home Dept.

Sir,

With reference to Finance &
Commerce Dept. Resolution of 22nd
June 1885, No 2959-P, and the
correspondence ending with Mr.
Lucas' letter of the 18th September 1893
No 1134, I am directed to forward,
for the favourable consideration of
the Govt. of India the accompanying
communication from Mr. O. R.
Jones, Chief Presidency Magistrate
Madras, in which he represents

Gr.
Cro. 508
10.7.95

Pro
S.O. 961
29.9.95

No further comm. on the
subject can be traced

C.S.

2617195

Circulation

I quite agree that Mr. J. sh^d. get
the favourable leave Rules - But
the expression "adverse effect upon
himself" (above) seems ~~some~~
unsuitable. The new rules sh^d. not
affect him adversely or in anyway,
if it is necessary to think that other
men get a valued concession - His
grievance is that the ~~new~~ new rules
have not been extended to him,
not that they affect him adversely

MS
29/1/95

I agree with Dwyer if it is
amended accordingly {

25 3077

c/27/7
31/7

ORDER. 8th August-1895 No 572.

The decision of the Right Hon^{ble}
the Secy. of State will be communicated
to Mr. Touss.

C.S.
31.7.95

1/8/95

O. R. Jones Esq
Acad. Gent.

12 AUG 95
IN M.S.

17 AUG 95

Mr. Jones & family
Leave Room

Hm & Co

ms

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Copy & copy
6/4 7/1