

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., &c., 6th July 1895,
Nos. 502, 503.

JULY.

Policies.

Directing, in supersession of all previous orders on the subject, that the — of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association shall not be accepted as security from any class of Government officers; requiring officers who have been allowed to deposit these — to replace them by substantial security within six months from the date of receipt of this order; and forwarding copy of the Proceedings to the Government of India.



GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 19th November 1884, Nos. 2684, 2685, Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Expressing opinion that the form of security bond prescribed by the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association, as now modified, may be safely accepted; and forwarding copy of these Proceedings to Government of India for information.

READ—also the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 17th April 1895, Mis. No. 1873.

Read—the following letter from J. TWIGG, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, dated 18th March 1895, Reference on C. No. 440—Genl.:—

I have the honour to state that, in accordance with paragraph 3 of Standing Order, No. 255 (Old Edition), Deputy Tahsildars are required to furnish the security required of them in cash, Government promissory notes or stock notes. The Deputy Tahsildars in this district, except those noted in the margin, have furnished the required security or have promised to do it in one or other of the above three forms. The three excepted Deputy Tahsildars have to furnish

Name of officer.	Designation and pay.	
(1) M.R.Ry. M. R. Govinda Rao.	Deputy Tahsildar of Tiruppattūr, Rs. 120.	The three excepted Deputy Tahsildars have to furnish
(2) „ T. Thiagaramiah ..	Stationary Sub-Magistrate of Mēlūr, Rs. 100, and Acting Deputy Tahsildar of Sivaganga, Rs. 120.	
(3) „ P. Casivisvalingam Pillay.	Deputy Tahsildar and Sea Customs Superintendent, Pāmban, Rs. 70 plus Rs. 30 on an average as port dues.	

Rs. 2,000, Rs. 3,000 and Rs. 1,000, respectively, as security. They stated that they were unable to give the security in any of the above three forms and, instead of them, the first two offered their houses as security, while the last said that one-fourth of his pay might be withheld till he could furnish the security. As the rules do not allow the acceptance of such securities, they were asked to state whether they could furnish the policy of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association, Bombay, as in the case disposed of in Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 1968, dated 13th April 1894, to which they have consented.

2. These three cases are analogous to the case mentioned in the Board's Proceedings quoted above. These Deputy Tahsildars have to find large sums as security, which, as observed in this office letter, No. 68—L.R., dated 28th February 1894, practically amounts to a serious reduction in their pay. They are reported to be well fitted for the places in which they are. No. (2) is permanent Stationary Sub-Magistrate of Mēlūr on Rs. 100, but is acting as Deputy Tahsildar of Sivaganga on Rs. 120. For his permanent place he has not to furnish security, but, as Acting Deputy Tahsildar of Sivaganga, has to furnish Rs. 3,000 as security.

3. In the above circumstances, I think that these three officials deserve the concession shown to the Deputy Tahsildar of Ramnad in the Board's Proceedings quoted above, and I therefore recommend that it may be extended to these three cases also, and that the security offered by them—viz., a policy of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association, Bombay—may be accepted.

Resolution—dated 17th April 1895, Mis. No. 1873.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The Board supports the Collector's recommendation that the Deputy Tahsildars in question should be permitted to tender as security the policies of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association (Limited). The case is on all fours with that dealt with in G.O., dated 10th April 1894, No. 1443, and does not appear to be affected by G.O., dated 17th January 1895, No. 179, which applies to Huzur treasurers only.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Endorsement on the above.

Transferred to the Financial Department.

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Secretary to Govt., Rev. Dept.

27th June 1895.

ORDER—dated 6th July 1895, No. 502, Financial.

The policies of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association are practically useless, as they are hampered with a number of conditions the neglect of any of which may invalidate the right to recover even where fraud or dishonesty is proved. The Government is therefore pleased to direct, in supersession of all previous orders on the subject, that these policies shall not be accepted as security from any class of Government officers.

2. Officers who have been allowed to deposit these policies will be required to replace them by substantial security within six months from the date of receipt of this order.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.
" the Public Works Department.
" the Local and Municipal Department.
" all Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 6th July 1895, No. 503, Financial.

Copy to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department.

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

Dated 17th April 1895.
No. 1873 M.L.R.

READ the following letter from J. Swigg Esquire, Ag. Collector of Madras to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, dated 18th March 1895 Referring to No. 440 Genl.

I have the honor to state that, in accordance with para 3 of Standing order No. 255 (old Edition), Deputy Tahsildars are required to furnish the security required of them in cash, Government Promissory notes at Clock notes. The

Name of officer	Designation & pay	Deputy Tahsildar
1. M. R. R. M. R. Govindarao	Deputy Tahsildar of Rao. 3 Linnpathur - Rs 120	in this District, except those noted in the margin, have furnished the required security or have promised to do it in
2. M. R. R. S. Thiagaraniiah	Stationary Sub-Magistrate of Melur - Rs 100	
	and Ag. Deputy Tahsildar of Sivaganga - Rs 120	
3. M. R. R. P. Govindarao	Deputy Tahsildar and Collector - Lingam Pillay - Rs 70 plus Rs 30 on an average as post dues.	

in one or other of the above three forms. The three ~~expected~~ ^{excepted} Deputy Tahsildars have to furnish Rs 2000, 3000, and 1000 respectively as Security. They stated that they were unable to give the Security in any of the above three forms and, instead of them, the first two offered their houses as Security, while the last said that one-fourth of his pay might be withheld till he could furnish the Security. As the rules do not allow the acceptance of such Securities, they were asked to state whether they could furnish the Policy of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association, Bombay, as in the case disposed of in Board's Proceedings No. 1768, M.S. dated 13th April 1874, to which they have consented.

2. These 3 cases are analogous to the case mentioned in the Board's Proceedings quoted above. These Deputy Tahsildars have to find large sums as Security, which as observed in this office letter ⁶⁸ _{L.R.} dated 28th February 1874 practically amounts to a serious reduction in their pay. They are reported to be well-fitted

well-fitted for the places in which they are. No. 2 is permanent Stationary Sub Magistrate of Melur on Rs 100, but is acting as Deputy Tahsildar of Sivaganga on Rs 120. For his permanent place, he has not to furnish Security, but, as Acting Deputy Tahsildar of Sivaganga, has to furnish Rs 3000 as Security.

3. In the above circumstances, I think that these 3 officials deserve the concession shown to the Deputy Tahsildar of Ramnad, in the Board's Proceedings quoted above, and I therefore, recommend that it may be extended to these 3 cases also, and that the Security offered by them, viz, a Policy of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association, Bombay, may be accepted.

Resolution

Submitted for the orders of Government.
2. The Board supports the collector's recommendation that the Deputy Tahsildars in question should be permitted to tender as Security the Policies of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association (Limited). The case is on all fours with that dealt with in G.O. ¹⁴⁴³ ₁₀₋₄₋₉₄ and does not appear to be affected by G.O. ¹⁷² ₁₇₋₁₋₉₅ which applies to Muzer Treasurers only.

(True Copy & Extract)

To.
The Secretary to Govt.
Revenue Deptt.

Recd
Ag. Secretary.

24/4

16430/10.4.89
17989/10.1.85

D
2,000-25-7-94.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Revenue 1895. *Dept*
Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.

Current Number 2929

To

The Secretary to Govt
Revenue Deptt.



Recd 22/4/95

Proceedings, dated 17-4-1895,
1873 No. *Mis.* Routine. Enclosures.

Subject.

Submitting for the orders of
Govt. letter from the Collector
of Madras & recommending
that the Dy. Tahsildar in
question may be permitted
to tender as Security the
Police of the Suddain
Guarantee & Suretyship
association.

Recd 1-5-95
Requestants only for papers
4-5-95
Papers Recd
8-5-95

Put up paper about the
Tahsildar Dy. Tahsildar 348
18-9-95
I am giving orders on the subject
Rd
8-5-95

in the note on p. 1443
10-4-94

Current No. 2929.

From

Dated 189 .

FOR ORDERS.

File XV

In C.O. $\frac{3808}{2-10-94}$ the Court decided
that it would be unsafe to accept
~~from the Association~~ ^{as security} in the case
of Sugar Traders' Policies
of Indian Guarantee and
Surety Ship Association (Ltd.).
The reasons which led to this de-
cision are stated in the office
note attached with this file and
are briefly these.

(i) The association guarantees only
against loss arising from the
fraud or dishonesty of the person
for whom they stand surety; and ~~not~~ ^{not}
against loss arising from ~~the~~ ^{negligence,}
disobedience &c.

(ii) The Court has to bind itself to
a number of inconvenient con-
ditions

(a) It has to make sure of the
accuracy of the initial declaration
made by the employee to the Association

The powers are not
to be accepted in future
25
14/5

30/5/95

The format policy is not
available either here or in the
Board's office; the proposal
& report forms are stitched
with to $\frac{3766}{26-9-94}$

ms
23/4

FOR ORDERS.

- (b) It should claim recovery of the sum assured within 10 days after receiving notice of act of fraud or dishonesty.
- (c) It must see that the premiums are regularly paid.
- (d) It must undertake to prosecute the employer in every case in which it seeks recovery of the sum assured from the Association &c &c.

2/. In December last the Board raised the question whether the above order was to be made applicable to all Huzar Treasurers including those who had already been allowed to render the policies of the Association as security, and whether it applied ~~also~~ also to Shroffs. The Court answered the former query in the affirmative and the latter in the negative. ~~The reason for this distinction is not that in the order~~ This distinction ^{between Shroffs and Treasurers} may be defended on the ground that as a Shroff is not allowed to have any money in his custody, it is but rarely that loss ^{will arise} through his

G. O. 179
17-1-95

* He has the custody tho' for a short time. The other day a Shroff misapp'd a 100 note & so on the hands of the

neglect, disobedience &c and that it will be sufficient to provide against loss from fraud or dishonesty on his part; while in the case of Hazar Treasurers who are allowed to have large sums in their separate custody, the above guarantee would be insufficient as loss may often arise otherwise than through fraud or dishonesty.

3/. If the above view is correct, it is submitted that the D. Tahsilwars in charge of Subtreasurers who have charge of Cash and Stamps should not be allowed to tender their policies, and that the proposal of the Col. of Madura and the B. of Rev. to give this permission to the three D. Tahsildars named in the current should be rejected.

4/. The Revenue officers who have to deal with Cash or Stamps or both are,

- (1) Treasury D. Collectors.
- (2) Hazar Treasurers.
- (3) Shroffs.
- (4) Tahsildars.
- (5) Taluk D. Accountants or Sheristadars.
- (6) D. Tahsils in charge of Subtreasurers.
- (7) Stamp Vendors.

Vide B.S. No. 255 (G.E.)

(8). See Customs Superintendents
+ Frontier Sheriffs.

of these Treasurers, D. Colers
and Tahsildars are Superior Officers
of position and are exempt from
furnishing any security, and it
has been decided that Taluk D.
accountants sh^d also be exempted.
of the rest those who have charge
of Cash or Stamps are Nos (2), (6),
(7) + (8). It is submitted that none
of these sh^d be allowed to tender
any security which will not avail
in cases of loss from negligence, &c.

5/. The Board refers to G.O. 1443
d/ 10th April 1894 in which the D.
Tah^r of Ramnad was allowed to
tender the policy of the Indian
Guarantee and Suretyship Association.
And in G.O. 3439 d/ 18th Sep 1893
the D. Tah^r of Suddalur was allowed
to do the same as a temporary measure;
it is not known whether he has
since given proper security. The above
two Orders based on Financial G.O.
2684 d/ 19th Nov 1884 should, it is
submitted, be now cancelled.

6/. In this Connection attention
is invited to Nos 3766 + 3034
relating to the Cash-keeper of this
office.

C.O. $\frac{44}{18-1-93}$

~~The Treasurers' cases~~
The cases of 7 + 8 are not
before us. S.H. 18/57

office. He was at first given
permission to withdraw the Govt
Promissory Notes deposited with the
Acct Genl and tender a policy
instead, but this permission was
subsequently withdrawn by Mr.
Gibson when he came to know
the condition, under which the as-
-ciation guarantees against loss
and ~~declared~~ ^{under those conditions} that he sh^d become
a party to the transaction with the
~~Company~~ ^{Association}. It is indeed a question for
consideration whether it is necessary
to allow any class of officers to
tender these policies ^{as security} and whether
in modification of para 2 of
G.O. 179 d/ 17th Jan^y 1895, it may
not ^{now} be declared that these policies
sh^d not be accepted in any case.

Hm.

These policies are practically
useless & sh^d not be accepted.
because (1) they cover too little
& (2) they are hampered with
conditions the neglect of any of
which may invalidate the
right to recover, even where
fraud or dishonesty is
proved.

R2
10-5-95

S.H. 13/57

C. No 2929 of 95

For orders

Re. Tender of Policies of
the Indian Guarantee and
Suretyship Association
as security

The Hon. Mr. J. G. G. J. C. S.
The Hon. Mr. H. W. B. J. C. S.

Copy office

Make pickup to 3489
18-9-93

before submitting to him

Rs
10-5-95
Pick up
18/9/95

C. No 2929
of 95

D. 2,000-25-7-94.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 2929.

From

Dated

189

FOR ORDERS.

G. O. (Press).

The policies of the Indian
Guarantee & Suretyship Association
are practically useless as they are
hampered with a number of Con-
ditions, the neglect of any of which
may invalidate the right to recover
even where fraud or dishonesty
is proved. The Govt. is therefore
pleased to direct, in supersession
of all previous orders on the subject,
that these policies shall not be accept-
ed as security from any class of
Revenue Officers in future.

To.

The Bd of Rev. L. R.

" Other hds. of Depts under the R.S.

Note.

The words in future are
added with reference to the

Hon^{ble} Mr. Grose's note. nobody will be put to any loss if Govt ~~makes~~ ^{the order redrafting} ~~the order~~ cancels all the exemptions hitherto allowed. The officers now ^{permitted} ~~allowed~~ to tender their policies may be allowed 6 months' time to deposit proper security or till the next premia are due.

2^d. The order as drafted above applies only to Revenue officers. It is desirable, however, to make it applicable to all Govt. officers in which case it will have to issue in the Financial Department. The original order of 1884 accepting these policies was issued in the Financial Department.

RS
5-6-95 H.C.

For orders as to whether these policies should be cancelled generally & replaced within 6 months by substantial security. They are really no security at all. Also whether the file should be referred to Fin. Dpt. for the issue of general orders

11/6/95

Re 12/6
R 13/6

FOR ORDER

Draft G.B. & Nade.

Policies of the Indian Guarantee Board Surety-Ship Association

It should be made applicable to all Govt. officers & therefore one be issued in the FD

25

+ 2684-5 G.D.
19-11-84

The Hon. Mr. Grose M.A.

On reconsideration I think these policies should not be allowed as security any more though they have been allowed in the past. Some time may be given

25

12/6

[G
9]
2,009-2-4-05.

Current No. 1510

File

DEPARTMENT.

from

The Rev. Dpt.

No.

Dated

Received

Regd.

Enclosures

{ 27th } June 1895.
28th

SUBJECT.

Agreeing to the proposal to issue the order on Rev. C. No. 2929 in the Fin. Dpt.

[G .]
73
500-17-11-93.

CURRENT No 1510
C. EF SECRETARIAT,
Cotacual
26 June 1895

OFFICE NOTE. No. 1474

From

THE CHIEF SECRETARY,

To.

The Revenue Secretary

Draft on C. No. 2929 Revenue

Would it not be better to
let this order issue as a general
order from the Financial
Department, as it supersedes
G.O. of 19. 11. 84 No. 2684 Fintl?
If you agree, will you please
transfer the papers (with
the draft order) to Financial

Redrickhies
Chief Secretary

C.E.
22. VI. 95

Agreed -

27/6.

Re 12/6.
R 13/6

It is
however
to be
the
can
also
to
6
be
a
2
a
it
it

Read again No. 2684/5 (P) 19-11-84 Financial Dept

Read C. No. 2929 (A)

G.O. d/6th July 1895 No 502

The policies of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association are practically useless as they are hampered with a number of conditions the neglect of any of which may invalidate the right to recover even where fraud or dishonesty is proved. The Govt is therefore pleased to direct in supersession of all previous orders on the subject that these policies shall not be accepted as security from any class of Govt officers.

2. Officers who have been allowed to deposit these policies will be required to replace them within 6 months by substantial security from the date of receipt of this order.

Hon. Secy. to the C.S. Civil Dept
for forwarding to the C.S. Civil Dept

Revenue Dept. 31
P. W. Dept. 141
L. & M. Dept. 6
As Head of Dept. and of the C.S.

C.S.
11/11/95
3 copies
Copy to the Secy. to the Govt. + Comptroller
To the Board of Directors in all its Depts
Other Heads of Depts under the R.D.
To the Civil Dept.

RS
18-6-95

C. No 2929.

Draft Go.

Policies of the Indian Guarantee
and Suretyship Association

In draft G.O. is transferred to
the Financial Dept for issue
therefrom. after

hls 2/6

mil

Jols
for counterintail

J.R.
19/6/95

[G.]
[34]
200-24-10-94.

Financial

DEPARTMENT.

List showing the distribution of the Proceedings of Government
dated 6 July 1895 No. 502-503.

	Revenue Department	..	..	..	31
	Public Works Department	..	..	..	41
	Military Department	..	..	..	6
	Local and Municipal Department	..	..	..	..
JUDICIAL.	Honorable the Chief Justice with C.L.	..	..	..	31
	Inspector-General of Police	..	..	..	30
	Do. of Prisons	..	..	..	30
	Do. of Registration	..	..	..	25
	Chief Presidency Magistrate	..	..	..	3
	Commissioner of Police	..	..	..	3
	Judges of the Madras Court of Small Causes	..	..	..	3
	Advocate-General	..	..	..	1
	Government Solicitor	..	..	..	1
	Administrator-General	..	..	..	1
	Sheriff of Madras	..	..	..	1
	Government Pleader	..	..	..	1
PUBLIC.	Registrar of Joint Stock Companies	..	..	..	1
	Surgeon-General	..	..	..	6
	Meteorological Reporter	..	..	..	1
	President, Board of Examiners	..	..	..	1
	Private Secretary to the Right Honorable the Governor	..	..	..	1
	Government Astronomer	..	..	..	1
	Superintendent, Government Central Museum	..	..	..	1
	Chemical Examiner	..	..	..	1
	Superintendent of Stationery	..	..	..	1
	Protector of Emigrants	..	..	..	1
	Superintendent, Government Press	..	..	..	1
	Tamil Translator to Government	..	..	..	1
	Telugu do. to do.	..	..	..	1
	Malayalam do. to do.	..	..	..	1
	Canarese do. to do.	..	..	..	1
	Hindustani do. to do.	..	..	..	1
	British Consular Agent, Pondicherry	..	..	..	1
POLITICAL.	Superintendent, Archaeological Survey	..	..	..	1
	Government Epigraphist	..	..	..	1
	Resident in Travancore and Cochin	..	..	..	6
	Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends	..	..	..	1
	Political Agent, Pudukota	..	..	..	1
	Do. Sandur	..	..	..	1
	Do. Banganapalli	..	..	..	1
	Government Agent, Tanjore	..	..	..	1
	Special Agent, South Arcot	..	..	..	1
ECCLESIASTICAL.	The Right Reverend the Bishop of Madras	..	..	..	1
	Venerable Archdeacon of Madras	..	..	..	1
	Senior Chaplain of the Church of Scotland	..	..	..	1
MARINE.	Presidency Port Officer	..	..	..	20
	Chairman, Harbour Trust Board	..	..	..	1

Draft
2/7/95

FINANCIAL.	Accountant-General	6
	Commissioner of Paper Currency	1
EDUCATIONAL.	Director of Public Instruction	20
	Commissioner for Government Examinations	1

THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE OF	Anantapur	..
	North Arcot (Chittoor)	..
	South Arcot (Cuddalore)	..
	Bellary	..
	South Canara (Mangalore)	..
	Chingleput (Saidapet)	..
	Coimbatore	..
	Cuddapah	..
	Ganjam (Chatrapur)	..
	Gódvári (Cocanada)	..
	Kistna (Masulipatam)	..
	Kurnool	..
	Madura	..
	Malabar (Calicut)	..
	Nilgiris (Ootacamund)	..

DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE OF	North Arcot (Chittoor)	..
	South Arcot (Cuddalore)	..
	Bellary	..
	South Canara (Mangalore)	..
	Chingleput	..
	Coimbatore	..
	Cuddapah	..
	Ganjam (Berhampore)	..
	Gódvári (Rajahmundry)	..
	Kistna (Masulipatam)	..
	Kurnool	..
	Madura	..
	North Malabar (Tellicherry)	..
	South Malabar (Calicut)	..
	Nellore	..

Nilgiris (Ootacamund)	..
Salem	..
Tanjore (Tanjore)	..
Tinnevelly (Palamecottah)	..
Trichinopoly	..
Vizagapatam	..

Proof Phase see to verify

6TH JULY 1895, Nos. 502, 503, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS. FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 19th November 1884, Nos. 2684, 2685, Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Expressing opinion that the form of security bond prescribed by the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association, as now modified, may be safely accepted; and forwarding copy of these Proceedings to Government of India for information.

READ—also the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 17th April 1895, Mis. No. 1878.

Read—the following letter from J. TWIGG, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, dated 18th March 1895, Reference on C. No. 440—Genl.:—

I have the honour to state that, in accordance with paragraph 3 of Standing Order, No. 255 (Old Edition), Deputy Tahsildars are required to furnish the security required of them in cash, Government promissory notes or stock notes. The Deputy Tahsildars in this district, except those noted in the margin, have furnished the required security or have promised to do it in one or other of the above three forms. The three excepted Deputy Tahsildars have to furnish

Rs. 2,000, Rs. 3,000 and Rs. 1,000, respectively, as security. They stated that they were unable to give the security in any of the above three forms and, instead of them, the first two offered their houses as security, while the last said that one-fourth of his pay might be withheld till he could furnish the security. As the rules do not allow the acceptance of such securities, they were asked to state whether they could furnish the policy of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association, Bombay, as in the case disposed of in Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 1968, dated 13th April 1894, to which they have consented.

2. These three cases are analogous to the case mentioned in the Board's Proceedings quoted above. These Deputy Tahsildars have to find large sums as security, which, as observed in this office letter, No. 68—L.R., dated 28th February 1894, practically amounts to a serious reduction in their pay. They are reported to be well fitted for the places in which they are. No. (2) is permanent Stationary Sub-Magistrate of Méléur on Rs. 100, but is acting as Deputy Tahsildar of Sivaganga on Rs. 120. For his permanent place he has not to furnish security, but, as Acting Deputy Tahsildar of Sivaganga, has to furnish Rs. 3,000 as security.

Name of officer.	Designation and pay.
(1) M.R.Ry. M. R. Govinda Rao.	Deputy Tahsildar of Tiruppattúr, Rs. 120.
(2) " T. Thiagaramiah ..	Stationary Sub-Magistrate of Méléur, Rs. 100, and Acting Deputy Tahsildar of Sivaganga, Rs. 120.
(3) " P. Casivisvalingam Pillay.	Deputy Tahsildar and Sea Customs Superintendent, Pámban, Rs. 70 plus Rs. 30 on an average as port dues.

3. In the above circumstances, I think that these three officials deserve the concession shown to the Deputy Tahsildar of Ramnad in the Board's Proceedings quoted above, and I therefore recommend that it may be extended to these three cases also, and that the security offered by them—viz., a policy of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association, Bombay—may be accepted.

Resolution—dated 17th April 1895, Mis. No. 1873.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The Board supports the Collector's recommendation that the Deputy Tahsildars in question should be permitted to tender as security the policies of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association (Limited). The case is on all fours with that dealt with in G.O., dated 10th April 1894, No. 1443, and does not appear to be affected by G.O., dated 17th January 1895, No. 179, which applies to Huzur treasurers only.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

ORDER—dated 6th July 1895, No. 502, Financial.

The policies of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association are practically useless, as they are hampered with a number of conditions the neglect of any of which may invalidate the right to recover even where fraud or dishonesty is proved. The Government is therefore pleased to direct, in supersession of all previous orders on the subject, that these policies shall not be accepted as security from any class of Government officers.

2. Officers who have been allowed to deposit these policies will be required to replace them by substantial security within six months from the date of receipt of this order.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.
„ the Public Works Department.
„ the Local and Municipal Department.
„ all Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 6th July 1895, No. 503, Financial.

Copy to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department.

Chief Secretary.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., &c., 6th July 1895,
Nos. 502, 503.

JULY..

Policies.

Directing, in supersession of all previous orders on the subject, that the — of the Indian Guarantee and Suretyship Association shall not be accepted as security from any class of Government officers; requiring officers who have been allowed to deposit these — to replace them by substantial security within six months from the date of receipt of this order; and forwarding copy of the Proceedings to the Government of India.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

Read again G.O. No.
139

DATE

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. —

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

16-7-09 ✓

16-2-16 ✓

16-6-25 ✓

20-9-18 ✓

27-11-19 ✓

10/7/22 ✓

2/2/22