

Financial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 359.

DATED 3rd May 1895.

INDEXED.

9/60

[Despatch Abstract.]

CASUAL LEAVE.-

Empowering District Superintendents of the Police to grant members of the force, who are not allowed to avail themselves of sundry and other casual holidays, ---for periods not exceeding ten days at a time or fifteen days in a year, and intimating that this concession is not to apply to members of office establishment in the Police Department.

[G. 179]

The Notes
in these Proceedings have been printed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C 1294			95				
DO 87/98							

Gobt. of {1895} Madras. FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 3rd May 1895, No. 359.

MAY.

Casual Leave.

Empowering District Superintendents of Police to grant members of the force, who are not allowed to avail themselves of Sundays and other casual holidays, — for periods not exceeding ten days at a time or fifteen days in a year, and intimating that this concession is not to apply to members of office establishments in the Police Department.



GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers :—

G.O., dated 17th October 1894, No. 853, Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Issuing certain instructions in regard to casual leave.

G.O., dated 6th April 1895, No. 301, Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Stating that the rule that applications for privilege leave should be considered with reference to the amount of casual leave previously granted to the applicant, does not apply to gazetted officers.

READ—also the following paper :—

From M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S., Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 29th March 1895, No. 1811.

I have the honour to say that the existing rule restricting casual leave to five days at a time has been represented to me as a hardship on the police. Mr. Mullaly, the Superintendent of Police, Salem, represents the peculiar position of the police.

He says—

“It has been represented to me, and with good cause, I think, that the recent orders of Government regulating the grant of casual leave operate very harshly with regard to inspectors and the rank and file of police.

“The men never get any holidays of any sort; gazetted holidays, third Saturdays in the month and times of public merry-makings are enjoyed by all other classes but are of no account to the policeman, who is supposed to be ‘always on duty.’ Men working in district offices get these privileges but not so men working in divisions, and I submit that an appeal might be made on their behalf. Men have their vows to perform at distant places, marriages and domestic festivals to attend, and often it occurs that they have no privilege leave due or that the five days’ limit of time is insufficient, and if ten days’ casual leave cannot be given when necessity arises, dissatisfaction must prevail when the men see others enjoying public holidays from which they are debarred by reason of the duties they have to perform.

“I would therefore respectfully submit that the rule should not be made applicable to inspectors and the rank and file of the force and that as formerly the discretion to grant casual leave for a period not exceeding ten days at a time or fifteen days in the year should rest with District Superintendents.”

3. I agree with Mr. Mullaly, and should be very much obliged if the Government could see its way to make a special concession in the case of the police.

No. 849.

Transferred to the Financial Department.

19th April 1895.

(Signed) L. DAVIDSON,
Ag. Under Secretary.

ORDER—dated 3rd May 1895, No. 359, Financial.

District Superintendents of Police will be empowered to grant members of the force who are not allowed to avail themselves of Sundays and other casual holidays casual leave for periods not exceeding ten days at a time or fifteen days in a year. This rule will not apply to members of office establishments in the Police Department.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Police.
,, Accountant-General.

Judicial (1895) DEPARTMENT.

1672

FROM

M. Hammick Esqre J.C.S.

INSPECTOR-GENERAL

OF POLICE,

To

No. 1811

Dated

29th

Received

28th

1895.

Recd 1/4

No.

CHIEF SECRETARY

30 MAR 1895

SUBJECT

DEPARTMENT OF

Requesting that Government may be pleased to make a Special Concession with regard to the grant of Casual leave to the Police for reasons stated.

MR L/4
W.S. 1/4

10853: 17 oct 94 -

18/3/94 10/4/94 10/4/94

Financial Dept

894

Endowment 18: 849 19.4.95

Approved and transferred to the Financial Department.

Lionel Davidson

aj. Under Secretary

To the Financial Dept.

MR 8.4.95 Note.

I don't think I've ever seen any remarks on Mr. Mullaly's statement they are true enough.

12.4.95

Original

1/4

1/4

1/4

1/4

1/4

1/4

1/4

1/4

1/4

DEPARTMENT.

No. 1811

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras, 29th March

1895.

FROM

M. Hammick Esq. B.L.S.

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

TO

THE Chief Secretary

to Government,

Fort Saint George.

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to say that the existing rule restricting casual leave to 5 days at a time has been represented to me as a hardship on the Police. Mr. Muttiah the Superintendent of Police, Salem represents the peculiar position of the Police.

He says:-

"It has been represented to me, and with good cause, I think, that the recent orders of Government regulating the grant of casual leave operates very harshly with regard to Inspectors and the rank and file of Police."

"The men never get any holidays of any sort, Gazetted holidays, 3rd Saturdays in the month and times of public merry-making are enjoyed by all other classes but are of no account to the Policeman who is supposed to be 'always on duty' men working in district offices get these privileges."

privileges but not so men working in divisions
 I Submit that an appeal might be made on their behalf. Men have their wives perform at distant places, marriages and domestic festivals to attend, and often it occurs that they have no privilege leave due that the 5 days limit of time is insufficient and if ten days Casual leave cannot be given when necessity arises, dissatisfaction must prevail when the men see others enjoying public holidays from which they are debarred by reason of the duties they have to perform".

I would therefore respectfully Submit that the rule should not be made applicable to inspectors and the rank and file of the force and that as formerly the discretion to grant Casual leave for a period not exceeding 5 days at a time or 15 days in the year should rest with District Superintendents."

I agree with Mr. Mullaly and should be very much obliged if the Government could in its way to make a Special Concession in the case of the Police.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your Most Obedt. Servant.

M. Mammul,

Inspector General of Police.

[G.]
 25.
 00-20-11-04.

Financial DEPARTMENT

C. No. 894

FOR ORDERS. with reference to para

There is a good deal in the case put forward. I think we might allow 10 days casual leave at a time in the case of men who don't get Sundays & other casual holidays, not in the case of office hands, who do get them.

W
 24/4/95
 J. S. 26/4

of G.O. of 17 October 1894, No. 85. Financial. The position of the rank & file of the Police is no doubt peculiar and some concessions might be made. It may however be remarked that if men require more than 5 days for marriage & domestic festivals they should reserve their privilege leave for those occasions.

C.B.
 20/4/95
 There seems no objection to compliance with the I.G.'s suggestion. The Police are hard worked, the decision is important and it is undesirable to make it more so than it is.
 23/4/95

Read again G.O. 853
 17.10.94 (P)
 " " 4.95 (P)
 - C. No. 894 - (A)

Order. The limit as regards ~~casual~~ casual leave, in the case of Members of the Police force who are not permitted to avail themselves of Sundays & other casual holidays will be fixed at 10 days at a time and fifteen days in

Casual Leave for the Year

Dep't

FOR ORDERS.

The Honble Mr. W. B. C. 2
The Honble J. G. G. 2

Finb

By No 357
3-5-95

8/4/95
R. 2/1

[G.]
24
24-11-94

Financial DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 17th October 1894, No. 853- (P)

Again G.O., dated 6th April 1895, No. 301- (P)

Current No. of 189 , dated from

Current No. 894 of 1895, dated 29. Apr from The J. G. of Police

ORDER. 3 May 1895, No. 357.

Dist. Supdt of Police will be empowered to grant Members of the Police force who are not allowed to avail themselves of Sundays & other casual holidays, casual leave for periods not exceeding 10 days at a time or 15 days in a year. This rule will not apply to members of office establishments in the Police Dept.

(From orders)

C.B.
29.4.95

1/5/95

J. G. Priceb }
Acc. G. G. } 1/5/95

17TH OCTOBER 1894, No. 853, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

ORDER—dated 17th October 1894, No. 853, Financial.

In supersession of previous orders on the subject of the length of time for which casual leave can be granted at one time and in the course of each year, the Government directs that casual leave shall not be granted for a longer period than five days at a time or for more than ten days in a year.

2. Heads of Departments will be authorized to grant themselves casual leave within the above limits. They will, previous to availing themselves of such leave, intimate their intentions of doing so demi-officially to Government in the Department concerned. Replies to such communications will be sent only when Government has remarks to offer or objections to make with reference to the leave.

3. The attention of Heads of Departments will be drawn to the note to Article 366 of the Civil Service Regulations and to the rule that applications for privilege leave should be considered with reference to the amount of casual leave previously granted to the applicant.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of Secretariat.
„ all Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Gobt. of {1894} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 17th October 1894, No. 853.

OCTOBER.

Casual Leave.

Issuing certain instructions in regard to —.

6TH APRIL 1895, No. 301, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 17th October 1894, No. 853, Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Issuing certain instructions in regard to casual leave.

READ—also the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 21st March 1895,
Mis. No. 1482.

ORDER—dated 6th April 1895, No. 301, Financial.

The ruling in paragraph 3 of G.O., dated 17th October 1894, No. 853, that applications for privilege leave should be considered with reference to the amount of casual leave previously granted to the applicant, does not apply to gazetted officers.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat.
„ all Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Exd. A: Raitt

Gobt. of {1895} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 6th April 1895, No. 301.

APRIL.

Casual Leave.

Stating that the rule that applications for privilege leave should be considered with reference to the amount of — previously granted to the applicant, does not apply to gazetted officers.

Copy sent to Press.

Retained for signature.

Despatched in print.

Date when G.O. No.

DATE

No.

Excluded to G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DATA

PAPER NOT RECD UP, BEING KEPT FOR DEPOSIT
IN ORIGINAL.

M.B.—

- A — Print in full.
- B — Not to be printed.
- C — Print abstract only.
- D — Print heading only.

Number of copies
received.

Order complete 30
Later only.
Order complete with review.