Secrt. II.-27—100,000—21.

FINANCIAL 1895 (195) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. No. 160

Dated 15th February 1895

9/10

[Despatch Abstract.]

DELEGATION OF POWERS.

Passing orders on certain proposals in regard to Expenditure on account of Printing at private Presses and Furnishing falling under the head of Contingencies admissible without detailed audit.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Financial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 160

DATED 15th Feby 1895.

B 5
J

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

DELEGATION OF POWERS.

Passing orders on certain proposals in regard to expenditure on account of printing at private presses and furniture fallig under the head of "Contingencies admissible without detailed audit."

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.

FORM 11.

15TH FEBRUARY 1895, No. 160, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—G.O., dated 19th December 1894, No. 3135, Judicial.

ORDER—dated 15th February 1895, No. 160, Financial.

With reference to paragraph 2 of the Judicial Department Proceedings read above, it is observed that all heads of departments were, in G.O., dated 17th November 1894, No. 1000, Financial, empowered to sanction charges on account of printing at private presses, subject to the conditions that there is budget provision and that the charges are verified by the Superintendent, Government Press.

The Inspector-General of Police proposes that he should be empowered to sanction expenditure under this head not exceeding Rs. 100 a year for *all* districts. Apparently *each* district is meant. The budget grants for the department range between Rs. 5,000 and Rs. 7,000 *per annum*. It is presumed that the greater portion of this amount represents expenditure by the Inspector-General himself at the Presidency Town, and that the Accountant-General audits the expenditure against the grant allotted by the Inspector-General for each district including Madras.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council now directs that, on every bill for the cost of printing executed by a private press, there should be a declaration, signed by the Superintendent, Government Press, or other responsible officer, to the effect that the work could not have been undertaken by the Government Press at the station without inconvenience to the interests of the public service.

3. As regards furniture falling under the head of "Contingencies admissible without detailed audit", the Government has already authorised * the purchase of articles manufactured in the country costing Rs. 100 and less, subject to budget provision.

* G.O., dated 9th January 1895, No. 14, Financial.

District Superintendents of Police will now be empowered to purchase articles made in the country up to a limit of Rs. 25. Heads of other departments under the Chief Secretary may apply for a similar extension of powers to subordinate officers, where such extension is considered expedient.

4. With reference to articles of European manufacture, the present rule—viz., that the sanction of the controlling authority is necessary for the local purchase of such articles costing between Rs. 5 and Rs. 10—will be maintained.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Judicial Department.
„ the Departments of the Secretariat.
„ all Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

'xd. A. Grubert.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 15th Feb. 1895, No. 160.

FEBRUARY.

Delegation of Powers.

Passing orders on certain proposals in regard to expenditure on account of printing at private presses and furniture falling under the head of Contingencies admissible without detailed audit."

FORM 11.

(See Chapter 6, Art. 88, Vol. I., C.A.C.)

NOT PAYABLE AT THE TREASURY.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.	BILL OF CONTINGENT CHARGES OF _____	*Month of* _____ 189 .
Head of Service.		*No. of Voucher.*
Nos. of Sub-vouchers.	Description of Charge and Date of Authority (where special sanction is necessary).	Amount. RS. A. P.
	I. Office Contingencies :—	
	(*b*) Those admissible without detailed Audit	
	(*a*) Those subject to regular Audit—	
	Total * Rupees	

_____ Signature } of Disbursing Officer.
_____ Office }

Date _____ of 189 .

Allotment of 189 . . . . Rs.
Expenditure including this Bill . . „
Amount of Work Bills annexed . . „

Balance available, Rs.

Drawn on Abstract Bill No. Dated Rs.
Do. No. „ „
Do. No. „ „
Do. No. „ „

Add amount of disallowance refunded. _____

Total of Contingent Bill, Rs.

Passed for Rupees

Signature } of countersigning officer
Office }

189 .

Disallowed from Sub-vouchers No. Rs.
Do. do. „
Do. do. „
Do. do. „

See instructions on reverse.

* In words

)—19-4-94.

Disbursing Officer's Certificate.

* *Vide* Article 88, Chapter 6, Civil Account Code.

I CERTIFY that the expenditure charged in this bill could not, with due regard to the interests of the public service, be avoided. I have satisfied myself that the amount charged under Class I (b) includes only ordinary contingencies (Accountant-General's Circular No. VII, dated 7th [illegible] 1879), and not any items chargeable under Class I (*a*), or II, and that all charges entered in this bill have been really paid. Vouchers for all items of expenditure above Rupees 10 in amount, except for charges under Class I (*b*) and for all sums paid for postage stamps and telegrams, and all work bills are attached to the bill. I have, as far as possible, obtained vouchers for other sums, and am responsible that they have been so destroyed, * defaced or mutilated that they cannot be used again.

Date

Station } Signature

Designation

Countersigning Officer's Certificate to cover charges under Class I (a) or II only.

† *Vide* Article 91, Chapter 6, Civil Account Code.

I CERTIFY that, in support of every charge of more than Rs. 10 made in this bill, a receipt or other voucher † has been given me, and is now in my possession. The receipts and vouchers of service stamps, State telegrams and items in excess of Rs. 100 are attached to the bill, and I am responsible that the receipts and vouchers for all other items of more than Rs. 10 are in proper form and order, and that they have been so cancelled that they cannot be again used to support claims against the Government.

All work bills are also appended.

Date

Station } Signature

Designation

Contingent Charges.

CLASS I (*b*) includes the following petty items, and the total expenditure should be entered in one lump sum in the money column against the printed item without any detail in the body of the bill :—

Furniture and other articles for Office use manufactured in the country, each costing less than Rupees 50.
Articles for Office use manufactured out of India, each costing less than Rupees 5.
Books, each costing less than Rupee 1.
Glue, Paste, Thread, &c.
Cloth for wrapping Records.
Binding books, except in Collector's Office.
Petty Carriage Charges.
Peons' Belts and Badges.
Purchase of Treasure Bags.
Repairs to Government Tents.
Repairs to Furniture.
Advertisements.

CLASS I (*a*).—The items generally falling under this class are the following, and in respect of these the rules under which they are at present sanctioned by competent authority and checked by the Controlling Officers concerned will still apply :—

Any single article manufactured in the country, costing Rupees 50 or more.
Any single article manufactured out of India, costing Rupees 5 or more.
Clothing for Servants.
Periodicals and Newspapers.
Books, the cost of which is one Rupee or more.
Hot-weather Charges.
Official Postage { Service Stamps. / Service Bearing Covers. / Insufficient Postage.
Service Telegrams—(State).

CLASS II.—(In detail.)

CURRENT NO. 30

19TH DECEMBER 1894, No. 3135, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From Colonel H. W. H. COX, Commissioner of Police, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 1st March 1894, No. 30.

In reply to G.O., dated 20th February 1894, No. 118, Public, I have the honour to report that, so far as the Madras City Police is concerned, I do not consider that any change is desirable beyond limiting appeals to Government to—

(1) dismissal in the case of all police officers;
(2) degradation in the case of police officers drawing Rs. 25 per mensem.

2. I would suggest that a reasonable period—say, two months—should be fixed for the submission of appeals and that no appeal should be entertained unless it is sent in within that time or unless good cause is shown for the delay.

From Colonel C. A. PORTEOUS, Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 4th May 1894, No. 2472.

With reference to G.O., No. 118, Public, dated 20th February 1894, I have the honour to submit herewith a statement containing my recommendations for the consideration and orders of Government.

ENCLOSURE.

Proposals for the delegation of certain powers, &c., in accordance with G.O., No. 49, dated 22nd January 1894.

Standing orders or codes.	Subject.	Recommendation.
	Appointment of sweepers ..	At present sanction of Government is required for the addition of sweepers to establishments. The pay of sweepers varies from As. 4 to Rs. 5 per mensem. The majority of them do not devote their whole time to the public service, and where this is the case, the sums paid to them are small. They are not entitled to any pension. I am of opinion that the Inspector-General of Police might be empowered to sanction the entertainment of sweepers whose whole time is not devoted to the public service and who are not therefore entitled to pension. In this department there are frequent applications for sweepers for stations, &c.
G.O., No. 1111, dated 21st October 1892, Financial Department.	Printing charges at private presses in districts.	This charge is occasionally incurred and I beg to recommend that the Inspector-General of Police may be empowered to sanction expenditure under this head not exceeding Rs. 100 a year for all districts.
	Programme of works of construction and repair to be conducted by departmental agency.	Since 1880 this return has been annually submitted to Government. I recommend that it may be dispensed with, as it appears to be of little use. Special applications are made for those works which require the sanction of Government. The programme not unfrequently undergoes alterations during the course of the year owing to estimates for works included therein not having been submitted or sanctioned in due time or because of other works having to be substituted for them.

Proposals for the delegation of certain powers, &c., in accordance with G.O., &c.—*cont.*

Standing orders or codes.	Subject.	Recommendation.
G.O., No. 1380, dated 23rd August 1862, Judicial Department.	Return of rewards disbursed ..	I am of opinion that the submission of this detailed return may be dispensed with. The total of rewards sanctioned is noticed in the Administration Report. For rewards requiring sanction of Government separate applications are made.
G.O., No. 1981, dated 12th December 1873, Judicial Department.	Return of cattle destroyed by tigers and cheetahs.	This is submitted quarterly to Government. The statement does not appear to be of much use. If necessary it may be submitted annually.
Police Order No. 416 (2) ..	Administration Report ..	No curtailment seems advisable.
	Estimates for furniture ..	In all cases, however small the cost, the sanction of the Inspector-General of Police is required for the purchase of furniture by District Superintendents. I consider that they may be empowered to sanction purchases of furniture falling under the class of 1 (*b*) Contingent charges. This will accordingly be done.
	Appeals from public servants ..	I beg to recommend that—(1) No second appeal should lie to the Inspector-General of Police in the case of constables (whose pay is Rs. 8 first class and Rs. 7 second class), the decision of the Deputy Inspector-General of Police being final. (2) No appeal should lie to Government in the case of head constables (whose pay ranges from Rs. 14 to Rs. 25), the decision of the Inspector-General being final in their case.

From the Hon'ble S. SRINIVASA RAGHAVAIYANGAR, Dewan Bahadur, C.I.E., Inspector-General of Registration, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 13th June 1894, T. No. 2223.

With reference to paragraph 4 of G.O., dated 22nd January 1894, No. 49, Revenue Department, communicated in G.O., dated 20th February 1894, No. 118, Public Department, I have the honour to report as follows:—

(1) To enable the Inspector-General to maintain discipline among the officers subordinate to him, the Government has authorized * him to fine registering officers for insolence, insubordination, misconduct or negligence in the discharge of their duties to the extent of Rs. 10 and to record such fines in their service registers. These powers are sufficient for dealing with minor offences and breaches of official discipline committed by District Registrars in the performance of their duties as registering officers under section 7 of the Registration Act; and as regards the *status* of District Registrars *as such*, I have recommended in my letter to Government, dated 4th June 1894, T. No. 1916, that they should be regarded as gazetted officers in all respects. In the case of Sub-Registrars, whose status is not sufficiently high to entitle them to the privileges of gazetted officers, I am of opinion that the Inspector-General might be empowered to suspend them pending enquiry into charges of misconduct, and to suspend them by way of punishment for three months when charges of misconduct or neglect of duty are established against them. To satisfy the provisions of section 13 of the Registration Act, which vests in Government the power to suspend registering officers when necessary, the Inspector-General might be required to submit quarterly for the approval of Government a statement of cases in which the punishment of suspension has been awarded to Sub-Registrars with a brief account of the nature of misconduct or neglect of duty for which the punishment has been awarded. The powers conferred on the Inspector-General as above shown will be similar to those exercised by Collectors and District Magistrates under Board's Standing Order No. 244 (old edition) in dealing with cases of misconduct on the part of subordinate magistrates who, under the provisions of section 26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, can be suspended only by Government.

* G.O., Judicial, dated 4th June 1880, No. 1436, and G.O., Judicial, dated 22nd June 1888, No. 1374.

(2) Applications have frequently to be made to Government for sanction for house-rent for buildings hired for the purposes of the department. The Inspector-General might be empowered to sanction such applications without reference to Government provided the rent payable per mensem does not, in each case, exceed Rs. 10.

(3) District Registrars have been empowered to grant leave to clerks for three months and to fine them one day's pay for misconduct. I propose to authorize District Registrars to grant applications of clerks for leave for periods not exceeding six months and to fine clerks in a sum not exceeding Rs. 5 or suspend them for a period not exceeding three months for misconduct.

(4) The above are, it seems to me, the only modifications in the existing arrangements which can be carried out at present with a view to curtail work done both by Government and the Inspector-General.

G.O., dated 6th September 1894, No. 653, Public.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on replies from heads of departments under the Chief Secretary to the question what powers reserved to Government could be delegated to them and what powers reserved to them could be similarly delegated to their subordinates.

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 22nd October 1894, No. 3214.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 1976, dated the 9th October 1894, on G.O., No. 653, dated 6th September 1894, Public, regarding the delegation of powers to heads of departments and their subordinates, I have the honour to state that there is no audit objection to compliance with the requests of the Inspectors-General of Police and Registration, and the Commissioner of Police, Madras, with the exception of item No. 1 in the list of the first named officer.

As sweepers form a portion of the regular sanctioned Police establishment, any change in regard to them will require the sanction of Government, under Article 57 of the Civil Account Code, irrespective of the facts that they are not entitled to pension and that their whole time is not devoted to the public service. The proposal of the Inspector-General of Police, in this respect, cannot therefore be adopted.

ORDER—dated 19th December 1894, No. 3135, Judicial.

The Inspector-General of Police proposes that he should be empowered to sanction the entertainment of sweepers whose whole time is not devoted to the public service; the Accountant-General objects that this proposal contravenes Article 57 of the Civil Account Code. It is, however, a great waste of time and labour to treat such servants as sweepers as members of the regular establishment and to submit proposition statements for them. The Accountant-General will accordingly be requested to report whether he would raise any objection to the payment of the wages of such servants from the contingent allowance in view to avoiding the necessity for preparing proposition statements and seeking the sanction of Government in each case.

2. The Inspector-General's proposal that he should be allowed to sanction charges under the head of "Printing charges at private presses in districts" up to Rs. 100 per annum for all districts seems unobjectionable, but will be further considered in the Financial Department.

3. The Government has no objection to the discontinuance of the separate "Programme of works of construction and repair conducted by departmental agency," which is now submitted by the Inspector-General of Police. But, as some check upon expenditure on such works appears necessary, the Inspector-General should send with future budgets a statement showing (1) separately proposed departmental works of (*a*) construction and (*b*) repair costing more than a certain sum (the Inspector-General will advise as to the amount of this sum) and (2) a lump sum for the rest. Also the Government is inclined to think that District Superintendents and Deputy Inspectors-General should possess certain powers of sanction in respect of works of petty construction and repair. As to the extent of these powers the Inspector-General will advise, and, in this connection, his attention will be drawn to the details of the system in force in the Salt Department as described in the Commissioner's letter read in G.O., dated 20th June 1884, No. 1556 W., Public Works, of which a copy will now be supplied to him. The Inspector-General will also be requested to report whether, in his opinion, the decision arrived at in G.O., dated 8th July 1893, No. 1396, Judicial, should be revised in favour of the old plan of treating blocks of buildings as within the Inspector-General's powers of sanction if each of the constituent buildings is within those powers.

4. The Government is not prepared to sanction the entire discontinuance of the annual return of rewards prescribed in G.O., dated 18th September 1889, No. 1614, Judicial, but the preparation of it in such a detailed form no doubt causes a great amount of labour. The Government accordingly directs that, in future, the Inspectors-General of Police and Prisons, the Commissioner of Police and District Magistrates shall report the lump expenditure during the year on rewards with details of those cases only in which the amount of the reward granted to any one person was Rs. 50 or more. In other respects the instructions contained in G.O., dated 18th September 1889, No. 1614, Judicial, will continue to be strictly observed. The opportunity will, however, be taken to raise the maximum sum awardable by the Inspector-General of Police from Rs. 50 to Rs. 100.

5. The discontinuance of the "Return of cattle destroyed by wild animals" heretofore submitted by the Inspector-General of Police has already been ordered in G.O., dated 31st July 1894, No. 1864, Judicial.

6. Colonel Porteous' proposal to delegate to District Superintendents power to sanction the purchase of furniture falling under the description of office contingencies admissible without detailed audit will be considered in the Financial Department. It seems, however, advisable that a limit should be imposed, as in Board's Standing Order 240 (1), and that District Superintendents should be empowered to purchase on their own authority country-made articles costing less than Rs. 25 each and articles made elsewhere costing less than Rs. 5 each. In all cases there must, of course, be budget provision.

7. Colonel Porteous' very proper proposals to restrict the right of appeal cannot be sanctioned until the Government of India consents to move in the matter.

8. The Government sanctions all the proposals of the Inspector-General of Registration, which are—

(1) that he be empowered to suspend Sub-Registrars pending enquiry and, for not more than three months, as a punishment, subject to the formal sanction of Government obtained on quarterly returns;

(2) that he be empowered to sanction applications for permission to pay rent for buildings in the occupation of the department when the rent does not exceed Rs. 10 per mensem;

(3) that District Registrars should be authorized to grant leave to clerks for not more than six months, to fine them in a sum not exceeding Rs. 5, and to suspend them for a period not exceeding three months.

9. The Government does not approve of Colonel Cox' proposal to limit the period within which appeals may be presented to it and, for the reason given in paragraph 7, the restrictions upon the right of appeal which he suggests cannot be sanctioned at present.

(True Extract.)

L. Gibson.
Ag. *Chief Secretary.*

To the Public Department.
" the Financial Department.
" the Inspector-General of Police.
" the " of Prisons.
" the " of Registration.
" the Commissioner of Police, Madras.
" all District Magistrates.

Exd. A. Baitt.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd. 8.1.95 Encl.

G.O., 19th Dec. 1894, No. 3135.

DECEMBER.

Delegation of Powers.

assing orders on proposals of the Inspectors-ral of Police and Registration and the issioner of Police regarding —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again G.O., dated 19th December 1894, No. 3135, Judicial.

ORDER—dated 15th February 1895, No. 160, Judicial.

With reference to paragraph 2 of the Judicial Department Proceedings read above, it is observed that all Heads of Departments were, in G.O., dated 17th November 1894, No. 1000, Financial, empowered to sanction charges on account of printing at private presses, subject to the conditions that there is budget provision and that the charges are verified by the Superintendent, Government Press.

2. The Inspector-General of Police proposes that he should be empowered to sanction expenditure under this head not exceeding Rs. 100 a year for *all* districts. Apparently *each* district is meant. The budget grants for the department range between Rs. 5,000 and Rs. 7,000 *per annum*, and it is presumed that the greater portion of this amount represents expenditure by the Inspector-General himself at the Presidency Town, and that the Accountant-General audits the expenditure against the grant allotted by the Inspector-General for each district including Madras.

3. His Excellency the Governor in Council now directs that, on every bill for the cost of printing executed by a private press, there should be a declaration, signed by the Superintendent, Government Press, or other responsible officer, to the effect that the work could not have been undertaken by the Government Press at the station without inconvenience to the interests of the public service.

4. As regards the purchase of furniture falling under the head of "Contingencies admissible without detailed audit", the Government has already authorised * the purchase of articles manufactured in the country costing Rs. 100 and less, subject to budget provision.

* G.O., dated 9th January 1895, No. 14, Financial.

District Superintendents of Police will now be empowered to purchase articles made in the country up to a limit of Rs. 25. Heads of other Departments under the Chief Secretary may apply for a similar extension of powers to subordinate officers, where such extension is considered expedient.

5. As regards articles of European manufacture, the present rule—viz., that the sanction of the controlling authority is necessary for the local purchase of such articles costing between Rs. 5 and Rs. 10—will be maintained.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Judicial Department. /
" the Departments of the Secretariat. 99
" all Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Delegation of Vol. 1

H.M.
A.

[G. 34]
200—24-10-94.

Financial Department.

List showing the distribution of the Proceedings of Government dated 15 February 1895 No. 160

	Revenue Department	.. .. ..	.. 31
	Public Works Department	.. .. ..	.. 41
	Military Department	.. .. ..	.. 21/6
	Local and Municipal Department	.. ..	..
Judicial.	Honorable the Chief Justice with C.L	..	.. 31
	Inspector-General of Police	.. ..	.. 30
	Do. of Prisons	.. ..	.. 30
	Do. of Registration	.. ..	.. 25
	Chief Presidency Magistrate	.. ..	.. 3
	Commissioner of Police	.. .. ..	.. 3
	Judges of the Madras Court of Small Causes	..	.. 3.
	Advocate-General	.. .. ..	.. 1
	Government Solicitor	.. .. ..	.. 1
	Administrator-General	.. .. ..	.. 1
	Sheriff of Madras	.. .. ..	.. 1
	Government Pleader	.. .. ..	.. 1
	Registrar of Joint Stock Companies	..	.. 1
Public.	Surgeon-General	.. .. ..	.. 6
	Meteorological Reporter	.. .. ..	.. 1
	President, Board of Examiners	.. ..	.. 1
	Private Secretary to the Right Honorable the Governor		.. 1
	Government Astronomer	.. .. ..	.. 1
	Superintendent, Government Central Museum		.. 1
	Chemical Examiner	.. .. ..	.. 1
	Superintendent of Stationery	.. ..	.. 1
	Protector of Emigrants	.. ..	.. 1
	Superintendent, Government Press	.. ..	.. 1
	Tamil Translator to Government	..	.. 1
	Telugu do. to do.	.. ..	.. 1
	Malayalum do. to do.	.. ..	.. 1
	Canarese do. to do.	.. ..	.. 1
	Hindustani do. to do.	.. ..	.. 1
	British Consular Agent, Pondicherry	..	.. 1
	Superintendent, Archæological Survey	..	.. 1
	Government Epigraphist	.. ..	.. 1
Political.	Resident in Travancore and Cochin	.. ..	.. 6
	Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends	.. ..	.. 1
	Political Agent, Pudukota	.. ..	.. 1
	Do. Sandur	.. .. ..	.. 1
	Do. Banganapalli	.. ..	.. 1
	Government Agent, Tanjore	.. ..	.. 1
	Special Agent, South Arcot	.. ..	.. 1
Ecclesiastical.	The Right Reverend the Bishop of Madras	..	.. 3
	Venerable Archdeacon of Madras	.. ..	.. 3
	Senior Chaplain of the Church of Scotland	..	.. 3
Marine.	Presidency Port Officer	.. .. ..	.. 2p
	Chairman, Harbour Trust Board		1

Dropd 21/2/95

Dead 21/2/95

opy sent to Press.

signature.

ned in print.

IN G.O. No. DATED

.O.

CLOSURES TO C. No.

ER OF GOVERNMENT

OF GOVERNMENT.

EMENT WITH DITTO.

ERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

RIMENT.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
- Order complete.
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

FINANCIAL.
- Accountant-General 6
- Commissioner of Paper Currency 1

EDUCATIONAL.
- Director of Public Instruction 20
- Commissioner for Government Examinations 1

THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE OF
- Anantapur .. ..
- North Arcot (Chittoor) ..
- South Arcot (Cuddalore) ..
- Bellary .. .. ..
- South Canara (Mangalore) ..
- Chingleput (Saidapet) ..
- Coimbatore .. ..
- Cuddapah .. ..
- Ganjam (Chatrapur) ..
- Gódávari (Cocanada) ..
- Kistna (Masulipatam) ..
- Kurnool .. ..
- Madura .. ..
- Malabar (Calicut) ..
- Nilgiris (Ootacamund) ..
- Nellore .. .. ..
- Salem .. .. ..
- Tanjore .. ..
- Tinnevelly .. ..
- Trichinopoly .. ..
- Vizagapatam .. ..

DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE OF
- North Arcot (Chittoor) ..
- South Arcot (Cuddalore) ..
- Bellary .. .. ..
- South Canara (Mangalore) ..
- Chingleput .. ..
- Coimbatore .. ..
- Cuddapah .. ..
- Ganjam (Berhampore) ..
- Gódávari (Rajahmundry) ..
- Kistna (Masulipatam) ..
- Kurnool .. ..
- Madura .. ..
- North Malabar (Tellicherry).
- South Malabar (Calicut) ..
- Nellore .. ..
- Nilgiris (Ootacamund) ..
- Salem .. .. ..
- Tanjore (Tanjore) ..
- Tinnevelly (Palamcottah) ..
- Trichinopoly .. ..
- Vizagapatam .. ..

B.—

A = Print in full.

Goht ~f

17-11-03 V

22-11-04 ✓

16-1-05 ✓

13-10-05

S/4/11 V

26-11-15 ✓

16-12-15 BT ✓

13-11-22 ✓

4-12-23 ✓

9-11-23 AV ✓

4-8-27 U

7/5/28 II

21-7-36 V

30-7-38 III

15-5-52 II

1.12.44 é

24/6/10 T

D-8-43 U ✓

1257