

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	631		1895.				
	824		1895				
	2175		1895.				

Gobt. of {1895} Madras. FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., &c., 9th February 1895,
Nos. 132, 133.

FEBRUARY.

Budget Grants.

Passing orders on the proposals of the Accountant-General for carrying out certain reductions in the — for 1895-96.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers:—

G.O., dated 18th January 1895, No. 45, Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on the Consolidated Civil Budget Estimate of this Presidency for 1895-96 and forwarding it to the Government of India.

G.O., dated 23rd January 1895, No. 63, Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Asking the Government of India to furnish this Government in future with copies of the budget notes relating to the Madras Presidency.

G.O., dated 1st February 1895, No. 102, Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Telegraphing to the Government of India regarding the budget provision for exchange compensation allowance during 1895-96.

READ—also the following papers:—

Telegram from the Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, Calcutta, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated 4th February 1895.

Your telegram of first. In cases in which alteration of grants has been suggested in budget notes Accountant-General has been instructed to consult your Government as to the change proposed.

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 4th February 1895, No. 243.

I have the honour to state that I am requested by the Financial Secretary to the Government of India to ascertain and communicate to him in the second edition of my budget notes whether His Excellency the Governor in Council has any objection to reduce the budget estimates proposed for 1895-96 under the following heads as shown below:—

						From	To
						RS.	RS.
Receipts—							
XXI.—Scientific, &c., Departments—							
Cinchona plantations						85,000	65,000
Expenditure—							
Combined Department of Salt and Excise ..						30,61,000	29,00,000
15. Post Office						1,07,000	95,000

2. From the following figures it will be seen that the actual receipts from cinchona plantations were much less than the original budget estimates:—

						Budget.	Actuals.	Difference.
						RS.	RS.	RS.
1892-93						90,000	73,000	17,000
1893-94						90,000	69,000	21,000
1894-95						82,000	60,000 (Revised)	22,000

3. I am informed that from the scheme now before the Government of India, it will be seen that the extra cost of the Railway Police scheme will be only Rs. 14,000. In that case, the provision under 20, Police, would have to be reduced by Rs. 1,00,000. Is there any objection to the proposed reduction being carried out?

4. The horse allowance to Tahsildars, &c., does not appear to have been sanctioned by the Government of India. I request that I may be informed whether proposals regarding this allowance have been submitted to the Government of India.

5. As my budget notes are due back in Calcutta not later than the 15th February, I beg that the required information may be supplied to me as soon before the close of this week as possible.

ORDER—dated 9th February 1895, No. 132, Financial.

The Government has no objection to the proposed alteration under *Cinchona* receipts.

2. The grant for the *District Post* may be reduced to '95 lakh, but the attention of the Government of India should be invited to the remarks of the Postmaster-General in paragraph 3 of his letter recorded in G.O., dated 3rd October 1894, No. 810, Financial.

3. For the reasons assigned in paragraph 1 of G.O., dated 30th November 1894, No. 850, Revenue, and in view of the necessity for affording full scope for the expansion of departmental operations, which have hitherto not been fully developed, the Government is strongly opposed to any reduction being made in the estimated charges under *Salt and Abkari*.

4. The full cost of the Railway Police scheme was inserted deliberately as the irreducible minimum to be provided in the estimates of 1895-96, and the Government strongly deprecates any reduction under this head. The Government of India (Home Department) has been addressed by the Judicial Department on this point.

5. The Revenue Department has placed itself in communication with the Revenue and Agricultural Department of the Government of India in regard to the grant of horse allowances to Tahsildars, &c. No reductions can be made under this head.

(True Extract.)

For Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.
" Revenue Department.
" Judicial Department.
" Postmaster-General, Madras.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 9th February 1895, No. 133, Financial.

Copy to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department.

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

[$\frac{G}{9}$]
2,000-23-1-95.

Current No. 312

Finl. DEPARTMENT.

Telegram

From

The Govt of India
Finance.

No.

Dated 4.2.95

Received 5/2

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Informing that A. G. has been instructed to consult the Madras Govt. as to the alteration of grants in budget notes:

102 : 1-2-95 Finl
45 : 18-1-95 Finl Sp
707 : 10-9-94 Finl Sp

CURRENT NO 312 02276

Code Class

SS

INDIAN



TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number.

30

To (Office)

Madras

From (Office)

Calcutta

Words.

Day.

Hour.

Minute.

Official Instructions.

To (Person)

Madras

From (Person)

Fin

CHIEF SECRETARY
5 FEB 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

your telegram of first
in cases in which
alteration of grants has
been suggested in budget
notes a g has been
been instructed to consult
your government as to
the change proposed

64 Word Form.

F.C.2

Recd. from

L.N.

Sent to

L.N.

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

MADRAS 4-2-95

Telegraph Master.

C. G. P.-No. - T-45 94-20,00,000.

05530
Financial

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
1895

14 Fin (1895) DEPARTMENT.

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
MADRAS,

To
THE Chief Secy to Govt
Financial Dept

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
5 FEB. 1895

Dated 4 } February 1895
Received 5 }

Mr 2. A.
Please see 35 this
Sharp - 60
Subject.

Requests to be informed
if His Excellency the Governor
in Council has any objection
to reduce the Budget Estimate
for 1895-96 as proposed
by the Govt of India
and also if the proposals
regarding the grant of horse
allowance to Sahibdars
have been submitted
to the Govt of India.

No 226 of 5-2-95

Memorandum

The Revenue Department is
requested to report as early as
possible whether the proposed
reductions under Amichona
receipts, and Salt and Excise
charges may be carried out
to the extent proposed by the
Accountant-General and
when the Government of
India will be addressed as
regards the grant of Horse
allowance to Sahibdars and
the improvement of Saluk
Establishments (Para. 3 of G.O.
of 15th December 1894, No. 1108
Financial).

Copied to
The Revenue Department. Dated
5/2/95

Budget DEPARTMENT.

48 314
FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office,

4th February 1895.

No. 243

From

J. W. Lawlin's Esquire,

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government,

Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honour to state that I am requested by the Financial Secretary to the Government of India to ascertain and communicate to him in the second edition of my Budget Notes whether His Excellency the Governor in Council has any objection to reduce the Budget Estimates proposed for 1895-96 under the following heads as shown below:-

Reductions proposed
By the 1895-96
Budget notes

Receipts	From	To
XXI:- Scientific and Departments.	Rs.	Rs.
Cinchona Plantations	85,000	65,000

Expenditure

Combined Department of salt and Excise	30,61,000	29,00,000
15 Post office	1,07,000	95,000

2. From the following figures it will be seen that the

the actual receipts from cinchona Plantations were much less than the original Budget Estimates.

	Budget	actuals	Difference
1892-93	Rs 90,000	Rs 73,000	Rs 17,000
1893-94	90,000	69,000	21,000
1894-95	82,000	60,000 (Revised)	22,000

3. I am informed that from the scheme now before the Government of India, it would be seen that the extra cost of the Railway Police scheme will be only Rs 14,000. In that case, the provision under 20 Police would have to be reduced by Rs 1,00,000. Is there any objection to the proposed reduction being carried out?

4. The Horse Allowance to Tahsildars &c does not appear to have been sanctioned by the Government of India. I request that I may be informed whether proposals regarding this allowance have been submitted to the Government of India.

5. As my Budget Notes are due back in Calcutta not later than the 15 February, I beg that the required information may be supplied to me as soon before the close of this week as possible.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servt,

A. M. S.

Accountant General

From Chief Secy. To. Secy. Genl

On page 54 of the printed civil estimates for 95/96 the total of the revised estimate under general establishment is entered as 23,84,600 though the details work out to 25,84,600

Please say whether there is any explanation for this, and whether the opening balance on 1st April 1895 will in any way be affected by it.

Code
Class.INDIAN  TELEGRAPHS. Monthly
Number.

To

(Office)

From

(Office)

Words,

Day,

Hour,

Minute,

Official
Instructions.

To

(Person)

From

(Person)

Chief Secretary

Deputy General

64 Word Form.

The revised estimate, under
general establishment subordinate
to 3 Land Revenue should have been
25,84,000 instead of 23,84,000 which
it was due to an unfortunate clerical
error that escaped detection but in
my budget notes the revised was raised
with reference to actuals, the opening
provincial balance on 1st April 1895
as affected by this and other changes
since made and approved by Govt
of India now stands at 34,64,000
against 34,80,000 at first estimated.

- 16.

Recd. from

LN.

Sent to

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

7int.

CURVED NO. 354

REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

8 FEB. 1895

OFFICE NOTE. No 9

Station Fort St George date 7 Feb 1895.
Recd 8/2

From The Honble. the Secretary to Govt Revenue Dept.

To The Honble. the Chief Secretary Financial Dept.

With reference to Memo Financial, No 226, dated the 5th instant, the undersigned has the honor to state that there is no objection to reducing the estimate of the receipts of the Cinchona Department for 1895-96 from Rs 85,000 to Rs 65,000; but that no reduction is practicable in the estimate of "Salt and Abkari" charges.

The Board has already been called upon to submit detailed proposals for improving the

* In collecting matters for the Financial Statement to explain the difference between the Budget & the Revised C.S.

* a different of 16

+
 § 1513 of the C.A. Code.

It has since been discovered that the Accountant General has erroneously reduced the provision in the Revised estimates under Land Revenue by 2 lakhs, on the basis of a wrong total on page 54 of the printed Civil estimates, where the cost of general establishment is shown as 23,84,600 instead of 25,84,600 which the details work up to. He has however telephoned stating that the opening balance of 1895-96 will not be materially affected, and as he is ^{wholly} responsible for the Revised estimates, this does not affect the questions now at issue.

H
 7/2/95

Reduction under Cinchona (Quichona) may stand - but no reduction is possible under Salt & Excise -

H. 4/8/2.

H
 6/2/95.

Proposed reductions
in
Budget grants.

A. M.

E

The Secy, Rev Dept.
In favour of committee
= initials

Financial Department.

C. No. 312, 314,

FOR ORDERS

The Revenue Department has been asked to report as regards the items under its control. It is submitted that the Accountant General should not be allowed to meddle with the Budget figures in this way, solely on the basis of past actuals. He has nothing to do with the schemes for administrative improvement provided by this Government.

(i) Cinchona receipts. It does not at all

follow that because the receipts in the past have fallen short of expectations, the same will always happen.

Mr. Lawson, who ought to know best,

said, in submitting the Revised estimate, "lately the quinine market has

shown a tendency to improve and this

"might favourably influence the sale

"of the Government quinine: moreover

"the sale of the quinine and fibrifuge

"has been widely advertised and this

"has to some extent increased the

"orders from the public. On the

budget he said: "The receipts have

been

* Please see reply C. No.

Cd.
2.95

I don't see any harm in what the A.G. has done. He is merely acting as the agent of the Govt. of India - He distinctly says so.

* Please see Art. 153 of the Civil Act Code.

* This may be but he -

Lawson is not a very good hand at estimating and he may be wrong.

It does not matter very much as regards this item in view of the effect to

I don't object to the Cinchona reduction

BS

1032
28-11-74

"been fixed at 83,000 and this it is
 "hoped will be realised, first because
 "the price of quinine in the general
 "market shows a tendency to rising
 "steadily, and secondly because it is
 "believed that there will be an increase
 "in the demand for both quinine and
 "febrifuge."

(ii) Salt and excise charges. The
 budget usually provides for the full
 sanctioned sale, and the deductions
 for probable savings are purposely
 made small, so as to keep in a reserve.
 The Government should, it is submitted,
 stick to 80.00 at the least.

(iii) Post Office. The budget was ~~passed~~
 passed for 90 and the 17 was left in
 as the Post Master General promised to
 introduce improvements in the village
 postal service, and the Director
 General agreed to transfer remunerative
 establishments ^{to Imperial} solely on the condi-
 tion that 1.07 was granted.

If the District Post is worth maintain-
 ing at all, it must be improved. For
 years, the grants ^{have been} cut down, and the
 efficiency of the service must have
 suffered in consequence.

(iv) India has not replied to the
 telegram about the partial Railway
 Police scheme despatched on the 14th
 January

*We cant relinquish one
 anna under this head*

*I understand this
 means to be that Govt
 should not yield
 on this point*

S.O. 1075
 7-12-94

*It is a pretty economy
 but Rs. 12,000 in excess
 saved in Provincial*

*Relative way as to this -
 we have more important
 matters to fight about*

S.O. 810
 8-10-94

R. 37
 14-1-95

S.O. 1108
 18-12-94

*India ought to have
 been addressed about
 this before now. Explana-
 tion in brief should go
 at once.*

^{seems}
 January. It is evident that unless a
 very strong representation is made, in
 Judicial, pressing for the retention of
 the whole scheme, and this, by telegram,
 India will cut out the provision.
 (v) The scheme for Horse allowances &c.
 was put into the Budget, only because it
 was found in the first week of December
 that ~~no~~ funds would be available. Till
 then there was no certainty that the
 budget could be balanced properly, and it
 was manifestly premature to submit the
 scheme to India for approval.

Previous sanction is not absolutely
 necessary, if article 155 (g) is read
 together with article 154 (2) of the
 Civil Account Code; (provision for the
 whole of Mr. Garstin's scheme was
 repeated for years, before it was sanction-
 ed.)

The Revenue Department has been
 asked when the Government of India
 will be addressed as regards this scheme

Submitted that the proper answer to
 give to the Accountant General on this
 paper would be -

*Really cant read how
 this sums up to
 cant initial it*
 H.E. the Governor in Council assents
 to the reduction of the grant for
 the combined Dept. of Salt & Excise
 from 30.61 to 30 lakhs but
 * This will be done to be allowed for
 the reductions in receipt under Cutch
 & the expenditure under Post Office are equal to,
 the Government does not consent to
 other
 the reductions proposed by the
 Accountant

Accountant General: the Government of India has already been or will be addressed as regards the necessity to retain the provision under the various heads."

The Finance and Commerce Department

may be addressed at once, in the

Financial Department, as regards

District Post, Cinchona, ^{rescued}

charges.

The Home Department may be wired to

in the Judicial Department, to re-

tain the full cost of the Rail-

way Police scheme

The Revenue and Agricultural Depart-

ment may be wired to, in the Revenue

Department, and ^{ing} warned that pro-

posals regarding Tahsildars are com-

ing and must be provided for in the

Budget.

*This is the
first in which
is affirmed would
not be needed -
CS
MS*

*The reports
as to Taluk Dist. MS.
also, I think, mentioned
MS
8/2/95*

*CS
6.2.95*

PTO

*AB
6/4/95*

Ministerial Department.

Plans.

Note for the Honorable Mr. Bliss, C.I.E.

It is respectfully submitted that the Government of India ^{itself has} as yet expressed no wishes, or made any requests for reducing the grants. The whole of the present proposals emanated direct from the Accountant General, who had inserted them in the first edition of his Budget notes, which were sent to India by him at the same time that the Civil estimates were despatched from here.

As Government applied for a copy of the Budget notes [Financial No. 63, dated 23rd January 1895] and requested that no reductions should be made without consulting ^{being} ~~it~~ [G.O. dated 1st February 1895, No. 102] the Government of India has now directed the Accountant General to come up to this Government; otherwise the Accountant General would have had it all his own way.

*There seems to be no doubt about this being the case, but I don't think it need be troubled with this now
CS
MS
MS*

*AB
7/2/95*

Reductions in Budget grants

Special

From orders -

CS ~~VB~~

The Secy Revenue Dept
for favour of counter-initiate

NOTE to the CS

The AG has telephoned
in a reply to the letter
written as he has to start
his budget notes on Monday

CS
1/2/95

Final 40 132/3
9/2/95

[5]

Financial DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:-

Again G.O., dated 18 January 1895, No. 45 }
Again G.O., dated 23 January 1895, No. 63 } P.
Current No. 312 of 1895, dated 1 February 1895, No. 102 }
314 of 1895, dated 1 February 1895, No. 102 } A

Current No. of 1895, dated from

ORDER, 4/9-2-95, No. 132.

The Government has no objection to the proposed alteration under Cinchona receipts, which may be fixed with reference to the latest ascertained actuals.

2. The grant for the District Post may be reduced to 95 lakh, but the attention of the Government of India should be invited to the ^{remarks} ~~wishes~~ of the ^{Post Master} ~~Director~~ General of Post Office in this matter ⁱⁿ ~~his~~ ^{records} ~~in~~ paragraph 3 of the ~~Postmaster~~ ^{General's} letter in G.O. Financial, dated 3rd October 1894, No. 810.

3. For the reasons assigned in paragraph 1 of G.O. Revenue dated 30th November 1894, No. 850, in view of the necessity for affording full scope for the expansion of departmental operations, which have hitherto not been fully developed, the Government is strongly opposed to any reduction

has 30/80 1075 7.12.94

reduction being made in the estimated charges under Salt & Abkari.

4. The full cost of the Railway Police scheme was inserted deliberately as the irreducible minimum to be provided in the estimates of 1895-96, and the Government strongly deprecates any reduction under this head. The Government of India [Home Dept.] has been addressed ^{by} the Judicial Department on this point.

5. The Revenue Department has placed itself in communication with the Revenue and Agricultural Department of the Government of India in regard to the grant of House allowances to Tahsildars &c. No reductions can be made under this head.

CS 9/2/95
To
The Accountant General,
.. Revenue Department,
.. Judicial Department,
.. Postmaster General, Madras.

No. 133.

35c
Copy to the Government of India,
Finance and Commerce Department.

CS 9/2/95

AB 9/2/95

9TH FEBRUARY 1895, Nos. 132, 133, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers:—

G.O., dated 18th January 1895, No. 45, Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on the Consolidated Civil Budget Estimate of this Presidency for 1895-96 and forwarding it to the Government of India.

G.O., dated 23rd January 1895, No. 63, Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Asking the Government of India to furnish this Government in future with copies of the budget notes relating to the Madras Presidency.

G.O., dated 1st February 1895, No. 102, Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Telegraphing to the Government of India regarding the budget provision for exchange compensation allowance during 1895-96.

READ—also the following papers:—

Telegram from the Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, Calcutta, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated 4th February 1895.

Your telegram of first. In cases in which alteration of grants has been suggested in budget notes Accountant-General has been instructed to consult your Government as to the change proposed.

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 4th February 1895, No. 243.

I have the honour to state that I am requested by the Financial Secretary to the Government of India to ascertain and communicate to him in the second edition of my budget notes whether His Excellency the Governor in Council has any objection to reduce the budget estimates proposed for 1895-96 under the following heads as shown below:—

					From	To
					RS.	RS.
Receipts—						
XXI.—Scientific, &c., Departments—						
Cinchona plantations					85,000	65,000
Expenditure—						
Combined Department of Salt and Excise ..					30,61,000	29,00,000
15. Post Office					1,07,000	95,000

2. From the following figures it will be seen that the actual receipts from cinchona plantations were much less than the original budget estimates:—

					Budget.	Actuals.	Difference.
					RS.	RS.	RS.
1892-93					90,000	73,000	17,000
1893-94					90,000	69,000	21,000
1894-95					82,000	60,000 (Revised)	22,000

3. I am informed that from the scheme now before the Government of India, it will be seen that the extra cost of the Railway Police scheme will be only Rs. 14,000. In that case, the provision under 20, Police, would have to be reduced by Rs. 1,00,000. Is there any objection to the proposed reduction being carried out?

4. The horse allowance to Tahsildars, &c., does not appear to have been sanctioned by the Government of India. I request that I may be informed whether proposals regarding this allowance have been submitted to the Government of India.

5. As my budget notes are due back in Calcutta not later than the 15th February, I beg that the required information may be supplied to me as soon before the close of this week as possible.

ORDER—dated 9th February 1895, No. 132, Financial.

The Government has no objection to the proposed alteration under *Cinchona* receipts.

2. The grant for the *District Post* may be reduced to '95 lakh, but the attention of the Government of India should be invited to the remarks of the Postmaster-General in paragraph 3 of his letter recorded in G.O., dated 3rd October 1894, No. 810, Financial.

3. For the reasons assigned in paragraph 1 of G.O., dated 30th November 1894, No. 850, Revenue, and in view of the necessity for affording full scope for the expansion of departmental operations, which have hitherto not been fully developed, the Government is strongly opposed to any reduction being made in the estimated charges under *Salt and Abkari*.

4. The full cost of the Railway Police scheme was inserted deliberately as the irreducible minimum to be provided in the estimates of 1895-96, and the Government strongly deprecates any reduction under this head. The Government of India (Home Department) has been addressed by the Judicial Department on this point.

5. The Revenue Department has placed itself in communication with the Revenue and Agricultural Department of the Government of India in regard to the grant of horse allowances to Tahsildars, &c. No reductions can be made under this head.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.
" Revenue Department.
" Judicial Department.
" Postmaster-General, Madras.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 9th February 1895, No. 133, Financial.

Copy to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department.

Chief Secretary.

Gobt. of {1895} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., &c., 9th February 1895,
Nos. 132, 133.

FEBRUARY.

Budget Grants.

Passing orders on the proposals of the Account-
ant-General for carrying out certain reductions
in the — for 1895-96.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

HEAD AGAIN G.O., No.
133

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

Order complete. 6-
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.