Archives I—10,006—16-8-77.

(1) Number of pages 48

53 (2) Date of repair 7-5-87

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Judl DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 2082 Press.
B.P. No. Mis.

Dated 29. 10. 1881.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

25 + 4.

29.

(A. Numbers 4)

(7A, 9A, 11A, 12A.)

2082/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT

29th October 1881, No. 2082.

Municipal—Gratuities.

Read the following papers:—

From C. L. B. CUMMING, *Esq., President of the Municipal Commission, Malabar, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Calicut,* 3rd *May* 1881, *No.* 50 [*Current No.* 1264].

In forwarding the marginally-noted correspondence, I have the honor to request that Government may be pleased to sanction a gratuity of Rs. 90 to Vaccinator Paul Achacooty of the Cochin Municipality. He has a total service of over 12 years of which 6½ years are superior service. He seems to have worked well, and has given general satisfaction to the Commissioners who are willing to grant him a gratuity in this case.

1. Statement of service of Vaccinator Paul Achacooty.
2. Petition of the Vaccinator Paul Achacooty, dated 15th December 1880, to the Vice-President, Municipal Commission, Cochin, and the endorsement thereon.
3. Application for gratuity, dated 3rd May 1881.

2. As this appears to be the first occasion on which any such reference is made to Government, I request that Government may be pleased to issue general orders on the subject for future guidance.

No. 34.

Transferred to the Financial Department.

(Signed) E. N. OVERBURY,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

OOTACAMUND,
23*rd May* 1881.

No. 943.

Referred to the Accountant-General for favor of remarks.

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

1*st June* 1881.

From H. F. CLOGSTOUN, *Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George,* 15*th June* 1881, *No. J.A.E.*-113 [*Current No.* 1565].

Pension Department.

With reference to Mr. Under-Secretary Forbes's Endorsement, No. 943, dated the 1st June 1881, referring to me, for remarks, a letter from the President of the Municipal Commission, Malabar, No. 50, dated the 3rd May last, requesting the sanction of Government for the payment of a gratuity to Paul Achacooty, late a Vaccinator on the establishment of the Cochin Municipality, I have the honor to state that Achacooty's services cannot be verified, as this Office does not maintain register of services rendered on Municipal Establishments. Under the provisions of Rule 2, Section 66, of the Civil Pension Code, 5th Edition, officers serving in Municipalities are excluded from pension or gratuity from Government; but should Government be pleased to sanction the grant of a gratuity from the funds of the Cochin Municipality, the rules admit of the grant to Paul Achacooty of a gratuity of Rs. 180, or one month's emoluments for each year of superior service, not exceeding twelve years in all.

2. The whole of the Vaccinator's service is *superior service* under the Pension Code.

No. 1126.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

With reference to the citation, in the letter above quoted, of Section 66, Rule 2, Civil Pension Code, the Accountant-General is requested to state what meaning is attached by him to Clause (*b*) of Section 10 of the same Code.

OOTACAMUND,
30*th June* 1881.

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General.

From H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 11th July 1881, *No. J.A.E.*-154 [*Current No.* 1773].

Pension Department.

With reference to Mr. Under-Secretary Forbes's Official Memorandum, No. 1126, dated the 30th June 1881, I have the honor to state that I understand Clause (*b*) of Section 10 of the Civil Pension Code, 5th Edition, to mean that the service of the following officers counts as if it were British service :—

(1) Medical Officers of Government lent to charitable dispensaries or hospitals in British India.

(2) (*a*) Vaccinators enrolled as servants of Government, and who are paid by Government, although employed in Military Cantonments or under Municipalities, or

(*b*) Those Vaccinators who are enrolled as servants of Government and employed in any manner but paid from Local Funds.

2. In the case of P. Achacooty, a Vaccinator of the Cochin Municipality, this office reported that his service did not count for pension under Rule 2, Section 66, of the Civil Pension Code, since he was not enrolled and paid by Government, but by the Cochin Municipality.

From G. McWATTERS, Esq., President of the Municipal Commission, Malabar, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Calicut, 3rd October 1881, *No.* 302 [*Current No.* 2719].

With reference to the Official Memorandum of the Under Secretary to Government, dated 5th ultimo, Financial Department, I have the honor to state that the Commissioners of the Cochin Municipality have expressed their willingness to pay a gratuity of Rs. 180 to their late Vaccinator Paul Achacooty. There is no provision in the budget for this unexpected payment, but the Commissioners desire that Government will be pleased to sanction its being met from the money obtained from the lease of the Vypeen Ferry, which is in excess of the sum estimated by Rs. 555.

2082/2

ORDER THEREON, 29th October 18 32.

The Government sanction the payment of the to the Vaccinator from Imperial Funds with reference to the letter of the G at of India, recorded in G.O., 14th September 1881, No. 1719.

(True Extract.)

R. DAVIDSON,
Chief Secretary.

To the President of the Municipal Commission, Malabar,
with Gratuity A on.
" Accountant-General.
" Pension Department.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of (1881) Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To
THE PRESIDENT OF THE
MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
Malabar,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated October, 29th,

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 29th October 1881, No. 2082.

OCTOBER.

Municipal—Gratuities.—The payment, from Imperial funds, of a gratuity of Rs. 180 to Paul Achacooty, late a Vaccinator on the establishment of the Cochin Municipality, sanctioned.

Malabar Presidents Office
Calicut 3rd May 1881

No 50

CURRENT No. 1264

From,

C. L. B. Cumming Esquire
President
Municipal Commission
Malabar

2082/3

To,

The Honorable R. Davidson C.S.
Chief Secretary to Government
Ootacamund

Sir,

Statement of service of accinator Paul Achacooty

Petition of the Vaccinator Paul Achacooty dated 15th December 1880

The Vice President unicipal Commission ochin and the endorse ent thereon.

Application for ratuity dated 3rd ay 1881.

In forwarding the marginally noted correspondence, I have the honour to request that Government may be pleased to sanction a gratuity of Rs 90 .. to Vaccinator Paul Achacooty of the Cochin Municipality. He has a total service of over 12 years of which 5½ years are superior service. He seems to have worked well and has given general satisfaction to the Commissioners who are willing to grant him a gratuity in this case.

2. As this appears to be the first occasion on which any such reference is made to Government I request that Government may be pleased to issue general orders on the

DISPOSED OF
OCT 81
BY G. O. No.

subject for future guidance.

I have the honour to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant

President

2082/4

R. D.
28 MAY 81

I
A

Pension Departt

No. 34

Transferred to the Financial Department

Ootacamund
23 May 1881 } E. Overbury
Ag Under Secry

To The Financial Departt

No. 943.

Referred to the Acct Genl for favor of remarks

E. J. Forbes
Ag Under Secy.

1st June 1881.

I have no [illegible] for checking this service. [illegible] admissible to sanction [illegible] of Rs 90 to Achacooty payable from the Cochin fund.
H

~~Pension~~ Financial 1881 Deptt
1881 Local Fund
R. D.
DEPARTMENT.
28 MAY 81 Pension

From
THE President
Municipal Commission
OF MALABAR.

No. 776

To,
The Chief Secretary
to Government
~~Ootacamund~~

CURRENT No. 1264

Dated
Despatched
Received } ~~3rd May~~ 6 1881.

Recd. 28 May 81.

No. 50

Enclosures 3

SUBJECT.

Paul Achacooty Vaccinator to Cochin Municipality - Requesting sanction to Rs 90 - to be given to - as gratuity and to receive general orders on the subject.

Transferred

Pension DEPARTMENT.

No. $\frac{\text{IAE}}{113}$

CURRENT No. 1565

Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
15th June 1881.

2082/5

From
H. F. Clogstoun Esqre
Offg. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Chief Secretary to Government
Financial Department
Ootacamund.

SIR,

With reference to Mr Under Secretary Forbes' Endorsement No. 943 dated the 1st June 1881, referring to me for remarks a letter from the President of the Municipal Commission, Malabar, No. 50 dated the 3rd May last, requesting the sanction of Government for the payment of a Gratuity to Paul Achacooty, late a Vaccinator on the Establishment of the Cochin Municipality, I have the honor to state that Achacooty's services cannot be verified, as this Office does not maintain registers of services rendered on Municipal Establishments. Under the provisions of rule 2 Section 66 of the Civil Pension Code 5th Edition, Officers serving in Municipalities are excluded from pension or Gratuity from Government; but should Government be pleased to sanction the grant of a Gratuity from the funds of

DISPOSED OF
OCT 81
BY G.O. No.

2082/6

of the Cochin Municipality, the rules admit of the grant to Paul Achacooty of a Gratuity of Rs. 180 or one months Emoluments for each year of Superior service, not exceeding 12 years in all.-

2. The whole of the vaccinator's service is superior service under the Pension Code.-

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.

H Maglain
Offg. Accountt. General.

2082/81

For orders.
P. B.

Financial (1881 Department)
(Munpl. _ Pension)

From

The Accountant General
Madras

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Financial Department

CURRENT No. 1565

No. 2082/81

No: IAE/113

Dated } 15th June 1881
Received 17th

No: IAE/113

Stating that Paul Achacooty late a vaccinator on the Establishment of the Cochin Municipality can be granted from the funds of that Municipality, a gratuity of Rs 180

Pension DEPARTMENT.

No. IAE/154

CURRENT No. 1773

Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
11th July 1881.

81

2082/7

From
H. F. Clogstoun Esqre.
Offg. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Chief Secretary to Government
Financial Department
Ootacamund.

SIR,

With reference to Mr. Under Secretary Forbes' Official Memorandum No. 1126 dated the 30th June 1881, I have the honor to state that I understand clause (b) of Section 10 of the Civil Pension Code 5th Edition to mean that the service of the following officers counts as if it were British service.—

1. Medical Officers of Government lent to charitable dispensaries or hospitals in British India.

(2) (a) Vaccinators enrolled as servants of Government and who are paid by Government, although employed in Military Cantonments or under Municipalities, or

(b) Those vaccinators who are enrolled as servants of Government and employed in any manner but paid from Local Funds.—

In the case of P. Achacooty, a Vaccinator of the Cochin Municipality, this Office reported that his service did not count for pension under rule 2 Section 66 of the Civil Pension Code, since he was not enrolled & paid

by

DISPOSED OF
OCT 81
BY G. O. No.

by Government, but by the Cochin Municipality.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servt

H Clayton
Offg Accountt General

AD

2082
7A

(Finl. 1881. Department)

(Muncl – Pension)

From

The Accountant General

Madras.

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt.

Financial Department.

No. $\frac{IAE}{154}$

Dated } 11th July 1881
Received } 12 "

CURRENT No. 1773

No. $\frac{IAE}{154}$

States how he understood clause (h) section 10 of the Civil Pension Code & why he reported that the services of P. Achacooty of Cochin Municipality did not count for pension

---

CURRENT No. 2719

Malabar Presidents Office
Calicut 3rd October 1881

No: 302.

2082/8

From,

G. McWatters Esqre
President
Municipal Commission
Malabar

To

The Honourable R. Davidson C.S.I.
Chief Secretary to
Government
Ootacamund.

Sir,

With reference to the official memorandum[+] of the Under Secretary to Government dated 5th ultimo F.D. I have the honour to state, that the Commissioners of the Cochin Municipality have expressed their willingness to pay a gratuity of Rs 180 to their late Vaccinator Paul Atchacooty. There is no provision in the Budget for this unexpected payment but the Commissioners desire that Government will be pleased to sanction its being met from the money obtained

[+] sent fm Madras.

DISPOSED OF 29 OCT BY G.O. No

obtained from the lease of the Vypeen Ferry, which is in excess of the sum estimated by ~~Rs~~ 555. " ".

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Geo McWatters
President

J.M.
..
D.H.

2082
9

3082
P

M. D.

Finl 1881 Dept

From (Munl - gratuities)

R. D.
9 OCT 81

From,

The President
Municipal Commission
Malabar

To,

The Chief Secretary to
Government
Ootacamund.

S 0 2013 / 81
Entered
10-10-81

No: 3022
Dated 3rd October 1881.

~~C p 5~~

Recd CURRENT No. 2719

~~Encl - nil.~~

Vaccinator Porel Achacooty -
Requesting the sanction of Govt.
for the payment of a gratuity
of Rs 180 &c.

3. A[illegible]

A 7/10.

3082
9 A.

Official Memorandum
5 P

~~Despd 30/6~~

No. 1126

Letter from the
Accountant General,
No. $\frac{\text{I. A. E}}{113}$, dated 15th
June, 1881.

With reference to the
citation, in the letter
above quoted, of Section
66, rule 2, Civil Pension
Code, the Accountant
General is requested
to state what meaning
is attached by him
to Clause (h) of Section
70 of the Same Code.

To the Acct. Genl.

A. P.
29/6/81

Ootacamund
30 June / 81

4112

Minute – Pensions

Fail

~~Send [illegible]~~ keep papers here –

2082/60

To,

The Vice President and Commissioners
of the Cochin Municipality

The humble petition of Paul Achacooty
Vaccinator

Respectfully Sheweth

That petitioner has been employed from September 1867 to January 1880, or about a period of 13 years, as vaccinator, and that he has discharged his duties to the best of his ability and always endeavoured to give satisfaction will be proved by the certificates he holds, and which he shall present if necessary, as well as from the fact that being called upon by his superior to pass the manual of Vaccination he appeare and passed in the first-class.

That in January last, he began to feel his eyesight failing and subsequently the disease turning out Cataract of both eyes he became unable any more to attend to his duties.

That he went through a course of medical treatment afterwards but unfortunately all his endeavours proved futile.

Petitioner need hardly mention

to your honorable body that for the efficient discharge of his duties he had constantly to move about from place to place in all times and seasons and there is no doubt that the heat of the sun which he had to endure and to which he was exposed had fatal effect on his sight.

That during the whole period of his service petitioner has always been diligent attentive and regular, and he has not once applied for leave prior to his falling sick

Under the circumstances petitioner humbly craves that your honorable body will be kindly pleased to take a merciful view of petitioner's present helpless and unfortunate condition and that as a special act of benevolence, bestow on petitioner a small monthly pension that unable to do any manual labour for the support of himself and his poor family consisting of 9 souls, petitioner may at least by your generous aid, pass his poor days

Cochin
15th Decbr 1880 }

Ever Pray

(Sgd) P. J. Pourl Achacoty

The Commissioners are of opinion that this application should be made to Government through the President.

Cochin
8th Febry 1881 }

(Sgd). W. H. Morgan
Vice President.

(True Copy)

2082/11

President.

D.B.

Statement of Service of the late vaccinator Paul Atchacooty.

Name	Designation	Salary	Date of Birth	Religion	Caste	Date of Appointment	Date of Termination of the Appointment	Remarks.
Palliparambil Jesus Paul Atchacooty	Vaccinator	From 24th September to 23rd October 1867 Rs 7. 24th October 1867 to 30th April 1873 Rs 10. 1st May 1873 to 31st March 1879 Rs 12. 1st April 1879 to 16th March 1880 Rs 15	30th December 1836.	Roman Catholic	Native Christian	24th September 1867.	16th March 1880	Privilege leave granted from 16th January to 16th March 1880 on account of sickness

2082/11A

Municipal office
Cochin March 3rd 1881.

(signed) W. H. Morgan Surgeon Major

Vice President

President

[illegible] No 376

No. Financial DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

2082/12

The Under Secretary to Government is directed to request that the President of the Cochin Municipality will state with reference to the enclosed copy of a letter from the Accountant Genl. on the subject of a gratuity to Vaccinator Paul Achalooty referred to in the President's letter dated 3 May 1881 No. 50, whether the Commissioners are willing to pay the ~~enhanced~~ amount of the gratuity to which the Accountant Genl considers the man to be eligible.—

15 June 1881 No 248/113

5/8.

Madras
5 Augt 1881.

(By Order.)

Under Secretary.

To The President of Cochin Municipality with copy of Acctt Genl's letter

4.8.81

Desp 6/8

(187) DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Dept 6/7/81

No.

From

Dated

Recd.

No.

SUBJECT.

[illegible]

No. 1565 Finl DEPARTMENT.

2082/13

From

The Accountant General

d/ 15 June 1881 No. $\frac{D.A.E.}{113}$

" " d/ 11 July. 1881, No. $\frac{D.A.E.}{154}$

FOR ORDERS.

I presume the Acct Gen. draws a distinction between Municl. Fds & Local Fds but I don't think this was intended. The effect wd. be that if a Vaccinator is employed in a Municy but paid by Govt. he is entitled to Pension or Gratuity from Govt. but if so employed & paid by the Municy he is not so entitled. It seems anomalous which if paid from

Ask the Acct Gen. to explain his citation of Rule 2 Sec 66. What meaning he attaches to Cl (6) Sec 10. of the C. Pens. C.

The Accountant Genl's reply to this question is submitted to the Hon. the same.

13/7/81

Local funds he is again Entitled – There seems no principle in this.

I assume that all these Vaccinators are Enlisted origly by Govt. & "Enrolled as servants of Govt."

In the case under refce this was from the date of the Mans Entertaint. (Sept 6?) seems to have been certainly the case.

I understand the intention of Cl (b) Sec 10. of the Pension Code to be that Govt. Medl. Os. (inclg Vaccinators) lent to & paid by Municl. or Local Bds. – are entitled to Pension or Gratuity from Govt. under the rules. & this seems very reasonable the service for wh. Govt. Enlisted them having been performed. ~~but~~ Govt. being relieved of the cost of paying them during service – but accepting the Pension to charge it as its contribn. from public funds towards the total charges for a public service –

Put all this into a Res: refer to G. I. to know if they accept this interpretation.

S.B. 16/7/81

Municipal – Pensions

FOR ORDERS.

The Hon: the P[illegible]

13/7/81

M 22/6 RM 13.7
R 29-6 R[illegible]

Financial Department.

2082/10

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~letter~~

Letter from the President Municipal Commⁿ, Malabar, d/ 3rd May 1881 No 50.
Endorsement thereon d/ 1st June 1881.
Letter from the Accountant General dated 15th June 1881 No I.A.E./113.
Offl Memo to do — d/ 30 June /81 No 1126
Letter from do — d/ 11th July /81 No I.A.E./154.

There is nothing to show, or to lead to the supposition that the Petitioner's failing eyesight is directly attributable to undue exposure or to exceptionally hard work.

I imagine he had no expectation of earning a pension when he became a Municipal Vaccinator. He draws the Municipal

The Government ~~presume~~ observe that the Accountant General draws a distinction between Municipal Funds and Local Fds which does not appear to have been intended. The effect of such distinction would be that if a Vaccinator is employed in a Municipality but paid by Government he is entitled to pension or gratuity from Government; but if so employed and paid by the Municipality he is not so entitled. This seems anomalous, especially ~~because~~ as, if paid from Local Funds, he is again so entitled. These distinctions seem devoid of principle. ~~The Governor in Council~~ It is assumed that all Vaccinators ~~are~~ were enlisted originally by Govt. and "enrolled as servants of Government" - In the case under reference this would appear ~~seem~~

Commissioners to take "a merciful view" of his condition and as "a special act of benevolence" to bestow on him a [illegible] more than the pension [illegible] as to belonging to the Lt. Governor's view of Petitioner's Case, his entitlement to receiving pension; but to a gratuity which no doubt Petitioner will be only too glad thankfully to accept which the Municipality have declared their unwillingness to pay - Whether under these circumstances it is desirable and to

2082/16

7/ raise the general question of admitting all such persons to the enjoyment of a pension as a matter of right, at the expense of Govt. seems open to doubt. I should be disposed to say no, but should my Hon'ble Colleagues think differently I have no objection to the letter going to India.

23.7.81

If the Commrs. are willing to pay the gratuity I don't want to refer this individual case to Govt. but the Mr. Cumming says they are so: the only Resol.n is that

appear ~~seem~~ to have been certainly the case from the date of the man's entertainment.

The Governor in Council considers that the intention of Clause 6 Section 10 of the Pension Code is, that Govt. Medical Officers (including Vaccinators) lent to and paid by Municipal or Local ~~Fund~~ Boards are entitled to pension or gratuity from Govt. under the rules, and this seems very reasonable, the service for which Government enlisted them having been performed and

Govt.

Government being relieved of the cost of paying them during service but accepting the pension &c. charge, as ~~its~~ its contribution from public funds towards the total charge for a public service. Copy of these proceedings will be forwarded to the Government of India, ~~and they will be requested to state~~ to ascertain whether they accept this interpretation.

(~~Formal orders~~)

Jos 20/7

GCC

To,

The application is one to be made to Govt. [illegible] understood to mean that they dd. not entertain it.

In any case I think we should get an interpretation from G. of I. of the Code. [illegible] I don't at present understand

L.B.

22/7/81

Financial Depart

No 2082/17

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India

Finance & Commerce Depart

Sir,

I am directed to forward copy of the accompanying Proceedings of Government and to request that you will be good enough to inform me ~~let me know~~ whether the Government of India accept the interpretation put by this Government on clause b section 10 of the Pension Code, 5th Edition, in regard to Medical Officers and Vaccinators employed in Municipalities.—

Jos 20/7

GCC

20.7.81

ear the charge and the ommissioners of the Cochin unicipality have agreed to pay ; but the recent decision the Government of India corded in G.O. 14 Septr /81 o 1719 and received after e remarks of the Honorable embers were penned in is case points to a different lution of the question. The ccountant General's letter as also written before ceipt of the new ruling by e Govt. of India.

According to this ruling t would appear that the pension

2082/20

pension should be paid by Govt. not by the Municipality. The following points suggest this decision as being in accordance with the interpretation put upon the Govt. of India ruling by the Hon'ble members.

(a) The G.O. assumes that the ruling of the G.I. applies to Medical Officers and Vaccinators enrolled as officers of Govt.

(b) In the remarks first recorded by him, the Hon'ble the Governor says "I assume that all these vaccinators are enlisted originally by Govt. and 'enrolled as

Servants of Govt. In the case under reference this would from the date of the man's entertainment (Septr. 1867) seem to have been certainly the case".

The letter from the G. I however does not expressly refer to Vaccinators, though the letter to which it was a reply distinctly 11.10.11 requested a ruling in their case. The inference is that the ruling applies to them as well as to medical officers.

EM
13/10

AM 10.11

[illegible]

~~DEPARTMENT.~~

No. Pensions to Vaccinators in Municipalities

~~From~~

FOR ORDERS.

Mr Armitage -
Please draft form
orders ~~[illegible]~~ 18/10.

Draft submitted [illegible] 20-10-81

To (Finl) 2082/81

Financial Department.

2082/22

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following ~~letter~~

From the President, M.C. Malabar, 3rd May 1881, No 50.

" the Accountant General 15 June 1881 No $\frac{I.A.E.}{113}$

" — do — 11th July 1881, No $\frac{I.A.E.}{154}$

Official Memo d/5 August 1881.

" the Presdt. M.C. Malabar, 3rd Octr. /81. No 302.

Order 29th Octr. 1881, No. 2082

The Govt. sanction the payment of the gratuity to the Vaccinator from Imperial

Funds with reference to the letter of the Govt. of India recorded in G.O. 14th September 1881. No 1719 F.D. The terms of this letter evidently apply to Vaccinators as well as medical officers although the latter are alone expressly mentioned therein; because the reference from this Govt. to which the Govt. of India letter is a reply distinctly requested a ruling in the case

of

2082/23

~~of both Vaccinators and medical officers lent to charitable Hospitals and dispensaries.~~

(From orders)

SM
25/10.

To

The Presdt. M.C. Malabar with gratuity application 6
" Accountant General 6
Pension Dept. 6

} Desp 10/11

SR
20.10.81

Pamt. all papers – 40

2082/23

Govt. of {188 } Madras.

Dated }
Recd. } 188 , No.

Nos.

2082/24

Financial DEPARTMENT.

Under Disposal Docket.

PAPERS to be read in Proceedings:—

× No. 1264 of
× No. 1565 of
× No. 1773 of
O.M. no 1126
× No 2719

2082/25

2082/25

Recd 29/10

C28/10

Govt. of {1881} Madras.

Fin'l.

Paroosi

DISPOSED OF

29 OCT 81

BY G. O. No. 2082

25

ent. Gratuities The payment,
Impl. funds,
f a gratuity of Rs 180 to Paul
Achaicooty, late a Vaccinator
the Estabt of the Cochin Mun
icipality – ~~from Impl. funds~~
Sanctioned.