Archives I —10,006—16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 30

34 (2) Date of repair 1987

(3) Initials of the Referencer Lom

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Judicial DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1986. Press.
B.P. No. Mis.

Dated 13. 10. 1881

Not to be folded or roughly handled

15

A.Mani

No 42 Adonia Mul: Comm: office
9th June 1881. —

From, The Vice President —
Adonia Mul: Comm.

To. The Civil Surgeon
Beltary.

No Copy

1986

Sir, With reference to your
docket-No 286 of 6th Instant I
have the honor to inform
you that no provision of the
kind mentioned therein has
been made in the Budget
of this Municipality for the
current year. —

I request you to inform
me the probable charges that
may be incurred for your
inspection of this Municipal
Dispensary so as to enable me
to obtain the views of the —

Commissioners in the matter.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

A. Cervoni (Secretary)
Vice President
Municipality

Sol

Ja 3455

From,
The Vice President
Municipal Commission
Arrondissement

To,
The Zillah Surgeon,
Bellary.

Dated 13th June 1861.

No. 82

1986/2

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 287 dated the 6th Inst. I have the honor to inform you that no provision has been made in the Budget of the current year under the head of "Inspection of the Civil

Dispensaries —

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt.

Vice President.

No. 55

Adoni Muni. Comrs. Office
25th June 1881.—

From,
The Vice President—
Adoni Muni. Comrs.,

To,
The Zilla Surgeon.
Bellary.

1986/3

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 42 of 9th instant, I have the honor to inform you that a sum of Rs90 has been provided for in the Budget for the current year for Sanitary Inspection under G.O. No. 1537 of 21st October 79. By Sanitary Inspection is meant the medical inspection that amaamut is available for your inspection charges: but if it is to be restricted to Sanitary inspection by Deputy Sanitary Commissioner then the amaamut-

has

In 3455

has to be reserved for his inspection,
I shall be obliged by your informing me of any orders touching definitely on the subject —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient st.

Sir

A. Vernon Sedan
Vice President
A. M. C.

In Instd: 1708/81

TANJORE, 27th June 1881

No. 285

FROM

The Zillah Surgeon,
TANJORE.

1986/4

To

The
Secretary Surgeon General
With the Govt of Madras

SIR,

In acknowledging receipt of Memo 3878 of the 24th June 81 calling my attention to Para: 2 of G. O. No: 964 of 30th May 1881. I have the honor to request you will be good enough to inform me whether I am right in my reading of the memo: Viz that the Govt Consider the inspection of the Hospls in their districts by the District Surgeon as part of their duty & are to receive no inspection fee for performing such duty

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Surgeon Major,
Zillah Surgeon

No 3428 1881

From

The Zillah Surgeon,

TANJORE.

To

The Secretary Surgeon Genl
with the Govt Madras

No. 285

Tanjore, 27th June 1881

Subject

Requests certain information
regarding Para 299. G
No: 964 dy 30th May 81.

No 3453 1881

MUNICIPAL DISPENSARY
BELLARY

1486/5

From,

The Civil Surgeon

Bellary

To,

The ~~Deputy~~ Secy Surgeon General C. M. D.
~~Mysore Division and Coded Districts~~
with the Govt Madras

Dated 29th June 1881

Enclosure Three No. 315

Reference. Your
* To be kindly returned.

Subject.

Sir,

I have the honour
to forward Letters
received from the
Vice presidents, Munici
-cipal Commissioner
Adoni & Anantapore
for the information
of the Surgeon Genl
with

the Government of Madras, & request that you will be good enough to obtain final Orders regarding the inspection of the above Dispensaries.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obd.t Hble Servt
H.G. Anderson.
Surgn. Major
Fd. Dept.

Financial Department.

No. 1788.

From the Surgeon General with the Govt. of Madras, Dated 14th July /81 No. 51, / Requesting instructions as to the mode of paying Civil Surgeons their travelling allowces. var. for inspecting minor dispensaries in their districts.

1986
6

FOR ORDERS.

It is submitted that this letter has forestalled the reference made to the Surgeon General in G.O. 27th June/81, No 1196, para 3. To await a further report with reference to that G.O. seems unnecessary. The inspection fee sanctioned in G.O. 11th July 1876 No 1157 appears to have been overlooked when

when passing G.O. 30th
May/81 & 964 & 27th
June/81 & 1196 H.D.

No.

It is suggested that
the Accountant General
should be called on to
report whether the rates
of travelling allowance
now recommended
by the Surgeon General
are such as could be
sanctioned according
to the rules of the Account
Department.

The proposals of the
Surgeon General seem
reasonable and may,
it is submitted, be
adopted in other respects;
but this subject is closely
connected with the larger one
now under consideration regarding
the systematic deputation of
District Surgeons on inspection
duty, & the increase consequent
thereupon of the Medical Staff
at Head Quarters. The half
per cent contributed by Local
Authorities, towards such
that it is now requested should be appropriated
Inspections, is also calculated
upon in the present scheme,
and it is submitted that the
title this is settled, it will
be safer to reserve the
application of that contribution
to any particular purpose.

Jcs
20/7

1986

Travelling allowance
for to Civil Surgeons

FOR ORDERS.

See remarks on
C. No 1856 Ext

No. 1864 Financial Department.

From The Surgeon General with the Govt. of Madras, dated 21st July/81 No 60, submitting further remarks on the subject of travelling allowances of Civil Surgeons for inspection of mofussil dispensaries.

1986/8

Submitted FOR ORDERS. in continuation of Note on letter from Surgeon General dated 14th July/81, No 57, which is now in circulation. The Surgeon Genl. has slightly revised his proposals in the previous letter & would grant inspection fees only if fixed heritage in addition

to

It has been decided G.O. 27th June 1881 No 1196 that payment will not be made for inspection by any one but the Zillah Surgeon & it has also been decided that such inspection is part of Zillah his regular duties - As during his absence from Head Quarters the D.G. Jails considers rightly that the Jail allowance should be paid & then becomes some compensation should be made & I think and I think the fairest way & the which D. proposes the inspections be shewed are D. be to grant him

to travelling allowances be not paid on being relieved of the executive charge of Jails.

Jno 25/7

Note. With reference to the above Note of the Hon Wm Latham, it is submitted that it has not been yet finally decided whether the jail allowance will pass to the locum tenens. Or in some cases the allowance wd indeed be inadmissible if to an Asst. Magte.

[illegible] 25/7

a fee equivalent in amount to what he loses by his absence from the jail charge plus of course the regular travelling allowance - I have not d. T. Act which by me as am not sure whether Govt can insist on local Board granting supplies for this purpose. H.S.L.

This matter is becoming rather complicated.

I wd. refer to the letters Nos. 16. & 21. July & the Sec. B. for this Remarks & office standing orders. Saying that as a punishment for the first wd be objectionable charging agst the A.M.S. entitled by Rules Surgeon inspection of Dispensaries but that it is a question whether those charges should

The L.F. Rules allow a fee of Rs. 20 for each Dispensary inspection in addn. to pay & allowances

25-7-91

1988/9

Law: allows the charging Rs. 100 monthly & the Z. S. locum tenens Rs. 75. His substitute serves for Civil Surgeon as before charged: charges - & it is a question whether the absentee shd. draw any part of the allowance for Jail charge & Civil inspection duties. If the is disallowed the L.D.S. shd. be proportionately increased for expenses. as the Deputation Surgeon's allowance will be available for the locum tenens.

Dr. Comrie thinks the Z. S. shd. be compensated for loss of this allowance but I can't see on what principle this can be admitted. the inspection of Disp.s being admitted to be part of his regular duty. & the Jail allowance being special for special duties.

Moreover if the inspection be part of the

Inspecting Surgeons
& Sanitary Officers

FOR ORDERS.

1988/87

Expenditure etc. it would seem proper to refer L.F. for a special fee for it to the Med. & P.D. of a Compt. and Auditor. And as it is argued that the number of Disp! to be inspected has to largely increased under L.F. administration as to form excess of what was contempl. when the duties of I. S. were prescribed.

I draw however on that and would recommend that I. S. be prescribed. Sanction is required. I don't know whether the present work has taken more time than was contemplated. The above remarks could be embodied in the G.O. approving the services of the Med. Off.

E.B. 19/87

Medical fines to be paid from Local Fund and also should be placed under the orders of the Sanitary Commissioner. Besides inspecting hospitals these fines would render valuable service in looking after vaccination and reporting on the general sanitary condition of the country. One man could do a Mile Taluks & Districts and if his salary were fixed at Rs 400 per mensem the expenditure involved would not exceed Rs 6000 per annum including travelling and office expenses which divided amongst four districts would be a charge only Rs 1500 on each.

I have no objection to asking the Board's opinion in regard to the remuneration of Jillah surgeons employed on inspection duty, but I think that some such arrangement as I have suggested should be considered by L.F. Board the Surgeon General & the Sanitary Commissioner

A.C.S.

This is the right suggestion was abandoned for reasons on record. WR

Seeing that the complication is mainly due to the attempt to crowd too much work on one man. The Jillah surgeon has full occupation in the administrative and medical charge of the jail and in attending to the requirements of the sick at headquarters. This is admitted by its being considered necessary to provide a locum tenens in his absence. In these circumstances is it not a mistake to make the inspection of L.F. hospitals devolve on all the districts (the number of which I am glad to know is annually increasing) a part of his regular duties. Was not the time arrived for making some arrangement by which these hospitals & dispensaries could be regularly and efficiently inspected without taking away the Jillah surgeon from his regular work & paying another man to do it for him. I would suggest the Presidency being mapped out into Sanitary Circles each comprising say 4 districts to each of which should be posted an Inspecting Medical

Govt. of {188} Madras.

Dated
Recd. } 188 , No.

Nos.

Financial Department.

1986/10

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following letters

From the Surgeon General with the Govt. of Madras
dated 14th and 21st July /87
Nos. 0/51 and 0/60.

Order, 13th October 1887, N. 1986.

The Govt. resolve to refer the above letters to the Board of Revenue for their remarks before passing orders on them, but in doing so they desire to make the following observations.

There appears to be no objection to charging against the Local Funds contribution the charges entailed by Zillah Surgeons' inspection

S.
Ref. from W.S. & Ob.
his suggestion is in
fact what has been
discussed at great
length already. &
to Note of F.S. to Govt.
Sur. & to Remarks
of this O. Collectors &c. Govt. & W.S.

of a Zillah Surgeon's regular duties and the jail allowance being special for special duties.

Further, if the inspection fee part of the Zillah Surgeon's regular duties, it does not seem proper to make Local Funds pay a special fee for it, and the rule and G.O. on the subject would need reconsideration unless it be argued that the number of dispensaries to be inspected has so largely increased under Local Fund administration as to be for in excess of what was

1988
12

was contemplated when the duties of Zillah Surgeons were prescribed. But even this argument does not appear sound as the travelling and halting allowances grow as a matter of course with the duration of the inspection tour.

Dr. Cornish suggests that if Zillah Surgeons lose the jail allowance while on inspection duty they should receive the fixed tentage of a Sub-Collector for the period of absence from head quarters. There does not appear to be any principle

in

1986/14

in this; "Fixed tentage"
being a contract allowance
for maintaining a certain
equipage, has nothing
to do with tour expenses
for which "Extra tentage"
is provided. ~~Probably~~
~~the former~~ latter phrase was however
intended to be
used ~~for the latter by~~
~~said Act~~.

(Fromaders) R 19.9.81

Remarks.

If so, the Government
observe that ample
provision (viz. mileage
allowance in addition
to a daily batta of R.5/-)
seems to have been
made by the Local
Grand Rules and they
consider it very doubtful
whether, under these
circumstances, any
further compensation
is required on account
of loss of Jail allowance.

E.H.

dR 16.9.81

Letter, as we are
asking the Board for
their opinion.

To
The Board of Revenue, Allahabad
with a letter & enclosure
in original, to be returned

Finl. Department.

DISPOSED OF
12 OCT 87
BY G.O. No. 1986

F. & P.O. ad. 39-57-22-2-87- Public

Under Disposal Docket.

PAPERS to be read in Proceedings:—

× No. 1788 of

× No. 1864 of

No. of

Despatch was altered to Home the Treasurer. A proposal exactly similar to that of the Hon'ble Dalton was made by Govt in reviewing the Sanitary Commissioner's report for 1877 and again in 1878-79, and after the B^d the Govt of India Surgeon General to obtain him. Eventually it was combined in favour of the present scheme. Send all the papers to the Hon'ble Dalton. Let me see the final letter as amended. Ellt 12/10

[*Despatch.*]

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, dated
188 , No. Miscellaneous.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

APPENDIX TO DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

APPENDIX TO DITTO.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No. of 188

FURTHER PAPER, No. of 188

1988/15

1-11-09 ✓
26/4/14 BI
11/5/14 BI

(4)

Finance Department.
G. O.
Dated 13th October 1881.
No. 1980.
Miscellaneous.

Vide CMC C/Sr
22-2-83; 371

When given for copying (15)

[Despatch Abstract].

Referring to the remarks of the Bd of Revenue letter from the Govt General regarding periodical inspection of L Fund Dispensaries & payment of charges on account such inspections—