Archives I—10,006—16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 10
29 (2) Date of repair 5/8
(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Fiscal DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1954 Press.
B.P. No. Mis.

Dated 11. 10. 1881.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

5.

1954
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

11th October 1881, No. 1954.

Local Funds—Establishment.

Read again Financial G.O., dated 13th September 1881, *No.* 1709.
Read also Endorsement from Public Works Department, dated 6th October 1881, *No.* 563.

ORDER THEREON, 11th October 1881, No. 1954.

The use of the term "District Engineer" is inaccurate. It is presumed that the Board mean "Executive Engineer," which is the correct phrase used in the Public Works Code and in paragraph 3 of Mr. Stokes' letter.

2. Subject to this correction, the proposals of the Board are approved.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.
" Accountant-General.
" Public Works Department.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.

Govt. of [1881] Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated October, 11*th*.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 11*th October* 1881, *No.* 1954.

OCTOBER.

Local Funds—Establishment.—The Board of Revenue informed that the use of the term "District Engineer" in paragraph 3 of their Proceedings recorded in G.O. No. 1709 of 1881, is inaccurate; "Executive Engineer" being, it is presumed, what is meant.

1954/2

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

13th September 1881, No. 1709.

Local Funds—Establishment.

Read the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16*th August* 1881, *No.* 1751 [*Current No.* 2367].

Read—the following letter from H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Collector of Salem, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Denkanikóta, 27th April 1881, No. 30:—

WITH reference to Fort St. George Notification, dated 4th April 1881, No. 19, I have the honor to request that I may be instructed on the following points:—

Who is to decide what grades the Local Fund Engineer belongs to? There are different rates in the Public Works Department Code for Executive and Assistant Engineers. The most reasonable plan would appear to be to take salaries as the criterion of corresponding rank.

2. What sections of the Public Works Code apply? *Quære* 556—606.

3. Who is to perform, in reference to Local Fund servants, the duties assigned in these sections to Government and to the Chief, Superintending, and Executive Engineers, and the Examiner of Public Works Accounts?

4. Is the new arrangement to come into force at once?

Resolution—

IN answer to the first question, the pay will determine the grade according to any of the establishment lists specified in the Code. Local Fund Boards will doubtless, as far as practicable, make the titles of their *employés* conform to those establishment lists.

2. In answer to the second question, the whole Code is applicable as far as it contains provisions for travelling allowances claimable by Engineer Officers in the Districts.

3. In answer to question 3, the Board think it might be advantageous that they themselves should perform the functions assigned in this Code to Government and the Chief Engineer for the control of travelling allowances, and beg to submit this proposition for the consideration of Government. The President of the Circle should stand, the Board consider, in the place of the Superintending Engineer, and the Local Fund Engineer for purposes of control in that of the District Engineer. The Accountant-General takes the place of the Examiner of Public Works Accounts for purposes of Local Funds.

4. In answer to question 4, the change operates from date of the Government Order.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. D. MACLEAN,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

ORDER THEREON, 13th September 1881, No. 1709.

The Board's proposals in paragraph 3 are approved.

(True Extract.)

J. Sowris

for Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.
" Accountant-General.
" Public Works Department.

Exd. J. Wright.

521

1954
3

P. W. C. No. 5334

Govt. of [1881] Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c

Dated September, 13th.

Issued

Recd. 20 Sept 81

2nd.

G.O., 13th September 1881, No. 1709.

5.34

7.

SEPTEMBER.

Local Funds—Establishment.—The suggestions of the Board of Revenue as to who should perform in reference to Local Fund servants, the duties assigned in the Public Works Code to Government and to the Chief, Superintending, and Executive Engineers, and the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, approved.

B Recorded

Q.S. 37.9

Circ: 3.10.81.

to 29.9.81

Fail 2733/81

P. W. DEPARTMENT. 2733-

READ the following:— 1954/4

Proceedings of Govt. Financial Deptt.
No: 1709 dy 13th September 1881.

FOR ORDERS.

It is suggested that the attention of the Board be called to the fact that in para 3 they are under a misapprehension as to the position of the "District Engineer" who does not now exist, and whom they evidently consider to be something separate from the "Superintending Engineer".

The District Engineer had precisely the same duties and powers as the Supt. Engineer now has, the only difference being as regards the area of his charge. Some of the former

District Engineers had the rank of Supt Engineer and name of Executive, but this affected their pay only, and not their duties or powers.

How the two ranks of Supt Engineer and Executive Engineer represent real differences.

If "Executive Engineer" were substituted for "District Engineer" (the latter title not being even mentioned in the Code) the proposals would be if brought to be reasonable enough.

A B Stanley
Colonel RE.
Secy to Govt P.W. Dept.

To the Board of Revenue
Orders - 4
13
Encl: Letter & Despatch
Q.L.S. 37. 9. P.W. Dept (18) 2D 110
Circ: 3.10.81.

The Hon the Governor.

FOR ORDERS

Fwd 1881 Dept.
(Local Funds - Estab.)

No: 563 dated 6th Oct. 1881.

Transferred in original to the Chief Secretary to Government

CURRENT No. 2733

A B Stanley
Col RE.
Secy to Govt
P.W. Dept

R.D. 7 OCT 81

Read again Fwd No. 1704 dated 13-9-81
Read also Endorsement from D.P.W. No 563 dated 6-10-81
" Oct 2 " 81

Order. 11th October 1881, No: 1954.
The use of the term "District Engineer" is inaccurate. It is presumed that the Board mean "Executive Engineer", which is the correct phrase, used in the Public Works Code and in para 3 of Mr Stokes's letter.
2. Subject to this correction the proposals of the Board are

1954/5

Rec 13/10

Govt. of 1884 Madras.

Fwd

Hogan

DISPOSED OF

11 OCT 81

BY G.O. No. 1954

(5)

Local Fund Establishment. The Board of Revenue informed that the use of the terms "District Engineer" in para 3 of their Proceedings recorded in G.O. No 1709 of 1881, is inaccurate; Executive Engineer being, it is presumed, what is meant.