Archives I —10,006—16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 18

62 (2) Date of repair 1987

(3) Initials of the Referencer Cano

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1810/1811 Press.
~~B.P. No.~~ Mis.

Dated 28 -9-1881 ~~197~~.

10/4

Not to be folded or roughly handled

9

A. Now

1810/1881

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

26th September 1881, No. 1810.

Amalgamation of Offices.

Read the following papers:—

From H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 15th August 1881, *No.* 3250 [*Current No.* 2170].

Gazetted Audit Department.

With reference to G.O., Marine Department, No. 348, dated the 21st July 1881, sanctioning the amalgamation of the Port and Sea Customs Offices at Masulipatam, Vizagapatam, and Bimlipatam, I have the honor to inquire whether the Honorable the Governor in Council does not consider the sanction of the Government of India necessary, as the Order creates an appointment on more than 250 rupees a-month. Such sanction would seem to be necessary under Rules 2 (3) of Chapter 31, Civil Account Code.

No. 1420.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

As the additional charge was against *Port Funds* only, the charge against *Imperial* remaining as before, it was not considered that the sanction of the Government of India was necessary.

2. The change made merely raised the salaries of the Masulipatam and Vizagapatam Port Officers; it did not *create* any appointment, but it would have been within the competence of this Government to have created Port appointments carrying the same pay.

3. The Accountant-General is requested to reconsider the matter in the light of these remarks.

(Signed) R. DAVIDSON,
Chief Secretary.

22*nd August* 1881.

From H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 8th September 1881, *No.* 3575 [*Current No.* 2448].

Gazetted Audit Department.

With reference to your memorandum, No. 1420, dated the 22nd August 1881, I have the honor to state that, as it is doubtful whether the sanction of the Government of India is necessary to the amalgamation of the appointments of Port and Sea Customs Officers at the Ports mentioned, I consider it advisable that the Order should at least be communicated to the Government of India.

ORDER THEREON, 26th September 1881, No. 1810.

Copy of G.O., No. 348, dated 21st July 1881, Marine Department, and of the foregoing correspondence will be forwarded to the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

R. DAVIDSON,
Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.

No. 1811.

Copy to Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce.

Chief Secretary.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

1810/1811
2

Govt. of (1881) Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Dated September, 26th.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 26th September 1881, No. 1810.

SEPTEMBER.

Amalgamation of Offices.—Proceedings of Government sanctioning the amalgamation of the Port and Sea Customs Offices at Masulipatam, Visagapatam, and Bimlipatam, forwarded to the Government of India.

Gaz: Audit DEPARTMENT.

No. 3250

1880/1881 3 1P

Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
15 August 1881.

From

H. F. Clogstoun Esqr.
Offg ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

CURRENT No. 270

To THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Marine Department
Octacamund.

SIR,

With reference to Government Order Marine Department No. 1318 dated the 21st July 1881, sanctioning the amalgamation of the Port and Sea Customs offices at Masulipatam, Visagapatam and Bimlipatam, I have the honor to enquire whether the Honorable the Governor in Council does not consider the sanction of the Government of India necessary for the order creating and appointment and monthly allowance of 250 Rupees a month. Such sanction would seem to be necessary under rule 2 (3) of chapter 31 Civil Account Code.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H. Masstan
Offg Accountant General

18/09/1811

Despatched 23/8/81

28

A. M. 1420 of

22 August 1881

As the additional charge was against Port Funds only the charge against Imperial remains as before – it was not considered that the sanction of the Government of India was necessary.

2. The change made merely raised the salaries of the Masulipatam and Vizagapatam Port Officers; it did not create any appointment, but it would have been within the competence of this Govt. to have created Port appointments carrying the same pay.

3. The Accountant General is requested to reconsider the matter in the light of these remarks.

1881

Fort Department

(Revenue)

3 AUG 81

From

The Accountant Genl

Madras

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government

Marine Depty.

Origl.

No. 3250

Dated 15 Aug 81.

Rec'd 17

No. 2170

Enquires whether or not the sanction of the G. I. is necessary for the Amalgamation of the Port & Customs Office at Masulipatam, Vizagapatam & Cuddalore as the Order to create an appt. for more than 250 Rs. a month.

Gazetted Audit Department.

No. 3575 CURRENT No. 2448

Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
8 September 1881.

From

A. F. Hogestown Esqre
Offg ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government
Financial Department
Ootacamund.

SIR,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 1420 dated the 22nd August 1881, I have the honor to state that, as it is doubtful whether the sanction of the Government of India is necessary to the amalgamation of the appointments of Port and Sea Customs Officers at the Ports mentioned, I consider it advisable that the order should at least be communicated to the Government of India.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt

A F Hogestown
Offg Accountant General.

1881

1811/Jan/8
6

1887
Fin. Department
(Sanctions)

From

The Accountt Genl.

SEP 81

To ——— Madras.

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Financial Dept.

Orly.

——— No. 3575
CURRENT No. 2448
Dated 8.
Rect 10 Sept 81

No.

States that he considers it advisable the Orly. Order regarding the annual valuation of the Apptt. of Part + Sea Customs Officers should be communicated to the Govt of India.—

Financial Department.

4P

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

1810/1811

READ the following

Letter from the accountant General, dated 15th August 1881, No: 3250

Memo to the ——— a ———, dated 22nd August 1881, No: 1420.

Letter from the ——— " ———, dated 8th September 1881 No 3575.

Order thereon 26 Septr. 1881 No: 1810

Copy of G.O. No 348 dated 21st July 1881, Marine department, and of the foregoing correspondence will be forwarded to the Govt. of India

Desp. to Sec. of St. H.E. No 1811 d:
Regn No 348 10/10 Copy to Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce—

To the Acct. Genl 6
Despe 5/10

A.P. 13/9

Govt. of {188 } Madras.

To

Dated
Recd. } 188 , No.

Nos.

1816/1811
8

1810/1811
9

Financial Department.

Sanctions

Under Disposal Docket.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

× No. 2170 of 1881
Am No. 1420 of
× No. 2448 of "

Rec 28/7.

Govt. of 1188/3 Madras.

Final

DISPOSED OF
28 SEP 81
BY G.O. No. 1010/1811.

(97)

Amalgamation of Offices.

Proc. of Port Customs, the amalgamation of the Port and Sea Customs Offices at Ivanchipatam, Vizagapatam, and Bimlipatam, and to the Govt. of India.