Archives I –10,006—16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 22.

43 (2) Date of repair 28.4.87

(3) Initials of the Referencer Dang

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT

1

G.O. No. / ~~B.P. No.~~	1742	Press. / Mis.
Dated	17 -9-1881	~~197~~.

12/13

S.G.W.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

11

District Magistrate's Office

No 142 Coimbatore 17th August 1887.

1742

From

J. H. A. Tremenheere Esqr
Actg Dt Magistrate
Nilgiris

To.

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Coimbatore
through the Commissioner, Nilgiris

No Copy

Sir,

As requested by the Joint Magistrate, I have the honor to forward for the consideration of Government a representation from that officer on the subject of his travelling allowance.

2. The rule requiring that the absence from Head Quarters should last 12 hours undoubtedly entails hardship in many cases. I have myself to go to Kotagiri twice a month, and that I may not be absent from my Treasury and

RECEIVED 17 SEP 87 BY G.O.M.

other work longer than possible, I nearly always return on the same day, thus riding a distance of not less than 36 miles. Though it is necessary to post horses for this, travelling allowance cannot be drawn.

3. I hope therefore that some means may be adopted whereby an officer shall not suffer for doing that in one day for which two might not unfairly be taken.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

H Drummond
Ag D.C. Magistrate
Algiers

Submitted

for the favorable consideration of Government.

Attacamund
1st August 1881.

H Drummond
Asst Commissioner

1747
2

No 30

Joint Magtes Office
Colaccammud 8 August 1881.

278

1742/3

From

The joint Magistrate
of Colaccammud

To

The District Magistrate
of Nilgiris

Sir

I beg to enclose a Bill for travelling allowance for 1st and 13 July 1881 on which dates I proceeded to hold my Court at Wellington. This bill has been returned to me by the Treasury officer with a Memo stating that in default of a certificate stating that I was absent 12 hours from Head Quarters, he is under instructions from Accountant General unable to pay the same.

2. I would beg to point out that the Joint Magistrates of Wellington & Colaccammud were declared to be entitled to travelling allowance

when visiting out Stations under
Special Order of Government vide
G.O. Judicial Department 4th
January 1869 No. 58 and that the
allowance has been drawn since
that date without requiring pro-
duction of a certificate of the kind
now called for, which seems to
have been now for 1st time deman-
ded under authority of Revenue
Board's Circular No 9 of 1878. No
such certificate is required by order
above quoted.

3. I request that you will be
good enough to submit the matter
for the consideration of Government
and I would beg to point out that
it is not a casual visit that I
have to pay to Wellington but
that under orders of Government
I have to visit that Station every
week at least making sometimes

17421/4

5 visits in the month in all 3 &
weathers besides, at times, visits
of twice the distance to other places.
The hire of a conveyance to Wellington
alone would entail upon me an
expense in some months of 50 rupees
per mensem. I would also beg to
point out that it is only by the use
of posted horses that Head Quarters
can be reached before dark and it
is not practicable to travel in many
of the roads after dark. But if this
necessary expedition is used the
12 hours' absence cannot be complied
with and a return the same night
from many places is absolutely im-
=dispensible there being no accommo-
=dation for sleeping out obtainable
and home must be reached before
dark. In other places without tra-
=velling allowance two even three
days' absence from office may follow

1742/5

and with reference to the Jail this would be exceedingly inconvenient and I cannot believe that it is the intention of Government to mulct an officer of his travelling allowance because he is energetic enough to do in one day that for which two or even three would be fairly allowed.

Finally I would remark that all the staff allowance attached to my appointment is 70 rupees per mensem and that it never was intended that out of that I should pay the greater part in travelling expenses more especially as since the abolition of the joint Magistrate of Wellington I am doing the work of that officer as well as my own.

I have &c
(Sd) C. D. Clementson
Joint Magistrate

True copy
(Sd) [illegible]
Asst Magistrate
Ch Gilgirio

Fr Civil 2274/87

Rw Fr 1549- 23-9-81
Nor Ci dy-7-1881.

NOTE.—This paper should be returned with reply, and should not be marked in any way by the receiving office. 23 AUG 81

Rv 1428 4P

Reported to the Accountant General for remarks.

J Davidson
Chief Secretary

Ootacamund
23d Augt 1881

Fin 2392/81

R. D.
3 AUG 81

Fin.
(1881) Revenue department
Secty Manuscript

From
A. A Roupell Esqr
Ag Commissioner
Nilgiris

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government

Revenue
Ootacamund 22nd
No 30
dated 17th
Despatched 18th } August 1881
Received 19th

Travelling allowance

Submitting for the favor-
=able consideration of Govern-
=ment in consequence of
hardship entailed thereby
a letter from the Acting
Magistrate Nilgiris for-
=warding one from the joint
Magistrate on the question
of no— being allowed in
cases of journeys not completed with
12 hours absence from Head
Quarters with the sanction

5-P
2392

Gazetted Audit

DEPARTMENT.

No. 3522

Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
2 September 1881.

1742/8

From

H. F. Elogstoun Esqre

Offg. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Financial Department,
Ootacamund.

SIR,

In reply to your Endorse-
=ment No. 1428 dated the 23d Ultimo, re-
=ferring for my remarks a letter
No. 30 dated 8th idem, from the
Joint Magistrate Ootacamund
(Cheruvith returned), in which he claims
the usual travelling allowance
for journeys to Wellington on
duty though his absence from
head quarters in each case does
not exceed 12 hours, I have the
honor to state that the Treasury
Officer at Ootacamund seems to
have objected to pass travelling
allowances in these cases on the
supposition that G. O in the
Revenue Department No 1549
dated

dated 23d September 1878 was applicable to all Departments.

2. Under that Order, allowing that it is applicable to Officers generally, Colonel Clementson would clearly not be entitled to travelling allowance for the journeys in question, as his absence from Ootacamund in each case did not exceed the 12 hours' limit.

3. At the same time I would state that the rule seems to me to operate unfairly in some cases such as the above, and as similar cases have occurred and are likely to occur again in various Departments, I beg that it may be clearly determined for future guidance whether the Order in question is intended to be applied to all Departments, or only to Revenue Officers.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Obedt. Servant
H Mayhew
Offg. Accountant Genl

To.

No 2204 Financial Department.
No. 2392.

From

The Commissioner, Nilgiris

dated 17th August 1881, No 30.

To Accountant General, Madras,

dated 2d September 1881, No 3522.

1747/7

Journal
Mr Clementson's Pass
as amended is approved.
G.O. issued accordingly
H 15/9/81

FOR ORDERS.

I propose that G.O. 28d Sep: 1878 No 1549 be cancelled and the following substituted

"For the purposes of the Rules
"Tentage or Travelling Allowance
"Rules

"An officer travelling on
"duty outside a radius of
"5 miles from his head
"quarters or halting
"place is entitled to mileage
under the Rules for Tentage:

"It is absurd to make the
"man stop away from his
"work at headquarters for
"12 hours when there is no
"necessity for his doing so
"and equally needless
"to make him work out
"a camp when he does it

1742/6

brent. At the same time he should be reimbursed the Expenses of his march as in Col. Clements's case. A.C.

contemplate any Travelling Expences the Station. When for the other requisite him to do. I certainly consider that he is entitled to draw Subsist but I am at this Season & altho the Enlistment of the Cadets & C. it was intended Chiefly. that Encouragement the Means could suggested Regarding the Absence of a Man entering out 5¼ Miles for his Home did. Looking at our list & Book of entering Each again & Every

Circular order No IX of 1878.

Standing order No 239. 240 & 249

Proceedings of the Board of Rev
dated 21st Novr 1878 - No 3102.

G.O. 20 Sept 1878 No 1549

A journey on duty of more than 5 miles from permanent or temporary head quarters completed with absence therefrom for upwards of 12 hours shall be deemed a month entitling an officer to travelling allowance.

By order,
(Signed) C. A. Collin
Secy Secretary

To All Collectors

at his usual hour at his usual residence
Standing Order
Instead of Travelling allowance Travelling Allowance
Even for a part of
Travelling -
Does this rule
& the rule. Travelling
Allowance.

No 1742 17 Sept 1878

Circular Order: — 17 Sept. 1878.
No 1742.

Paragraph 1 of G.O. No. 1549, dy 20th September, 1878, Rev. Dept. will be cancelled, and the following rule substituted: —

An officer travelling on duty outside a radius of five miles from his head quarters or halting place is

Travelling allowance
claimed by Lt. Clements

1742/10

Entitled to mileage
rate if in at the
same time drawing
extra tentage.

[illegible]

To the Secy. to Govt.
Ten Dept. for
Countervailed

[illegible]
16/9/87

To ~~all~~ the Ten. Dept.
" Mily. Dept
" Accts. Genl.
~~" Accts. Mily. Dept.~~
" Bd. of Rev.

GO (Fin C) 1742/10

C 7/9/87

Dft.

W. H. [illegible]

~~FOR ORDERS~~

K 68

Order: 17th September 1881
No. 1742.

Paragraph 1 of G.C. No 1549, dated 23rd September 1878, Rev: Department will be cancelled, and the following rule substituted -

An officer travelling on duty outside a radius of five miles from his head quarters or halting place is entitled to mileage unless he is at the same time drawing Extra Tentage.

24 Sept 81. 60

To.

The Rev: Department
" Mily: Department
" Accts: Genl
- Bd. of Rev

Fin: Department
Transy. Allowance

From

The Accountant Genl
Madras.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fin: Depart.
Polg.

R. D.
5 SEP 81

No. 3522
Dated 2nd Sept 81
Rec'd 4th

No.

Audit remarks regarding the Transy. Allowance claimed by Lt Col. Clementson for journeys to Cuddapah on duty.-

For orders. It is submitted that the Rev: Dept. ruling in question should be cancelled.

5/9/81

1742/11

Rec 19/9

Govt. of [1881] Madras.

Secl

DISPOSED OF

17-SEP 81

BY G.O. No. 1742

(11)

Travelling Allowances

Ruled that an Ast. transp. on duty outside a radius of five miles from his head quarters or halting place is entitled to mileage only he is at the same time drawing extra tentage. Page 19 G.O. No. 1549 of 1871 (Mily. Dept.) cancelled.