Archives I –10,006—16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 24

63 (2) Date of repair 20-4-87

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Fisl DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1610 Press.
~~B.P. No.~~ Mis.

Dated 31 8 1881 ~~19~~.

13/14

Beg

Not to be folded or roughly handled

10 ~~12~~. H 12

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

1610/1

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

31st August 1881, No. 1610.

Municipal—Medical.

Read the following papers :—

From W. S. FOSTER, Esq., President of the Municipal Commissions, Godávari District, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Cocanada, 8th August 1881, *No.* 24 [*Current No.* 2130].

I have the honor to enclose a letter (copy) from the Civil Surgeon, Cocanada, together with the Accountant-General's letter (copy) of the 19th ultimo, No. J.A.C.H.-71, and request the orders of Government on the matter.

2. The Hospital Assistant attached to the Cocanada Municipal Dispensary belongs to the first class and draws a pay of Rs. 60. The Ellore Municipality offered the place of Hospital Assistant of their hospital to him with a salary of Rs. 100. The Cocanada Municipal Commissioners did not wish to part with him and consented to raise his pay to Rs. 100. This was in January 1880. Now the Accountant-General objects to the payment of Rs. 100 partly on the ground that it has not received the formal sanction of Government and partly on the ground that, under the Resolution* of the Government of India of 26th June 1876, the Government can only sanction the increase of Rs. 15. I certainly was under the impression that Government had given their sanction to the arrangement. It was laid before them at the time of submitting the revised budget for 1880-81 (see G.O., Financial Department, No. 1494, dated 2nd August 1880), and the Government sanctioned all the proposals. It is not usual to write separate letters and get separate orders of Government on items of municipal expenditure, and I consider the sanction given in that Order was really the formal sanction of Government. I certainly had overlooked the fact that Government could not sanction more than Rs. 15 extra pay to an Hospital Assistant, and, under the ruling of the Government of India, the retrenchment is quite right. I do not think it would be advisable to reduce his pay. The present Hospital Assistant seems to have been promised the first vacancy among the Honorary Assistant Surgeons (see paragraph 9 of Mr. Thorburn's letter recorded in G.O., 3rd May 1880, No. 904). I do not know whether an Honorary Assistant Surgeon is to get more pay than that of his proper standing; but, if there is no rule of the department against it, I would propose that the present Hospital Assistant be made an Honorary Assistant Surgeon temporarily, *i.e.*, while he is in charge of the Cocanada Municipal Dispensary, and that he continue to draw Rs. 100, which he has been drawing and which is paid from Municipal funds, and that the appointment should commence from 1st February 1880, on which date his salary at Rs. 100 was drawn.

* See Proceedings of Government, No. 1208, of 8th July 1876.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From Surgeon LIONEL BEECH, Acting Civil Surgeon, Cocanada, to the President of the Municipal Commissions, Godávari District, dated Cocanada, 25th July 1881, No. 117.

In forwarding to you the enclosed letter, No. J.A.C.H.-71, from the Officiating Accountant-General, I have the honor to request that you will obtain the sanction of Government to enable the Hospital Assistant referred to to draw the increase pay, Rs. 100 per mensem, which has been passed by Government in reviewing the Municipal budgets, dated 2nd August 1880, No. 1494, and 11th May 1881, No. 824.

The Hospital Assistant was offered Rs. 100 a-month by the Local Fund Board, Ellore Circle, and it was deemed advisable by the Commissioners of Cocanada to give him Rs. 100 and to retain his services.

From H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, to the Civil Surgeon, Cocanada, dated Fort St. George, 19th July 1881, No. J.A.C.H.-71.

Treasury Account Department.

With reference to your memorandum, No. 109, dated the 7th of July 1881, I have the honor to state that G.O., No. 1494, dated the 2nd August 1880, is no sanction to the increase of pay to the Hospital Assistant referred to, as under Rule 3 (*f*), Chapter 9 of the Civil Account Code, the mere fact of a charge which requires special sanction having been included and passed in a budget is no authority for its payment. I beg further to remark that the additional pay granted to the Hospital Assistant is inadmissible under the orders of the Government of India, No. 1670, dated the 22nd June 1876, Financial. Nothing in excess of his grade pay* therefore should be paid to him in future, and the amount already overdrawn must be recovered by instalments of not less than Rs. 30 per month, which recoveries must be credited to Municipal funds.

* Rs. 60 per mensem.

Referred to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras for remarks.

(Signed) J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secretary.

MADRAS,
28*th August* 1881.

From Surgeon-General W. R. CORNISH, F.R.C.S., C.I.E., Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 24th August 1881, *No.* 0-94 [*Current No.* 2256].

With reference to memorandum dated 20th August 1881, I have the honor to remark, for the information of Government, that the objection taken by the Accountant-General to the payment of Rs. 100 a-month to the Hospital Assistant of the Municipal Dispensary, Cocanada, appears to be beyond dispute.

2. The Hospital Assistant in question is a Government servant. He is not in independent charge inasmuch as he performs his duties under the immediate direction of the Civil Surgeon, and he is therefore entitled only to his grade pay without allowances. In my opinion it would be objectionable to permit local authorities to give special rates of pay to Government servants for the time being in their employ, as the system would lead to abuse.

3. I may remark that there is no grade of Honorary Assistant Surgeon, and that Hospital Assistant Paul could not, under recent orders of Government, be advanced to such a rank without passing an educational test equivalent to that of Graduate of the Madras Medical College.

G.O., No. 1927, 4th April 1881, Military Department.

ORDER THEREON, 31st August 1881, No. 1610.

The Surgeon-General's letter will be communicated to the President.

2. The Government consider that the Hospital Assistant is entitled to nothing beyond his grade pay.

(True Extract.)

R. DAVIDSON,
Chief Secretary.

To the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras
" President, Municipal Commissions, Godávari District.
" Accountant-General.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

1610/2

Govt. of {1881} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

THE SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVT. OF MADRAS,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated August, 31st.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 31st August 1881, No. 1610.

AUGUST.

Municipal—Medical.—Opinion expressed that the Hospital Assistant attached to the Municipal Dispensary at Cocanada, Godávari District, is entitled to nothing beyond his grade pay, and the Surgeon General's letter on the subject communicated to the President.

GODAVARI DISTRICT.

NO. 24

Municipal Office COCANADA.
8th August 1881.
Despo to the SG
1610
3

From

V. S. Foster, Esqre,
President, Municipal Commrs
Godavari District

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose
Copy a letter from the Civil Surgeon, Cocanada
together with the Accountant General's
Copy letter of the 13th Ultimo No I.A.C.A. 71 and
request the orders of Government on
the matter.

2. The Hospital Apsistant attached
to the Cocanada Municipal Dispen-
sary belongs to the 1st Class and draws
a pay of Rs 60. The Ellore Munici-
cipality offered the place & Hos-
pital Apsistant of their Hospital
to him with a salary of Rs-100.
The Cocanada Municipal Com-
missioners did not wish to part
with him and consented to raise
his

his pay to Rs. 100. This was in January 1880. Now the Accountant General objects to the payment of Rs100 partly on the ground that it has not received the formal sanction of Government and partly on the ground that under the Resolution of the Government of India of June 26th 1876, the Government can only sanction the increase of Rs. 15. I certainly was under the impression that Government had given their sanction to the arrangement. It was laid before them at the time of submitting the Revised Budget for 1880-81, see G. O., F. D. No 1494 dated 2nd August 1880, and the Government sanctioned all the proposals. It is not usual to write separate letters and get separate orders of Government on items of Municipal Expenditure, and I consider the sanction given in that order was really the formal sanction of Government. I certainly had overlooked the fact that

See Proceedings of Government No 1208 dated 8th July 1876.

1610
4

that Government could not sanction more than Rs. 15 Extra pay to an Hospital Assistant, and under the ruling of the Government of India the retrenchement is quite right. I do not think it would be advisable to reduce his pay. The present Hospital Assistant seems to have been promised the first vacancy among the Honorary Assistant Surgeons. See paragraph 9 of Mr Thorburn's letter recorded in G. O. 3rd May 1880 No 904. I do not know whether an Honorary Assistant Surgeon is to get more pay than that of his proper standing but if there is no rule of the Department against it I would propose that the present Hospital Assistant be made an Honorary Assistant Surgeon temporarily i.e. while he is in charge of the Cocanada Municipal Dispensary and that he continue to draw Rs. 100 which he has been drawing and which is paid from Municipal funds.

And

and that the appointment should
commence from 1st February 1880,
on which date his salary at Rs. 100
was drawn.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

W. S. Foster
President.

1610
5

1494- 2-D-80
[illegible] 22-6-76 [illegible]
[illegible] 11-8-81
904 2-8-80.
Post [illegible] 1927- 4-6-81.

Fin Dept 1881 / dept
From Chief Secretary /

The Surgeon General
with the Govt of Madras

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt
R.D.
No. 0/94 5 AUG 81

Date 24 Augt
Rec. 26 /8

No. 2756

Subject

Reports that Hospl Asstt Paul of the Cocanada Local Dispy is not entitled to any allowance & that the honorary rank of Asstt Surg. Cannot be granted to him

No 0/94

Office of the Surgeon General with the Govt of Madras
Dated Fort St George 24th Augt 1881

From

The Surgeon General
with the Govt of Madras

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt

Sir

With reference to Memo dated 20 August /81 I have the honor to remark for the information of Government, that the objection taken by the Accountant General to the payment of Rs 100 a month to the Hospital Assistant of the Municipal Dispensary Cocanada appears to be beyond dispute.

2. The Hospital Assistant in question is a Government Servant. He is not an independant Charge

inasmuch as he performs his duties under the immediate direction of the Civil Surgeon; and he is therefore entitled only to his grade pay, without allowances. In my opinion it would be objectionable to permit local authorities to give special rates of pay to Government servants, for the time being in their employ, as the system would lead to abuses.

3. I may remark that there is no grade of Honorary Asstt Surgeon: and that Hospl Asstt Paul could not, under present orders of Government, be advanced to such a rank without passing an educational test

G.O. No. 1927 4 April/81 Mily Depart

equivalent to that of Graduate of the Madras Medical College.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obt Servant

W. McCormick
Surgeon General
with the Govt of Madras

1610
7

Treasury Accountant Department.

618

No. I. Acct
71

Fort Saint George
Accountant-General's Office
19th July 1881.

From

H. F. Clogstoun Esqre
Offg. Accountant General
To the Government of Madras

1610/3

To

The Civil Surgeon
Cocanada.

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 109 dated the 7th of July 1881, I have the honor to state that G.O. No. 494 dated the 2nd August 1880 is no sanction to the increase of pay to the Hospital Assistant referred to as under Rule 3(j), Chapter 9 of the Civil Account Code the mere fact of a charge, which requires special sanction, having been included and passed in a Budget is no authority for its payment. I beg further to remark that the additional pay granted to the Hospital Assistant is inadmissible under the orders of the Government

Government of India No 670 dated the 22nd June 1876, Financial. Nothing) in excess of his grade pay therefore should be paid to him in future and the amount already overdrawn must be recovered by instalments of not less than Rs 30 per month which recoveries must be credited to Municipal Funds. x Rs 60 per mensem

I have &c.

(Signed) E. M. Palmer
Offg Dy Accountant General
for Accountant General

(True Copy)

Godavari District
Municipal Office
Cocanada 8th August 1881

W Foster
President

No 117 Cocanada 25th July 1881

788

From

The Civil Surgeon
Cocanada

To

1880/29

The President
Municipal Commission
Cocanada.

Sir,

In forwarding to you the enclosed + To be returned letter No I.A.C.# / 71 from the Officiating Accountant General to the Government of Madras, I have the honor to request that you will obtain the sanction of Government to enable the Hospital Assistant referred to, to draw the increase pay Rs 100 per mensem which has been passed by Government in reviewing the Municipal Budgets + dated 2nd August 1880 No 1494 and 11th + May 1881 No 824.

The Hospital Assistant was offered Rs 110 a month by the Local Fund Board Ellore circle and it was deemed advisible by the Commissioners of Cocanada to give him Rs 100 and to retain his services.

I have &c.

(Signed) Lionel Beech
Surgeon
Acting Civil Surgeon

(True

(True Copy)

Godavari District
Municipal Office
Cocanada 8th August 1881

W S Foster
President

7 Department. 8 P

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following letter

from Regtr Rumpsons ~~Gen~~ Godaveri D. dated
8 Augt 81 No 24
— Surgeon General d 24 Augt 81 no 994

1610/10

Order thereon 31st Augt 81 No 1610

The Surgeon General's letter with
be communicated to the
President
Govt. consider that the
Hospital Assistant is
entitled to nothing beyond
his pay

WH 1/9/81

To the Surgeon Genl.
with the Govt. 6
" " President, Mem.
Cam:, Godavari 6
" " Acct Genl 6

Govt Ord
despd 13/9

R. D.
15 AUG. 81

Municipal / Medical } 1881 { Final / Department.

From

The President
Municipal Commrs
Godavari District.

No. 24

Dated 8th August 1881
Despatched 10th August 1881
Received 14

No.

CORRESPT No. 2130.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding copies of letters from the Civil Surgeon Cocanada and the Accountant General regarding the salary of the Hospital Assistant A. Paul and requesting Govt. to have him made an Honorary Asst Surgeon and allow him to draw the increased pay

Referred

Referred to the Surgeon General with Govt's Proceedings (By order)
Madras 20th August 1881.
for Secretary

30

OFFICE OF SURGEON GENERAL
12 AUG 81

Govt. of 188/1. Madras.

Finl

DISPOSED OF

31 AUG 81

BY G. O. No. 1610

(121)

G.O., 188 , No.

Nos.

Immt. hosp. Opinion of
proper that the Hospital
sistant attached to the Immt
Dispensary at Cocanada,
Body &c, is entitled to
nothing beyond his pay
and the Surgeon Genl's letter
on the subject — comd to
the President.