

(1) Number of pages 22
Hb (2) Date of repair 20. 4. 87
(3) Initials of the Referencer lms

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU
Genl DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1556.	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	24. 8. 1881.	197.

12/13

Not to be folded or roughly handled

11

B.S. 94

Govt. of (1881) Madras
FINANCIAL.

To THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated August, 24th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 24th August 1881, No. 1550.

AUGUST.

Municipal—Drainage.— The charge, Rupees 36,343-6-2, on account of disbursements made to Mr. Clarke, Drainage Engineer, Madras Municipality, accepted against Provincial.

Treasury Dept. DEPARTMENT
R.D.
6 MAY 81

No.

LAB.
71

CURRENT No. 1200

Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
23 May 1881.

From

A. F. Hogston Esq.
OFF. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Financial Department,
Ootacamund.

SIR,

Now that the Madras Municipality are apparently about to raise a loan for the drainage of the City, I have the honor to remind Government, with reference to G.O. Financial Department No. 1171 dated 19th June 1879, that there is a sum of Rs. 36,343-6-2 due to Government by the Municipality on account of disbursements made to the Drainage Engineer, Mr. Clarke, and to suggest that such steps as Government may see fit, be taken to ensure this demand being met immediately the loan is raised.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most Obedt Servt

A. F. Hogston

Off. Account. General.

G.O. 1171-19-6-79 ✓
 1167-10-6-79 ✓
 1301-20-1-79 ✓
 112-10-1-79 ✓

Mr. L. 464-23-7-77 ✓
 1161-2-6-75 ✓
 927-26-4-75 ✓

9/4/75 1177

19002 at 1-10 1/2 per cent

Public Works Department.
 (Drainage)
 26 MAY 81
 From
 The Accounts Genl.
 Madras.
 To The Chief Secretary,
 to Government
 Public Dept.
 Polij.

Dated 23 May '81
 Recd. 26

CURRENT No. 1250
 No.

Suggests that such
 steps as Govt. may see
 fit be taken to ensure
 the demand of Rs 363,436-2
 being amount due by
 the Municipality, on acct.
 of disbursements made to the
 Drainage Eng. Mr. Clarke
 immediately the
 loan is raised.

No. 387-

Note by the Secretary to
 Government M. Dept. on
 remarks of the Honorable
 the Governor dated 2nd Augt
 1881 in re Mr. Clarke's
 preliminary expenses

150/4

Learned.

L.D.

20/8/81

Order: 24th Augt. 1881 No 1556.

The Govt. will
 accept the charge
 against "Provincial"
 of Madras is reviewed.

22/6
 125020
 To the Acct. Genl. & Desd. the Municipality were
 Resident, Madras; Comd.
 Madras.

The paper which directly
 led to the deputation of
 Mr. Clarke seems to be G.O.
 697 of 25th April 1874 (stained)
 recording a Despatch from
 the Secretary of State giving

cover to a Memorandum by
 the Army Sanitary Commission
 in which the sanitary condition

GO. above quoted, to report
the precise position of the
Drainage Project.

On their reply coming before
Government, it seems to have
been decided in Council
to obtain the services of an
expert from Rome. This is
inferred from the tenor of
the order in the M. Dept.
N^o 100 dated 10th August
1874 drafted by General
Walker from instructions
received in Council, and
from the blue pencil note
in Lord Hobart's hand-
writing, in which he refers
to "arrangements agreed
upon at the last Council."

The immediate action following
upon the decision in Council

seems to have been a telegram
(not from the M. Dept.) to
the Secretary of State, which
the Marquis of Salisbury
replies to in his despatch
recorded with GO. 2066 of
9th December 1874 (Junction).

In this, the precise terms of
Mr. Clarke's engagement
are specified $\$5/6$

5. These Proceedings are
"communicated to the"

Mrs. Municipal Commission
who seem to have had no
voice in the matter.

6. It will be seen from
the subsequent correspondence
in the file that the charges
incurred by Mr. Clarke
were ordered to be held in
suspense in the expectation

that when his project came eventually to be carried out they could be finally debited to the scheme.

Seeing now that this scheme is not to be carried out, and that the expenditure has been incurred without the express concurrence of the Municipality, there is apparently no alternative but that the Government should accept the charge as a debt against Provincial, as suggested by the Honble the Governor.

W. H. Murray
Col. R. E.
Secretary to Govt. M.S.

atacamund
18th August 1881.

To,
The Chief Secy to Govt.
with papers.

[Office-paper Form.]

DEPARTMENT.

Papers in hand down to date:—

1550
6

No. 1250 of 81 from aut. journal
No. of dated 23 May 81 sup-
porting that steps be taken to
satisfy the demand of Rs. 30,343
due by Mty. Dpt., disbursement to
M. Clarke, being not immediately
the contemplated drainage loan of one lac
in raise

This matter of the cost of M. Clarke's investigation must be kept quite separate from always been popular opinion that it was very questionable whether the G.O. be charge the aut. journal reported the that the Muni. D. was liabilities of the Mty. Dpt. either legal or equitable. Lord at the close of 1880, on account of Hospitals, Medical Stores Police

By Gov. 18 June 1879, no 1167
+ Gov. 19 June 79, no 1171
para. 7, the parts to M.

Clarke were to be over till a drainage loan was raised by the Mty.

The amt. of this debt now reported is —

Rs 36,343 u 6.2

at 1275,000 - (the
 amt shown against Sect.
 Stat. is deducted, being
 a charge not warranted
 by law & since withdrawn).
 Of this 1272,000 were
 ordered in the Budget
 Review to be held in
 suspense until further
 orders. (Co. 25 Jan. 81, p. 126)
 In a note obtained by
 D. Macken from the
 Mun. office the "water
 supply" arrears amounted
 to 26,778 & repaid in
 81.

The debt to J.C. which the
 City may at any time
 be called upon to pay...

Clark's pay	R 76,340
Office & Hospital for	75,000
Water supply	26,780
	<u>1,381,120</u>

Robert & Gen. Walker
 I think decided the
 whole question abt.
 the W.P. services
 & subseq. abt. change
 them & the Municip.
 without the slightest
 effect of any kind &
 the former? & I am
 by no means sure
 that the result of
 his work has been
 of the slightest
 use to them in deed.
 But I think he does
 His scheme was
 promptly rejected

or with interest up to
 as early beyond date, say, £1,40,000
 the means of the In addition one
 Munis. & the expense on account of I think
 of the case. Hospitals for 1881 in

I think the Com. to be held in suspense
 Larrow more than Co. 25/1/81, p. 126 para 5.
 & on occasion protected S.P. &
 of thing charged
 In how this is -

Get the details of
 the am't. - & see whether
 any portion can be
 reasonably said to
 have benefited the
 Municip. [K.D. 6/81]

1556
 7

Detail of the amount due to Govt.
by the Madison Mumps on account
of disbursements made to the Roman
Engineer W. Clarke.

1074-75

$$\begin{array}{r} 1556 \\ \hline 8 \end{array}$$

Month	Description	Amount
January	Salary of the Drainage Engineer Mr Clark	1290-5-0
"	Pay of his Establishment	159-7-1
February	Salary of Mr Clark	2000-0-0
"	Contingent charges	437-4-3
March	Salary of Mr Clark	2000-0-0
"	Establishment	794-1-5
"	Contingent charges	24-5-7
"	Office rent	120-15-0
"	Travelling Allow	120-0-0

1075-46.

1875-76				
April	Salary of M. Clark	709	10	10
"	Establishment	1123	7	7
"	Contingencies	57	"	7
"	Office rent	50	"	"
May	Establishment	587	7	5
"	Contingencies	317	10	"
June	Career hire	30	8	"
August	Amount debited to Suspense	23	1	8

Amount advanced to Mr. Clark in England - } 5454- 8-9.
20494- 6-1.

What is they? ~~X~~ ch ch 20,494-6-1
 [note G.C. in 1997 ^{9 April} 1975] Total - 36,343-6-2

No. 1250.

From the Act. General. regarding the demand on the Madras Municipality on account of the disbursements made to the Drainage Engineer, Mr. Clarke.

1556
9

Further Note FOR ORDERS.

*Increased from the original demand of Rs 29,646-7-4, as explained in the first note to this.

Does not consider that we can legally require the Municipality to pay this. As it is a debt it should be paid by the Govt. & not the Municipality.
Sd. Robert A. Green
Baker employed by.

With reference to the Honble. the Governor's enquiries on the enclosed Note for Orders, an account showing the details of the demand of Rs 36,343-6-2 against the Madras Municipality is herewith submitted, and it will be seen that no portion thereof can be reasonably said to have benefited the Municipality, nearly the whole amount being for the salary of Mr. Clarke (£3000) his Establishment & Office Charges. Reference to the Commissioner's views on the subject of this demand will be found in para. 14 of Mr. Munro's letter read in G.O. No. 1167 dated 18th June 1879, & more particularly in the enclosure of that

Letter "The Report of the Budget Committee" where at page 9. para 25. they question their liability while pointing out their inability to pay the cost of Mr. Clarke's services. The f.c. then discussed (dated 28th January 1879 No. 138) seems to have been the first direct intimation they had of this claim upon them, which they seem to have at once repudiated. The original of the f.c. is put up, & there is nothing with it to show (which is noticeable, especially as the Honble Mr. Rudleston in his subsequent notes with the f.c. of June above quoted dealing with the Municipality's protest - appears to have doubted the equity of the demand) why the Municipality was then made solely responsible for the charges, which had hitherto been dealt with irrespective of them - in the Public Works, & Financial Reports, as appears from the previous references put up (folded quarter size). The amount the Municipality are now endeavouring to borrow for drainage is only 1 lac, & it will go hard with them if Rs 36,000 of it is at once required by Government.

over
29/7
all

Note as to increased demand

I have ascertained from

Clarke on this one
was not mentioning
to Municipality. & the Public
Expenditure has been
it might be said
unless. unless
possibly some work
may have been
done for the surface
drainage scheme.

I hope to be able to ask
P.O. to look up the
earliest papers
before deciding the
matter

2/8/87

the acct. general's office that
the original demand of Rs 29,646.
7. 4., which has now for the first
time been increased. did not
include the £500 plus the
exchange = Rs 5454. P. G. advised
to Mr. Clarke in England (a fact
indeed noticed in the f.c. 2nd June
1875 No. 1186. though not noticed
by the acct. general in subsequent
demands) and also the difference
of exchange on the £1900 afterwards
paid to Mr. Clarke - amounting to
Rs 1494. 6. 1. It also appears
that a further amount of Rs 252
(f.c. 23rd February 1875 No. 415)
not yet adjusted is due beyond
the Rs 36,343. 6. 2. now demanded.
Thus the
Rs 29,646. 7. 4. - original demand
add { 5454. P. G. for £500 + exchange
1494. 6. 1. difference of exchange
on £1900.
Total Rs 36,595. 6. 2. and from this
deduct 252. " " not yet adjusted
leaving Rs 36,343. 6. 2. the present demand.

If the Mty. are not called upon
to pay it - this account is not
of much immediate importance,
so I have not had it verified by
the acct. general himself.

Prochas Municipality
and the Governor
demand for Sewerage
Engineer, Mr. Clarke

FOR ORDERS.

The Hon. the Governor
The Hon. H. E. Sullivan

Forwarded to the
U. S. Dept. with a
reference to the last
paragraph of U. S.
State.

fd (Tul) 1556/21

Cl. 8-81
Rb. 8-81

Wm. S. S.
8/8/81
6/8/81

J. Davidson
Chief Secretary

1556
11

1556
11

Rec 27/8

Sorn
Govt. of 1881 Madras.

Final Receipt

DISPOSED OF

24 AUG 81

BY G.O. No. 1556.



G.O.		1881 No.
Nos.		

*Final - Drainage. The
charge, Rs 36,343-6-2, on
account of disbursements made
by Mr. Clarke, Drainage Engineer,
as per survey, accepted by
Provincial.*

21-8-81

Rb. S. S.

6/8/81

Chief Secy