

(1) Number of pages 20
16 (2) Date of repair 20-4-87
(3) Initials of the Referencer lowg

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Final DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1456.	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	8. 8. 1881.	

6507

10/11

(3)

Not to be folded or roughly handled

98
10
(98)

1456
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

9th August 1881, No. 1456.

Local Funds—Establishments.

Read again —

G.O., 3rd June 1881, No. 1003.

ORDER THEREON, 9th August 1881, No. 1456.

In accordance with the decision in G.O., dated 3rd June 1881, No. 1003, the Government resolve to revise the account recorded in G.O., 22nd April 1881, No. 695, Financial, and to communicate the revised account to the Accountant-General in view to the columns from 4 to 9 being filled in anew, and the statement returned to the Government Office for communication to Presidents, in supersession of the one sent to them with G.O., 25th May 1881, No. 923.

(True Extract.)

R. DAVIDSON,
Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.

STATEMENT showing the Amount to be Contributed by Municipalities for the Government Office Establishment.

Municipalities.	Receipts in 1879-80, including balance.	Contribution on account of one-third of the Cost of the Establishment, G.O. 2nd October 1879, No. 1891, taken proportionately to the Receipts.	Provision for Leave Allowances.	Provision for Pension.	Total Contribution for Leave and Pension.	Other Charges, if any.	Total.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	RS.	RS.						
1. Adóni ..	26,712	22						
2. Anantapur ..	8,115	7						
3. Bellary ..	87,878	71						
4. Conjeeveram ..	27,570	23						
5. Karúr ..	10,914	8						
6. Coimbatore ..	41,739	34						
7. Erode ..	10,752	9						
8. Cuddapah ..	62,029	51						
9. Berhampore ..	21,958	18						
10. Chicacole ..	11,148	9						
11. Cocanada ..	35,110	29						
12. Ellore ..	28,557	23						
13. Rajahmundry ..	25,257	21						
14. Guntúr ..	36,656	30						
15. Masulipatam ..	45,162	37						
16. Kurnool ..	24,265	20						
17. Dindigul ..	11,407	9						
18. Madura ..	81,027	66						
19. Calicut ..	43,885	36						
20. Cannanore ..	20,715	17						
21. Cochin ..	15,109	12						
22. Pálghat ..	29,815	24						
23. Tellicherry ..	26,186	21						
24. Coonoor ..	17,309	14						
25. Ootacamund ..	46,755	38						
26. Nellore ..	33,010	27						
27. Ongole ..	6,290	5						
28. Vellore ..	52,615	43						
29. Wárajápet ..	11,346	9						
30. Salem ..	54,633	45						
31. Chidambaram ..	25,255	21						
32. Cuddalore ..	31,789	26						
33. Mangalore ..	34,728	29						
34. Kumbakónam ..	52,559	43						
35. Mannárgudi ..	20,721	17						
36. Máyavaram ..	22,597	19						
37. Negapatam ..	69,685	57						
38. Tanjore ..	61,060	50						
39. Palamecottah ..	19,522	16						
40. Tinnevely ..	22,433	18						
41. Tuticorin ..	26,170	22						
42. Srirangam ..	15,958	13						
43. Trichinopoly ..	78,033	64						
44. Anakápalle ..	9,442	8						
45. Bimlipatam ..	11,030	9						
46. Vizagapatam ..	26,692	22						
47. Vizianagrum ..	15,150	12						
Total ..	14,95,847	* 1,224						

* This amount is exclusive of what would be payable by the Madras Municipality if the contribution were payable by that Municipality also.

1456
2

Govt. of (1881) Madras.
FINANCIAL.

To
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Dated August, 9th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 9th August 1881, No. 1456.

AUGUST.

Local Funds—Establishments.—The statement showing the amount to be contributed by Municipalities for the Local Fund Establishment in the Government Office revised and communicated to the Accountant-General in view to certain columns being filled in anew, and the statement returned for communication to Presidents.

30

From

No.

DEPARTMENT.

7.

1456
43

Read again G.O. 3 June 1881, No. 1003

FOR ORDERS.

Do! maintain the principle towards tribute It has been brought to the charge less the notice in the office that Madras M. Share to be formed by Govt. the G.O. apparently conflicts with the principle laid down in Pres. of 22 Apr/81, No. 895. 7., the table annexed to which was drawn up on the assumption that the share of establish. charges which would otherwise

have fallen on the
Madras Municipality, was
to be distributed proportion-
ately among the other
Municipalities, a principle seemingly
— opposed to that embodied
in the Order of April.

The history of the matter
is this.

G.O. 29th Sept. 80 no 1876 F.
showed the distribution of
the charge among the various
Municipalities, including City of Madras
for 1880-81.

On the President of the
Latter pointing out its il-
legality, Govt. (G.O. 24 Feb
81 no 326 F.) withdrew
the amount assessed upon
Madras.

In April 81 the Act.
Genl. asked the furnished
with the usual Stat. for
1881-82. In preparing it

G.O. 22 Apr 81 no 695 F.

G.O. 3 June 81
no 1003 F.D.

G.O. 18 June 81
no. 1120, F.D.

¹⁴⁰⁶
the figures were calculated
on the supposition that
the whole of the charge was
to be borne by the ~~Madras~~
Municipal Municipalities.

Subsequently on a
reference by the Act. Genl.
as to how the amount
for 80-81, of which the
City of Madras was released
by G.O. of 24 Feb 81, was to
be met, Govt. declared that
it "would be inequitable
to distribute the share, wh.
"wd. but for the state of the
"law fall to the Madras City,
"among the other Municipalities, whose
"proportionate contribution
"has been already assessed."
+ directed, as suggested by the
A.G., that it should form
a debit against Prov. Mch.
The G.O. of 3 June may be

upheld either as declaring
a ^{general} principle, applicable to
the future; or merely that
in the circumstances of the
case having been com-
pleted for the year, & in-
timated to the M^{ty}. concerned,
& the Com^{rs} having provided
funds accordingly, it would
be inequitable to go back,
reopen accounts, & demand
the amount which the
law prohibited Madras
City from contributing.

If the former view be
correct - that a general
principle is declared - then
the figures given in the C.O. of
22 Apr: for 1881-82 will re-
quire revision.

The cost of the ^{Office} Post-Office
in question was assessed
on M^{nt} & L. Funds by
C.O. 2 Oct 1889, No. 1891; being
distributed in proportion

Contribution for Post-
Office by Municipalities

FOR ORDERS.

The Hon. The Governor

1456
65

to the appropriate revenues
of these funds.

The work connected
with the Madras M^{ty}.

certainly does not impose
labour on the Post-Office, pro-
portionate to its income.

And there does not
seem much objection to
throwing on ^{the} ~~the~~ M^{ty} & on
Local Funds a much
larger proportion, if not
the whole, of the cost of
the Post-Office.

W. B. G. W.

Statement showing the amount to be contributed by Municipalities
for the Government Office establishment. 1450/62

	Municipality	Receipts in 1879-80 in Lakhs	Balance Carried over from previous year in Lakhs	Provision for Leave Allowance	Provision for Pension	Balance carried over from previous year	There shall be if any	Total	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Tondi	26712	22						
2	Anantapur	8115	7						
3	Bellary	87870	41						
4	Conjeveram	27570	23						
5	Harur	10014	8						
6	Coimbatore	41739	34						
7	Erode	10752	9						
8	Chiddalur	62029	57						
9	Berhampore	21958	18						
10	Chicacole	11148	9						
11	Cocanada	35110	29						
12	Ellore	28557	23						
13	Rajahmundry	25257	21						
14	Quntur	36656	30						
15	Kashlipatam	45762	37						
16	Karunel	24265	20						
17	Bundigal	11407	9						
18	Madhura	81027	66						
19	Calicut	43885	36						
20	Cannanore	20715	17						
21	Cochin	15709	12						
22	Palghat	29815	24						
23	Tellicherry	26186	21						
24	Comoor	17309	14						
25	Dalacaminid	46755	38						
26	Kollat	33070	27						
27	Onjole	6290	5						
28	Vellore	52615	43						
29	Walajahpet	11346	9						
30	Salem	54633	45						
31	Chidambaram	25255	21						
32	Chidalore	31789	26						
33	Mangalore	34728	29						
34	Kumbhakam	52589	43						
35	Mammasari	20721	17						
36	Kayavaram	22597	19						
37	Kazapattam	69685	57						
38	Tanjore	61060	50						
39	Palamessah	19522	16						
40	Thimmetty	22139	18						
41	Inneem	26170	22						
42	Srirangam	18958	15						
43	Tuchinopoly	78033	64						
44	Anakapalle	9442	8						
45	Bimlapatam	11030	9						
46	Vizajapatam	26692	22						
47	Vizianagaram	15150	12						
		2444327	1224						
		1495847							

* This amount is exclusive of what would be payable by the Madras Municipalities if the contribution were enforced. *Palghat, Bellary, & other Municipalities also*

Contribution to Municipalities
to pd Office Estab^t

1456
8

to the the printer

Go (Finc) 1456 / d1

in 26.7.81
28.7.81

16/8

Contract for the purchase of
to Govt. Office Establish.
Govt. of 188 } Madras.

Dated }
Recd. } 188 , No.
Nos.

Forwarded

Leak
11.11

Financial Department.
1456
9 1P

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter again

G.O. 3rd June 1881 N^o 1003.

th
Order of August 1881.
No 1456.

In accordance
with the decision in
G.O. dated 3rd June 1881
N^o 1003 the Govern-
ment resolve to re-
vise the account
recorded in G.O. 22nd
April 1881 N^o 695 and
to communicate
the revised acct.
to the Accountant

General

General in view to
the columns from 4
to 9 being filled in
and the ~~acc.~~
^{Statement} returned to the
Government Office for
communication to
the Presidents in
supercession of the
one sent to them with
G.O. 25 May 1881 N° 923.

W.H. *June*
6/8/81

SH
5-8-81

Statement
307

To
The Accountant General
of the Dept.

1456
10

Res 11/8

Chowdhury
Cobt. of 1881, Madras.

Final

DISPOSED OF

8 AUG 61

BY G.O. NO. 1456



10



L7 - Estimate The statement
~~showing the amount to be~~
showing the amount to be
contributed by Municipal
bodies for the L7 estimate
in the Port Office, revised,
& sent to the Secy Genl
in view to certain ex-
penses being filled in
and ~~the statement~~ ^{the statement}
returned for copy to
Presets.