

(1) Number of pages 10
(2) Date of repair 7. 12. 1957
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Financial

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

1292

Press
Misr.

Dated

13 July 1958

1958

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

13th July 1881, No. 1292.

Sri Parthasaradhi Swami's Pagoda.

Read the following papers :—

From H. P. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, to the Officiating Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 2nd June 1881, No. 1993 [Current No. 1312].

Finance Department.

With reference to the Proceedings of Government, No. 1737, dated the 28th September 1878, directing, for the reasons stated in this office letter, No. 354, dated the 13th idem quoted therein, the suspension until further orders of the payment of the allowances due to Tholasingaperumal, Marm, and to the Pagoda of Sri Parthasaradhi Swami at Triplicane, I have the honor to

* 9th May 1881.

forward, herewith, a letter* received from the Trustees of the Triplicane Pagoda, enclosing a copy of the decree of the High Court in Original Suit, No. 486 of 1878, appointing V. Ragava Charriar as a Dharmakartha, and to request that I may be informed as to whether the arrears of allowance due to the above-named pagoda may, under the circumstances, be paid on a bill duly signed and receipted by the three persons who signed the letter. If it be decided in the affirmative, I beg to state, for the information of Government, that, agreeably to the terms of G.O., No. 1625, dated the 8th October 1878, Revenue Department, and the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 2287, dated the 11th August 1879, the payment of the allowance will be made at this office only up to 30th June 1879, leaving the portion due for the subsequent period to be paid by the Collectors of Madras and Chingleput, to whom the necessary instructions will be issued by this office.

EXCISE No. 1.

From T. VENKATANARAYANA PILLAY and two others, Trustees of the Triplicane Pagoda, to the Accountant-General, dated 9th May 1881.

We beg to send, herewith, a copy of the decree of the High Court in Original Suit, No. 486 of 1878, appointing V. Ragava Charriar as a Dharmakartha of the Triplicane Pagoda, and request that you will be pleased to order the payment of the whole amount due up to the end of April last, on the receipt signed by him also jointly with us as such Dharmakartha, and herewith submitted.

	rs.
The amount payable to the pagoda from your office, from January 1875 up to the end of April 1881, for seventy-six months, at Rs. 87-8-0 per month, is	6,650
From the Collector of Chingleput for four faslis 1285 to 1288 at the rate of Rs. 1,793 per fash, is	7,172
Total ..	13,822
Received from the Accountant-General under the order of the High Court, dated 23rd March 1879 ..	9,000
Balance due ..	4,822

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS IN ITS ORDINARY ORIGINAL JURISDICTION.

Madras, 13th day of December 1880.

Plaintiff:
The Hon. Mr. Justice Muttusami Aiyar
Clerk No. 1, No. 1st of 1879.

Thothala Venkataswami Pillai, residing at No. 18, Venkateswala Moolali street, in the Black Town of Madras, a Hindu gentleman; Parthasarathi Tammangala Sannapala Naidu, residing at Nos. 7 and 8, Sansa Pillai street, at Triplicane, within the local limits of Madras, a Hindu gentleman; Vanamamala Nigama Chettiar, residing at No. 3, Pongara Chettiar street, at Triplicane aforesaid, a Hindu gentleman—*Defendants*.

And

The Secretary of State for India in Council, Advocate-General, Madras, residing at No. 7, in Tolacapa Perumall street at Triplicane; Marudam Annatham Pillay, Thothala Chetti, a merchant residing at No. 26, in South Malabar street at Triplicane aforesaid; Thothala Tammangala Chetti, a Clerk in the service of Mr. J. H. Handley, Barrister-at-Law, residing at No. 11, in Tolacapa Perumall street aforesaid; Marudam Tammangala Chetti, a merchant, residing at No. 16, in Terady street, Triplicane; Thothala Latchman Naidu, employed in the Bank of Madras, residing at No. 131, in Veeraragava Moolali street, Triplicane; Subramanyam Annaswamy Mudaliar, Assistant Record-keeper in the Office of the Registrar of Public Works Accounts, residing at No. 74, in Veeraragava Moolali street, Triplicane; Srinivasan Subramanyam Mudaliar, employed in the Accountant-General's Office, residing at No. 14, in Venkateswala Moolali street, Triplicane; and Teru Iyah Pillay, without employ, residing at No. 2, in Venkateswala Naidu street, Triplicane—*Defendants*.

This suit coming on on this present day before the Honorable Court for further directions in the presence of Mr. Gould and Mr. Johnston of Council for the plaintiffs, and of Mr. Advocate-General of Council for 1st defendant, the Secretary of State for India in Council, and also for 2nd, 4th, 7th, 8th, and 9th defendants, K. Venkateswami Chetti, K. Tammangala Chetti, K. Annaswamy Mudaliar, S. Chetty Mudaliar, and Teru Iyah Pillay, and of Mr. Spring Branson of Council for the 3rd, 5th, and 6th defendants, M. A. Tammangala Chetti, K. Tammangala Chetti, and T. Latchman Naidu, and upon hearing and the evidence of the Hon. Mr. Justice Muttusami Aiyar, dated 28th day of October, and filed on the 10th day of November 1880, and made in pursuance of an order of reference made herein and bearing date the 24th day of February 1880. It is ordered that the said certificate of the Hon. Mr. Justice Muttusami Aiyar be and the same is hereby confirmed, and it is ordered and decreed that the 3rd plaintiff, Vanamamala Nigama Chettiar, he and he is hereby appointed Dharmakartas of the temple called Sri Parthasarathi Swami Pagoda in the present monument, and it is now ordered that the plaintiffs do bear their own costs of the trial of the issues and do from and out of their own moneys pay to the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 7th, 8th, and 9th defendants their costs of the trial of the issues. And it is also ordered that the costs of the 1st defendant at the trial of the issues and all other costs of all the other parties be paid by the plaintiffs as Dharmakartas of the temple from the funds of the said pagoda in the plaint mentioned. And it is ordered and decreed that the plaintiffs do from and out of their own moneys pay to the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, and 9th defendants the sum of Rs. 1,516-3-0 for their taxed costs of the trial of the issues, and it is also ordered and decreed that the plaintiffs as Dharmakartas of the said pagoda do from and out of the funds of the said pagoda pay to themselves the sum of Rs. 3,033-12-0 for their taxed costs herein other than their costs of the trial of the issues. And it is further ordered and decreed that the plaintiffs as such Dharmakartas do from and out of the funds of the said pagoda pay to the 1st defendant the sum of Rs. 500-0-0 for his taxed costs of the trial of the issues and for all his other costs herein, and to the 2nd, 4th, 7th, 8th, and 9th defendants the sum of Rs. 1,056-8-0, and to the 3rd, 5th, and 6th defendants the sum of Rs. 773-8-0 for their respective other taxed costs herein.

By the Court.
(Signed) B. BROOKS,
Assistant Registrar.

No. 1051.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The Accountant-General is requested to send, for perusal, the order referred to in this letter, that passed on his office letter, No. 354, dated 13th September 1876, also the Revenue Board's Proceedings, No. 2287, dated 11th August 1879.

2. Neither of these papers can be traced. Financial G.O., No. 1737, of the 28th September 1876, disposed of a letter from the Accountant-General (No. 354, dated 13th idem).

OUTSTANDING,
15th June 1881.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Offg. Chief Secretary.

From H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, to the Officiating Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 24th June 1881, No. 2364 [Current No. 1540].

Finance Department.

With reference to your official memorandum, No. 1055, dated 18th June 1881, I have the honor to forward, herewith, copies of the documents noted in the margin, and to state that, with reference to paragraph 2 of the above memorandum, the G.O., No. 1737, of the 28th September 1876, disposed of a letter from this office, No. 354, dated the 13th and not No. 364, dated the 18th idem, as stated in the memorandum under acknowledgment.

Enclosure No. 1.

From E. W. LODWICK, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 13th September 1876, No. 354.

Audit Department.

With reference to your endorsement on a petition from the Trustees of the Triplicane Pagoda to His Grace the Governor in Council, dated 8th August 1876, referred for report under endorsement, No. 1646, dated 8th September 1876, I have the honor to report that the allowances to the Triplicane Pagoda was paid on a document signed by three Dharmakartas or Trustees. One of them died and another was named by the two surviving Dharmakartas. Exception was taken by certain inhabitants to the nominee. The papers were thereupon submitted for the opinion of the Government Solicitor, copy of whose reply is forwarded herewith, together with the opinion of the Advocate-General, and upon them this department took action.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th August 1879, No. 2287.

Read the following letter from A. C. TUPP, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Fort St. George, 10th July 1879, No. 2718:—

In continuation of my letter, No. 2574, dated the 30th ultimo, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Collector in charge of Treasury, Nellore, having in his letter, No. 777, dated 21st May 1879, applied to this office for a permanent payable order for the allowance of Rs. 10-11-0 per annum for religious service in a mosque, the continuance of which was sanctioned in Board's Proceedings, No. 3079, dated 19th November 1878, the Collector was asked to explain the circumstances under which a permanent payable order is required, as in such cases cash payments from the Treasury were prohibited under G.O., No. 1023, dated 8th October 1878, Revenue.

2. The Collector in his reply, No. 2208, dated 30th June 1879, informed me that the system of substituting revenue (beriz) deductions for existing cash payments would not be brought into effect in his district before the 1st July 1879, and that the permanent payable order was called for in order that the cash payments already made might be noted thereon.

3. I make this report with a request that the Board will be good enough to issue such instructions on the subject as may be necessary, in order that the practice in the several districts may be rendered uniform.

The Board did not expect that the substitution of revenue (beriz) deductions for existing cash payments to religious institutions would be carried out much earlier than 1st July 1879. The arrangement has to be made in communication with the recipients of the allowances, and

4
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT, 13th July 1881, No. 1291.

will necessarily take time; but Collection will again be requested to see that the system is brought into force as soon as possible, and that the report called for in Board's Proceedings, dated 15th November 1878, No. 1230, is submitted at an early date.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Signed: C. A. GALTON,
Attorney General.

ORDER THEREON, 13th July 1881, No. 1292.

The payment of the arrears due to the payee is mentioned. The Accountant-General will ascertain from the Government Registrar who are the proper parties to receive payment.

(True Extract.)

To the Accountant-General,
" Revenue Department,
" Board of Revenue.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of Madras
FINANCIAL.

THE ACCOUNTS OF THE

For July 1881

Revenue

Expenditure

From July 1880 to July 1881

JULY.

The following is a statement of the
revenue and expenditure of the
Government of Madras for the month of
July 1881. The revenue is shown in
the first column and the expenditure in
the second column. The balance is shown
in the third column.