

7

(1) Number of pages 16
 (2) Date of repair 19-3-87
 (3) Initials of the Referencer bnr ✓

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU
Genl DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	19.	Press.
B.P. No.	Back NO (11-14)	Mis.
Dated	5. 1. 1881.	197

9/10

AK
Not to be folded or roughly handled

8

19 (11-14)
7

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

5th January 1881, No. 19.

Municipal—Drainage.

Read again—

No. 11. From W. S. BETTS, Esq., Agent, South Indian Railway, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Negapatam, 4th May 1880, No. 8997.

In continuation of my letter, No. 8778, of the 20th of March last, I have to inform you the Board of Directors have sanctioned the expenditure by the Company of two-thirds (Rs. 9,494) of the estimated cost of the Tuticorin Drainage Scheme, the remaining one-third (Rs. 4,746) being borne by the Municipality of Tuticorin.

2. I therefore now have to submit tracing No. 1214-A, and an estimate, in duplicate, for the approval of Government.

Submitted for the consideration of Government, with reference to their Proceedings in the Financial Department, under date the 23rd December 1879, No. 2479.

2. The proposed drainage works at Tuticorin have been already approved by Government, also the distribution of the cost, two-thirds against the South Indian Railway Company, and one-third against the Tuticorin Municipality. The Agent now submits the estimates for formal sanction. The details of the plan have received the approval of the local authorities.

3. The work was entered in the Railway Budget for 1880-81, but not having been sanctioned was struck out under the rules; there is therefore no provision for the expenditure during the current official year, and not being either "special" or "emergent," cannot properly be put in hand until after the 31st March 1881.

4. It is recommended that it be sanctioned for execution in 1881-82; but the carrying out of the work is of course contingent on the Municipality being prepared to defray their share of the cost Rs. 4,746.

MADRAS,
10th May 1880.

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Col., R.E.,
Consulting Engineer for Railways.

No. 85.

Referred to the Financial Department for information as to when the Municipality of Tuticorin will be prepared to contribute their share of the cost Rs. 4,746.

2. This information is required in order that provision of the balance, Rs. 9,494, may be made in Railway Budget.

OOTACAMUND,
22nd May 1880.

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Col., R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

No. 12.

No. 1146.

ORDER.—Communicated to the President, Municipal Commission, Tuticorin, who will ascertain and report what action the Commissioners are prepared to take in the matter. It is observed that the current year's budget contains no provision for drainage.

(True Extract.)

9th June 1880.

(Signed) R. DAVIDSON,
Chief Secretary.

Read also the following paper:—

No. 13. From J. B. PENNINGTON, Esq., President of the Municipal Commission, Tinnevely, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Tinnevely, 24th November 1880, No. 1558.

In returning herewith the enclosures of G.O., No. 1146, dated the 9th June last, Financial Department, I have the honor to inform you that the Commissioners of the Tuticorin Municipality are prepared to pay their share of the cost of drainage by yearly instalments of 1,000 rupees. The Commissioners are unable to pay more than that sum every year.

2. This fact was communicated to the Agent, South Indian Railway, by this office letter, No. 138, dated the 23rd February 1880.

3. Provision will, if possible, be made in the budget of 1881-82 and subsequent years for the work.

No. 14.

ORDER THEREON, 5th January 1881, No. 19.

Communicated to the Public Works Department with reference to the endorsement in that department, dated 22nd May 1880, No. 85.

2. The delay of the President in replying to this reference is not satisfactory.

(True Extract.)

R. DAVIDSON,
Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department, Railway Branch, with plan and estimate.
" President of the Municipal Commission, Tinnevely.
" Sanitary Commissioner.
" Accountant-General.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

19(11-14)
2

No. 8997.

South Indian Railway,

AGENT'S OFFICE,

NEGAPATAM, 11 May 1880.

From

The AGENT,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY.

To

The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

19 (11-14)
3

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No 8778 of the 20th of March last, I have to inform you the Board of Directors have sanctioned the expenditure by the Company of two thirds (Rs. 9494) of the estimated cost of the Tuticora drainage scheme, the remaining one third (Rs. 4746) being borne by the Municipality of Tuticora.

I therefore now have to submit tracing No 1214A and an estimate in duplicate, for the approval of Government.

I have the honor to be

Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servant,
Walter F. Cooper

for Agent

Govt. of 1881 Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.

RAILWAY BRANCH.
Secy, &c., &c.

Dated January, 1881

Forward

G.O. No. 1881, No. 19.

JANUARY.
Nos. 11-14.

Municipal Drainage.—Letter from the President, Municipal Commission, Trivellore, stating that the Commissioners of the Tuticora Municipality are prepared to pay their share of the cost of drainage of the town by yearly instalments of Rs. 1,000, communicated to the Public Works Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
Railway Branch.

Consulting Engineer's Office,
Madras, 10th May 1880.

Letter from the Agent, South Indian
Railway, No. 8997 dated 4th May 1880.
with plan and estimate.

19C11-14
4

Lin 2102

No.

SUBMITTED

for the consideration of Government,
with reference to their Proceedings in
the Financial Department, under date
the 23rd December 1879. No. 2479.

2. The proposed drainage works at
Tuticorin have been already approved
by Government; also the distribution
of the cost, two-thirds against the
South Indian Railway Company,
and one-third against the Tuticorin
Municipality. The Agent now submits
the estimates for formal sanction.
The details of the plan have received
the approval of the local authorities.

3. The work was entered in the
Railway budget for 1880-81; but

Submited: 3/45/80

From
The Agent,
South Indian Railway,
Nagapattinam.
To
The Consulting Engineer for
Railways,
Madras.
Dated 4th May
Received
No. 8997
ENGINEER
1880
143/80

Regarding the estimate
the Tuticorin drainage.

not having been sanctioned, was struck out under the rules. There is therefore no provision for the expenditure during the current official year; and, not being either "special" or "emergent", cannot properly be put in hand until after the 31st March 1881.

4. It is recommended that it be sanctioned for execution in 1881-82; but the carrying out of the work is of course contingent on the Municipality being prepared to defray their share of the cost, Rs. 4,746.

W. Mantuont
C. L. R.
Cons. Eng. for Railways.

In Encl: 3145/80

To The Secretary to Govt.
Railway Branch
16/5/80

P. R. Sept. 30

Rs 85

R. D.
22 MAY 80

From the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated 10th May 1880.

19(11-14)
5

Referred to the Financial Department for information as to when the Municipality of Tinkarim will be prepared to contribute their share of the cost, Rs. 4,746.

2. This information is required in order that provision of the balance, Rs. 9494, may be made in Railway Budget.

C. L. R.
22nd May 1880

W. Mantuont
C. L. R.
21/5.
H. Secy. to Govt. P. R.
Railway Branch

To the Financial Dept.
With papers -

Handwritten signature

19(11-14)
6
div.

2. This fact was communicated to the Agent South Indian Railway by this office letter No 138 dated the 21st February 1880.

104 258-78
Order 219 June
1888 No. 1149

Write order

227/57
to the President Mr. C. Underwood
with 4 envelopes to be sent
14/6

3. Provision will, if possible, be made in the budget of 1881-82 and subsequent years for the work.

I have the honor to be

Yours truly
J. R. Thompson

President

Comp. Sec.

19-(11-14)
7

19-(11-14)
7

$$\begin{array}{r} 19-11-14 \\ 8 \end{array}$$
$$\frac{19 - (11 - 14)}{8}$$

Received of the
5th January 1887 No. 19
Communicated to the Public
Works Dept. with reference to the
endorsement in that Dept. dated
22nd Decr 1886 No. 85.
The delay in complying to this reference is not
satisfactory. 1/12/86

To Mr. T. W. Apple By Receipt
of the M.C. James & Co. \$20.00
Sanitary Cause
Adm. of Chapel

Feb 1880
 The Hon. Secy of the
 Interior
 Washington
 D.C.
 Sir,
 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11th inst. in relation to the proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States.
 I am, Sir, very respectfully,
 Yours,
 J. D. Smith

Gobt. of 1881 Madras.

Encl

(8)

G.O. 5th Jan 1881, No. 19.
Nos. 11-14.

Munro & Co. a/c

Letter from the M. S.
Munro & Co. a/c
stating that the owners
of the Laticorin Munro
are prepared to pay
their share of the cost
of clearance of the
Laticorin by yearly in-
stallments of Rs. 1000
and to the M. S. a/c.