

(1) Number of page

10

(2) Date of repair

13-11-40

(3) Initials of the Referencer

C. S.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.**Government of Madras.****Revenue DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.

586

Press
Mis.

Dated

13-11-40

1876

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Police—Salt Guards.

No. 199. Read the following letter from J. A. BOURDILLON, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to the Hon. W. HUDLESTON, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Fort William, 27th November 1875, No. 2614 :—

Referring to the Proceedings of the Government of Madras noted in the margin, I am directed to forward copy of a letter from the Accountant-General, No. 7664, dated 29th October 1875, from

Nos. 274 and 275.
„ 354 and 355.

which it will be seen that previous to the introduction of the Provincial Service Scheme, the cost of Police guards for the Salt Department was treated as a Police charge. I am accordingly to inquire on what grounds it is now considered by the Government of Madras that the charge is Imperial and not Provincial.

From R. W. LODWICK, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated Fort St. George, 29th October 1875, No. 7664.

Audit Department.

With reference to your letter, No. 4089, dated 21st October 1875, inquiring whether previous to the introduction of the Provincial Service Scheme, the cost of Police guards for the Salt Department was charged to the Salt or the Police Department, I have the honor to state that the cost of the Police guards for the Salt Department prior to the introduction of the Provincial Service Scheme was debited to Police Department.

No. 200. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated Fort St. George, 23rd February 1876, No. 334.

In reply to your letter, dated the 27th November 1875, No. 2614, I am directed to state that this Government have not considered the charge on account of “Police guards for the Salt Department” to be an Imperial charge, but they are of opinion that all charges incurred for the purpose in excess of the original assignment, in view to the expansion of the Salt Revenue, are fairly debitable to Imperial Revenues which take credit for the increased revenue from Salt.

2. The allotment for Police Salt Guards in the Budget Estimate for 1870-71 when the Provincial Services scheme was introduced was Rupees 1,48,715, but the expenditure actually incurred since then has been as follows, viz.,

	Expenditure on	
	Police Salt Guards.	Salt Revenue.
	RS.	RS.
1870-71	1,45,776	126,43,304
1871-72	1,49,689	130,78,407
1872-73	1,48,220	128,08,454
1873-74	1,59,113	129,51,795
1874-75	1,51,743	133,15,636

and new demands are constantly arising.

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,
Chief Secretary.

Encd. J. Wright.

(V^o 2514.)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of Madras.

And Dep^{ty} Secy.

Referring to the Proceedings
of the Government of Madras noted
4 and 275. } in the margin, I am directed
54 and 355. } to forward copy of a letter
from the Accountant General V^o 7564
dated 29th October 1875, from which it
will be seen that previous to the introduction
of the Provincial Service Scheme, the cost
of Police guards for the Salt Department
was treated as a police charge. I am
accordingly to enquire on what grounds
it is now considered by the Government
of Madras that the charge is imperial
and not provincial.

Fort William
The 17th November
1875

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most Obedt. Servant,

J. A. Boudinon

(Offg. Secy to the Govt of Madras)

over

Police-Salt Charge.—Government of India
informed that this Government have not considered
the charge as a police charge, but as an Imperial charge,
Salt Department, to be paid by the Imperial Government,
that they are of opinion that all charges incurred
for the purpose of the Imperial Government
ment are debitable to Imperial Revenue.

SECRETARY.
Nos. 189-200

G.O., 29th February 1876, No. 354.

Recd.

Recd.

Dated February 29th 1876.

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
Financial Department.

FINANCIAL.

Dept. of 1876

(1:76-611)

Fort Saint George
Accountant General's Office

29th October 1875.

And Sept From

The Accountant General

Madras.

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Government of India.

Sir,

With reference to your letter N:4189
dated 21st October 1875, enquiring whether
previous to the introduction of the Provincial
service scheme, the cost of Police guards
for the Salt Department was charged
to the Salt or the Police Department.
I have the honor to state that the cost
of the Police guards for the Salt Department
prior to the introduction of the Provincial
service scheme was debited to Police Department.

I have seen

by R. W. Lodwick

Accto General

(True copy)

W. J. L. L. L.

Reg^d Finl. Dept

17 June 1875, N:2068-49. Recd.
31 May 1875, N:2057-355 Recd.

Received 1895 Dept
of Police (Madras)

Office
of the Salt & Soda
Dept. Madras

1895 11/10/75

N:20614

End - 1. N:20614

Enquiring on
what grounds it is
now considered
by the Revenue Dept.
that the charge for
Police guards for
the Salt Dept. is
disputed and not
formal.

N:199

recd 24/2

Financial DEPARTMENT

No. 384

To

The Secretary to the Government
of India,

Financial DEPARTMENT.

Sir,

In reply to your
letter dated the 27th
November 1875, No. 2614,
I am directed to state
that this Government
have not considered
the charge on account
of "Mice guards for
the Salt Department,"
to be an Imperial
charge, but they are
of opinion that all
charges incurred for
the purpose in excess
of the original as-
sessment, in view of
the expansion of the
Salt Revenue, are
fairly debitable to

Imperial

W.H.
24/2

Add Statement showing the
increment

in final No. 2032/75

Imperial Revenues
which take credit
for the increased
Revenue from Salt.

2. The allotment
for Police, Salt guards
in the Budget esti-
mate for 1870-71 when
the Provincial Ser-
vices scheme was
introduced was Rs 1,48,715
but the expenditure
actually incurred since
then has been as follows viz.

1870-71	—	R 1,45,776	126,80,304
1871-72	—	1,49,689	10,78,407
1872-73	—	1,48,220	128,08,454
1873-74	—	1,59,113	129,51,795
1874-75	—	1,51,743	133,15,636
and new demands arising from the			

For Lt. George
23 February 1876

6d
120323 2/15d

216187

DEPARTMENT.

No. 350

To

The Secretary to the
Government of India
Bombay Department.

Dated 25 January 1876.

1876-19-300

Dear Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th inst.

in relation to the proposed change in the name of the

Department, and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the

proper authorities for their consideration.

I am, Sir, very respectfully,
Your obedient servant,
J. B. [Signature]