M.R.O. 1—77,005—3-6-37.

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 209	Press / Mis.
210-211	
Dated 31-1-	18 76.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Local Funds—Roads.

No. 310. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th January 1876, No. 97:—

Read the following letter from G. D. LEMAN, Esq., President of the Local Fund Boards, Kistna District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 17th November 1875, No. 243:—

I HAVE the honor to forward, for formal sanction, a revised estimate, amounting to Rupees 7,865, for the bridge over Polrazu Codu near Mudinapalli on the road* from Bantumilli to Gudivada.

*In Masulipatam Circle.

2. This is the second revised estimate for the work, the first having been sanctioned in G.O., No. 555, of 1st May 1873, Financial Department.

3. The expenditure upon the work reached Rupees 8,244-12-2 at the end of 1873-74. By way of recovery, a sum of Rupees 379-12-2† is entered in its favor, thus bringing the actual expenditure up to the revised estimate (Rupees 7,865).

	Rs.	A.	P.
† In 1874-75...	234	0	5
,, July 1875	145	11	9
	379	12	2

4. The Superintending Engineer's letter (copy) is enclosed, which explains the causes for the revision of the estimate.

5. In conclusion, I beg to inquire whether the excess‡ (over the first revised estimate) already expended from Local Funds upon the work is not rightly a charge against Imperial Funds with reference to G.O.,§ No. 1,348, dated 20th May 1874, Public Works Department. If it is, the Local Fund Board is entitled to a credit of this sum.

	Rs.
‡ Second revised estimate	7,865
First do.	6,607
Excess ...	1,258

§Communicated with Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings, No. 3,832, dated 9th June 1874.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From Lieutenant D. McN. CAMPBELL, R.E., Executive Engineer in charge of the Kistna District, to H. NEWMAN, Esq., Acting President of the Local Fund Board, Masulipatam Circle, dated Ravadrapad, 31st July 1875, No. 38.

[YOUR letter No. 30, dated 9th instant.]

I HAVE the honor to observe that out of the excess expenditure of Rupees 145-11-9 on the Mudinapalli Bridge therein referred to, Rupees 51-6-0 have already been recovered and credited to the work in the accounts for April and May 1875, and that the balance, Rupees 94-5-9, will be recovered by a transfer of 47 cubic yards of stone at site (at that cost) to stock and the accounts adjusted this month, so that there may be no excess expenditure at all.

2. Under these circumstances, I request that the revised estimate, amounting to Rupees 7,865, forwarded with this office letter, No 101, dated 23rd February last, may be sanctioned at an early date.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) G. D. LEMAN,
President.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
31*st January* 1876.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Revised Estimate.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Comparative Statement.

THE second revised estimate, amounting to Rupees 7,865, for the bridge over Polrazu Codu near Mudinapalli on the road from Bantumilli to Gudivada is submitted for the formal sanction of Government.

2. The first revised estimate for Rupees 6,607 was sanctioned in G.O., Financial Department, dated 1st May 1873, No. 555. The cause of the excess is fully explained in the comparative statement. The work was completed in 1873-74 at a total cost of Rupees 8,245, of which Rupees 380 have since been recovered, thus reducing the cost to Rupees 7,865.

3. With reference to paragraph 5 of the President's letter, the Board observe that unless it can be shown that the excess expenditure, Rupees 1,258, was caused by the extra work undertaken on the sanction accorded in G.O., Public Works Department, dated 20th May 1874, No. 1,248, no refund can be claimed from Imperial Funds.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 311. ORDER THEREON, 31st January 1876, No. 209.
The revised estimate is sanctioned.
(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue, with enclosures.
,, Public Works Department.
,, Accountant-General.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Govt. of {1876} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated January, 31st.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 31st January 1876, No. 209.

JANUARY.
Nos. 310-311.

Local Funds—Roads.—The revised estimate for a bridge over Polrazu Codu near Mudinapalli on road from Bantumilli to Gudivada, Kistna District, sanctioned.

No 282. 10 TD

From

J. Weir Esquire,

Acting Registrar of the

High Court of Judicature

at Madras.

To

The Honorable W. Hudleston

Chief Secretary to

Government.

Honorable Sir,

The District Judge of South Arcot having applied for an extra supply of certain Articles of Stationery for immediate use in the Courts of the District Munsifs, I am to request that the sanction of Government may be given to the enclosed Indent being passed by the Superintendent of Stationery. I enclose copy of a letter from that Officer stating

Enclosure 2.

In a letter from the

Registrar of the

High Court of Judicature

at Madras dated 25th

January 1876, No 282.

Ans 4th Feb. 238/76.

the necessity for the sanction now applied for.—

I have the honor to be,
Honorable Sir,
Your most obed^t Servt,

High Court of Judicature, Madras,
25th January 1876.

Acting Registrar,
Appellate Side.

(5)

No. 97.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 14th January 1876.

Read the following letter from G. D. LEMAN, Esq., President of the Local Fund Boards, Kistna District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 17th November 1875, No. 243:—

I HAVE the honor to forward, for formal sanction, a revised estimate, amounting to Rupees 7,865, for the bridge over Polrazu Codu near Mudinapalli on the road* from Bantumilli to Gudivada.

*In Masulipatam Circle.

2. This is the second revised estimate for the work, the first having been sanctioned in G.O., No. 555, of 1st May 1873, Financial Department.

3. The expenditure upon the work reached Rupees 8,244-12-2 at the end of 1873-74. By way of recovery, a sum of Rupees 379-12-2† is entered in its favor, thus bringing the actual expenditure up to the revised estimate (Rupees 7,865).

	Rs.	A.	P.
†In 1874-75...	234	0	5
,, July 1875	145	11	9
	379	12	2

4. The Superintending Engineer's letter (copy) is enclosed, which explains the causes for the revision of the estimate.

5. In conclusion, I beg to inquire whether the excess‡ (over the first revised estimate) already exp...om Local Funds upon the work is not rightly a charge against Imperial Funds with reference to G.O.,§ No. 1,348, dated 20th May 1874, Public Works Department. If it is, the Local Fund Board is entitled to a credit of this sum.

	Rs.
‡Second revised estimate	7,865
First do.	6,607
Excess ...	1,258

§Communicated with Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings, No. 3,832, dated 9th June 1874.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From Lieutenant D. McN. CAMPBELL, R.E., Executive Engineer in charge of the Kistna District, to H. NEWMAN, Esq., Acting President of the Local Fund Board, Masulipatam Circle, dated Ravadrapad, 31st July 1875, No. 38.

[YOUR letter No. 30, dated 9th instant.]

I HAVE the honor to observe that out of the excess expenditure of Rupees 145-11-9 on the Mudinapalli Bridge therein referred to, Rupees 51-6-0 have already been recovered and credited to the work in the accounts for April and May 1875, and that the balance, Rupees 94-5-9, will be recovered by a transfer of 47 cubic yards of stone at site (at that cost) to stock and the accounts adjusted this month, so that there may be no excess expenditure at all.

2. Under these circumstances, I request that the revised estimate, amounting to Rupees 7,865, forwarded with this office letter No 101, dated 23rd February last, may be sanctioned at an early date.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) G. D. LEMAN,
President.

Enclosure No. 2.—Revised Estimate.

Enclosure No. 3.—Comparative Statement.

The second revised estimate, amounting to Rupees 7,865, for the bridge over Polrazu Codu near Mudinapalli on the road from Bantumilli to Gudivada is submitted for the formal sanction of Government.

2. The first revised estimate for Rupees 6,607 was sanctioned in G.O., Financial Department, dated 1st May 1873, No. 555. The cause of the excess is fully explained in the comparative statement. The work was completed in 1873-74 at a total cost of Rupees 8,245, of which Rupees 380 have since been recovered, thus reducing the cost to Rupees 7,865.

3. With reference to paragraph 5 of the President's letter, the Board observe that unless it can be shown that the excess expenditure, Rupees 1,258, was caused by the extra work undertaken on the sanction accorded in G.O., Public Works Department, dated 20th May 1874, No. 1,248, no refund can be claimed from Imperial Funds.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

...ment, Financial Department,
with three enclosures, to be returned.
" the President, Local Fund Boards, Kistna District.
Copy to the District Engineer, Kistna.
" to the Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. W. H. French,

1876.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

Proceedings, 14th January 1876, No. 97.

Received 21/1/76

JANUARY.
No. 97.

Bridge—over Polrazu Codu near Mudinapalli on road from Bantumilli to Gudivada, in Kistna District.—Submitting for formal sanction of Government a second revised estimate, amounting to Rupees 7,865 for ...

Govt. of {1876} Madras.

Financial B

G.O. 31st January 1876, No. 209

Nos. 310-11

Local Funds — Roads. Revised Estimate for a bridge over Polrazu Codu near Gudivadapalli on road from Bantumilli to Gudivada, Kistna District, Sanctioned.