M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 40

(2) Date of repair 11-9-40

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 796	Press / Mis.
10-6-07	166-167
Dated 9-6-1875.	

20/21

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Local Funds—Establishment.

No. 106. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th September 1874, No. 2656 :—

Read the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., President of the Local Fund Boards, Godavery District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 24th August 1874, No. 85:—

I have the honor to inform you that the Local Fund Board, at a Meeting held on the 18th instant, read the G.O., dated 31st July 1874,* No. 2094, postponing the consideration of the estimate for the excavation of the navigable canal in the Nagaram Island, and passed the following resolution :—

* Finl. Dept., 6th August 1874, No. 1290.

PRESENT.

President.

1. W. S. Foster, Esq.

Members.

2. Sri Rajah Row Venkata Mahipati Gungadhara Ramarow Bahadur, Zemindar.
3. Dr. A. M. Branfoot, Civil Surgeon.
4. S. Venkata Gopala Row Naidu Garu, Tahsildar of Ramachendrapur.
5. Batchu Rámésaw Garu.
6. Pyda Ramakristnayya Garu.
7. Nilam Tirupateroydu Naidu Garu.

"It was resolved that Government should be requested to give up the whole management of the Road Fund work to the Local Fund Board, and take it out of the hands of the Department of Public Works."

2. In the Proceedings of the 31st July 1874, the Government say that the agricultural works are as much as the existing establishment can supervise, and they have also drainage works; so it is to be concluded that the Public Works Department have no time to spare for Local Fund works in the delta.

3. I beg to refer the Board to the District Engineer's letters and other papers, recorded in G.O., dated 30th September 1873, No. 1394, communicated with the Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings, dated 16th October 1873, No. 7034, which clearly shows the inefficiency of the Department of Public Works to supervise the Road Fund works without extra assistance. The reasons given in those papers are so plain that it is unnecessary for me to dwell on the matter much.

4. The Revenue Board would also observe from paragraph 11 of my letter, recorded in the Government Order above referred to, that the total salaries of the District Engineers, Supervisors, &c., amount to Rupees 81,744, of which Rupees 66,080 have been paid from the Local Funds on account of the cost of the Department of Public Works Supervision, while only Rupees 15,664 are paid from Imperial and Provincial Funds.

5. It is quite plain from the above figures that nearly three-fourths of the expenditure for the whole district is paid from the Local Funds. Such being the case, it is hardly right to say that the Department of Public Works Officers have no time to spare for Local Fund works in the delta. The Local Fund Board

resolve to take the management entirely from the hands of the Department of Public Works, but I do not feel inclined to recommend such a proposal. For my part, I would strongly recommend that three or four Executive Engineers with the necessary establishment be appointed for the Road Fund works, their salaries being paid from the amount at present allotted to General Supervision in the Local Funds, leaving the establishment at present existing to be paid entirely from other funds. I would leave the District Engineer as usual to supervise them, for which I propose to pay into the hands of Government a certain proportionate amount on account of the salary of the District Engineer. The Government in the above order said that the question of charge to the Local Funds on account of Imperial Establishment is under their consideration, but nearly a year has since elapsed.

6. Under these circumstances, I would strongly urge the Board to get Government to give early and serious attention to this matter.

Submitted for the orders of Government, with reference to G.O., Public Works Department, dated 31st July 1874, No. 2094.

2. The Cocanada Local Fund Board, acting on the fact that in G.O., Public Works Department, dated 31st July 1874, No. 2094, Government have expressly admitted that the staff of the Department of Public Works in Godavery is so occupied with imperial work that it cannot undertake any more Local Fund works, propose to have an establishment of their own instead of entrusting their work to the Public Works Department.

3. The President refers to the papers recorded with G.O., dated 30th September 1873, No. 1394, Financial Department, as clearly showing that the Public Works Department cannot look after Local Fund work properly without assistance, and to the figures given in paragraph 11 of his letter, which is one of the papers as proving that the Local Fund pays more than its proper share of the cost of the Public Works Department in the district. He proposes that the Local Fund should have three or four Executive Engineers of its own, and pay the District Engineer a fair proportion of his salary to supervise them.

4. The proposal of the Cocanada Local Fund Board is inadmissible under G.O., Financial Department, dated 13th May 1874, No. 827, but in that same order Government admit their responsibility as regards the provision of proper supervision for Local Fund work in the Public Works Department. Hence if the establishment in the Godavery is so weak that Local Fund work, which would otherwise be allowed, stopped because of that weakness, it ought to be strengthened.

5. The figures to which the President refers in paragraph 4 represent the Local Fund as paying Rupees 66,080 out of Rupees 81,744, the cost of the salaries of the Public Works Staff, but this is scarcely a fair representation of the facts. From the actuals of 1872-73 it appears that, in that year, the cost of Godavery Public Works Establishment was Rupees 1,68,094, and that the Local Fund paid Rupees 50,100. On the other hand, the Local Fund expenditure by the Department of Public Works, Rupees 1,73,169 was a little more than 26 per cent. of the total expenditure, Rupees 6,64,421, and the corresponding portion of the total cost of the Public Works Establishment is Rupees 43,810, so that the supervision

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
9th April 1875.

of Local Fund works which are comparatively simple, costs more in proportion than the supervision of complicated works which include the anicut and its channels.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Secretary.

No. 107. ORDER THEREON, 9th April 1875, No. 796.

The question of the entertainment of a separate Local Fund Engineering Establishment for the Cocanada Circle to work independently of the Public Works Department must be kept in abeyance until a decision has been come to on the general question referred to the Board of Revenue for report in G.O., dated 19th January 1875, No. 505.

2. The remarks of the Board of Revenue in paragraph 4 of their Proceedings will be considered in the Public Works Department.

(True Extract)

Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, Public Works Department.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of [1875] Madras

FINANCIAL.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated April, 9th.

Issued

Read.

G.O., 9th April 1875, No. 790.

APRIL.

Nos. 106-107.

Cocanada Circle.—The question of entertaining a separate Local Fund Engineering Establishment for this Circle to work independently of the Public Works Department ordered to be held in abeyance, pending decision of the general question.

No. 2,656.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 17th September 1874.

Read the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., President of the Local Fund Boards, Godavery District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 24th August 1874, No. 85 :—

I HAVE the honor to inform you, that the Local Fund Board at a meeting held on 18th instant, read the G. O., dated 31st July 1874,* No. 2,094, postponing the consideration of the estimate for the excavation of the Navigable Canal in the Nagaram Island, and passed the following resolution :—

* Financial Department, 6th August 1874, No. 1,290.

PRESENT.

1. W. S. Foster, Esq., President.
2. Sri Rajah Row Venkata Mahipati Gungadhara Ramarow Bahadur, Zemindar, Member.
3. Doctor A. M. Branfoot, Civil Surgeon.
4. S. Venkata Gopala Row Naidu Gar, Tahsildar of Ramachendrapur.
5. Batchu Rámésaw Gar.
6. Pyda Ramakristnayya Gar.
7. Nilam Tirupateroydu Naidu Gar.

"It was resolved that Government should be requested to give up the whole management of the Road Fund Work to the Local Fund Board, and take it out of the hands of the D. P. W."

2. In the Proceedings,† the Government say that the agricultural works are as much as the existing establishment can supervise, and they have also drainage works ; so it is to be concluded that the Public Works Department have no time to spare for Local Fund Works in the delta.

† Of 31st July 1874.

3. I beg to refer the Board to the District Engineer's letters and other papers, recorded in the G. O., dated 30th September 1873, No. 1,394, communicated with the Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings, dated 16th October 1873, No. 7,034, which clearly shows the inefficiency of the Department Public Works to supervise the Road Fund Works without extra assistance. The reasons given in those papers are so plain that it is unnecessary for me to dwell on the matter much.

4. The Revenue Board would also observe from paragraph 11 of my letter recorded in the Government Order, above referred to, that the total salaries of the District Engineers, Supervisors, etc., amount to Rupees 81,744, of which Rupees 66,080 have been paid from the Local Funds on account of the cost of the Department Public Works supervision, while only 15,664 are paid from Imperial and Provincial Funds.

5. It is quite plain from the above figures, that nearly $\frac{3}{4}$ths of the expenditure for the whole district is paid from the Local Funds. Such being the case, it is hardly right to say that the Department Public Works Officers have no time to spare for Local Fund Works in the Delta. The Local Fund Board resolve to take

the management entirely from the hands of the Department Public Works, but I do not feel inclined to recommend such a proposal. For my part, I would strongly recommend that three or four Executive Engineers with the necessary establishment be appointed for the Road Fund Works, their salaries being paid from the amount at present allotted to general supervision in the Local Funds; leaving the establishment at present existing to be paid entirely from other funds. I would leave the District Engineer as usual to supervise them, for which I propose to pay into the hands of Government a certain proportionate amount on account of the salary of the District Engineer. The Government in the above order said that the question of charge to the Local Funds on account of imperial establishment is under their consideration, but nearly a year has since elapsed.

6. Under these circumstances, I would strongly urge the Board to get Government to give early and serious attention to this matter.

SUBMITTED for the Orders of Government, with reference to G. O., Public Works Department, dated 31st July 1874, No. 2,094.

2. The Cocanada Local Fund Board, acting on the fact that in G. O., Public Works Department, dated 31st July 1874, No. 2,094, Government have expressly admitted that the staff of the Department Public Works in Godavery is so occupied with imperial work that it cannot undertake any more Local Fund works, propose to have an establishment of their own instead of entrusting their work to the Public Works Department.

3. The President refers to the papers recorded with G. O., dated 30th September 1873, No. 1,394, Financial Department, as clearly showing that the Public Works Department cannot look after Local Fund work properly without assistance, and to the figures given in paragraph 11 of his letter, which is one of the papers as proving that the Local Fund pays more than its proper share of the cost of the Public Works Department in the district. He proposes that the Local Fund should have three or four Executive Engineers of its own and pay the District Engineer a fair proportion of his salary to supervise them.

4. *The proposal of the Cocanada Local Fund Board* is inadmissible under G. O., Financial Department, dated 13th May 1874, No. 827, but in that same order Government admit their responsibility as regards the provision of proper supervision for Local Fund work in the Public Works Department. Hence if the establishment in the Godavery is so weak that Local Fund work, which would otherwise be allowed, is stopped because of that weakness, it ought to be strengthened.

5. The figures to which the President refers in paragraph 4, represent the Local Fund as paying Rupees 66,080 out of Rupees 81,744 the cost of the salaries of the Public Works staff, but this is scarcely a fair representation of the facts. From the actuals of 1872-73 it appears that in that year the cost of the Godavery Public Works establishment was Rupees 1,68,094 and that the Local Fund paid Rupees 50,100. On the other hand, the Local Fund expenditure by the Department Public Works, Rupees 1,73,169 was a little more than 26 per cent. of the total expenditure, Rupees 6,64,421, and the corresponding portion of the total cost of the Public Works establishment is Rupees 43,810, so that the supervision

of Local Fund Works which are comparatively simple, costs more in proportion than the supervision of complicated works which include the anicut and its channels.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department.
„ the President of the Local Fund Boards, Godavery District.

Board of Revenue,
Finc. 1874.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 17TH SEPTEMBER 1874, No. 2,656.

Dept. 28 Sept. 74 Encls 25
No. 1777
796/75
R. 2034
Received 1st October 74.

SEPTEMBER.

No. 2,656.

Establishment for Local Fund Works—Proposal of Cocanada Local Fund Board to employ an establishment of their own instead of entrusting their work to the Public Works Department, submitted to Government, with remark that proposal is inadmissible, but that if Public Works establishment in district is weak, it ought to be strengthened.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

No 106

9

These papers have been by me for some weeks - laid by because the matter more especially of the Chief Engineers general observations is exceedingly important

The no doubt very truly & properly lays before Government the existing feelings of the P.W.D. Service in respect both to what is called in England "local Government" and

And the Comanches popularised control to which they are subjected under the sound administrative direction more recently adopted by Govt. & the Legislature. It is doubtless a task of no small difficulty to carry out even a sound policy more or less against the grain of our unavoidable Eastern and the fact perhaps accounts (with of course occasional errors of judgment on the Ries side) for the want of smoothness in working which is perceptible in various parts. But I see no reason for

for retrogression or change of policy.

Prior to 1854 the D.P.W. Executive worked in a great measure under local embroil and direction. Altered circumstances have perhaps produced an altered spirit and a certain impatience in respect to the thoroughly sound & more thrifty system of local administration more recently inaugurated - so much so that I am inclined to think that the spirit of imperium in imperio does not altogether lie in the direction indicated by the Chief Engineer's Remarks.

I hold - in accordance with the

with the spirit of the
Legislature – that the
taxpayers & their represent-
atives have a right
to exercise judgment
& control (under the
observation of Govt) in
respect to the operations
of those whom they do
largely contribute to
support and I wish to
foster & encourage any
spirit of independent
interest in the progress
of the country – which may
be looked amongst
the people and local
State Agency, notwithstanding
occasional & inevitable
Complaints of a temporary
character with the D.P.W.
Expenditure

This

I submit
as meeting on D.P.W. Officers
meeting in the most liberal
and conciliatory spirit
the views & wishes of Local
Authorities & in strengthening
the hands of the former
by well planned placed
at their disposal at
the cost of the Boards.

I admit that some
Extravagance may be
the consequence of such
measure. We cannot
reduce the Imperial
Establishment and the
charge now made is
Expensive per se. If
in addition they must
pay for Extra Establishment
this charge will be
much

undertaking increased. But
for the present there seems
to be no help for it.
As regards professional
advice the D.P.W officers
are ex officio members
of the Local Fund Boards
and prepare the Estimates
for all works which
they desire to carry out
with the advantage
of stating fully any opinions
of a professional kind
which they may hold.
The only suggestion which
I have to make is that
in event of a disagreement
the Board of Revenue
might be allowed to
consult the Chief Engineer
before submitting

proposals for the sanction
of Government 15

26/12 W Robertson

Jud. Department.

17

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following Pros: of the Board of Revenue, dy 14th Septr: 1874, No: 2656.

Order thereon, Cotacamund, 19th Octr: 74, No 777.

The alleged insufficiency of the P. W. establishment in the Godavery District will be considered in the Public Works Department, and the necessary steps taken to prevent a recurrence of the cause of complaint.

2. The Board of Revenue will intimate to the Presidents of all Local Fund Boards, that the Govt must decline to consider any

DR 16/11

add "at present"

any proposals from individual Local Fund Boards for the entertainment of separate establishments for Local Fund Public Works. [The questions must be dealt with as a general one, and the arguments for and against separate establishments are already well known to Govt. Any further information that may be required will be called for.]

J.
28/10

We have considered such a proposal in this case & the Chelmsford (and in civil Dr.) & recommend that Board there.

omit

3/11

I think we had better call upon the Local Fund Boards to apply to the Board of Revenue P.W. Dept. (who will be guided by the general authorities the employment of L.F.

X

X Officers noted ... P.W. Officers (Military Superintending & Executive Engineers) wherever a strong case is made out. This is the practice in Bombay, Madras &

19

Have given this matter careful consideration from a practical point of view and cannot see my way to a change that will work well. We must I think allow increase of establishment where good reason is shown - in subordinate & the regular Dist. establishment & there under Dy. Collrs. officers doing more for the L.F.

18/11 WDL

Agd. as proposed & the Govt. to consider the letter of 31st July 74 No 2094

WDL.

Insufficiency of
P.W. Establishment
in the Fog. District.

Rec'd B.P. Pro 8. N.º 2656 of 17.th Sept.r 1874.

The Cocumda Local Fund Board base a demand "that "the whole management of "the Road Fund Work be ta- "ken out of the hands of the "D.P.W. and given up to the "Board", on the repeal of the Govt. Sanction a certain navigable Canal, on the plea that the time & attention of the Range Officers and their Apicalants were fully occupied with Agricultural & drainage works -

I enclose, in Col. Mullins's N.º 2094 of 31.st Aug.t and regret Draft, faultly; having countersigned it- The reasons assigned in it are not valid against the sanction of the Plans & Estimates; however valid

C29/10

They are against the allotment in 1874-75 of Imperial Funds for the work, of which the contribution was to be £ 10000 against £ 17000 of Local Funds. It is to be noted that Local Funds were not then available; ~~but the~~ and Col. Mullins probably could not find time to devote to the examination of the papers, having much more pressing work in hand —

Under these circumstances, the simple course is to affirm the propriety of sanctioning this Plan & Est.s, for execution whenever funds may be available;

Lyons & Mus 26/12

C29/10

The Ch. Sec.y for Irrig.n being instructed to report upon them at once. And it may subsequently be intimated to the L.G. Board that as it is a work involving a large outlay of Imperial Funds they are not at liberty to proceed to execution on short notice, when it may be convenient to allot such funds.

Nothing can more clearly evidence the fact that the Coromandel Rivers are resolved, in season and out of season, to assimilate for an independent Empire of their own, than their naming this project in the first place

23

it is urged that they have no funds available, & in the next place, the work, being one for which Imperial funds are wholly allotted, cannot be given out for execution by other than the regular Pub. Wks Agency - It is for Govt. to decide whether they will give in to this persistent agitation, & peremptorily put it down.

I would only note that The Right Hon. the Governor's proposal to authorize the employment of L.S. Officers instead of P.W. Officers subject to supervision of Dist. Engrs would neither meet the views of the Local Boards, nor be feasible in practice.

Govt. of Bombay
26/12.

H. M. 24

Secy to Govt. Genl Dept
Govt. of India
Simla

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of a letter from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department, No. 1178 of the 20th instant, regarding the proposed transfer of the Local Funds Establishments to the Public Works Department, and to request that the views of the Government of India may be communicated to this Government at an early date.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
[illegible]
Secy to Govt.

Bombay Castle
26th March 1912.

General Observations

It seems to me that the Govt. have created an "imperium in imperio" by the Local Funds Act, from the interferences of which, if yielded to, great complications may arise - as in a federation of States. There are certain questions which the Supreme Govt. reserves for itself to deal with, so should it be with these Local Boards in the matter of Public Works Establishments - Arrangements have not been made with the Govt. of India regarding the debit of Establishment charges; which is to be fixed at 25 per cent on all Imperial Work Outlay, Provincial and Local Funds bearing the rest. Local Boards object, complaining of paying more than their share, and yet they propose to have Establishments selected by for Local Works, for wh. Local Funds must

pay in addition to the
interable charge for all
work executed by P.W.
Agency - If they adopt
"other Agency" the charge for P.W. 2nd ment.
falls upon Provincial
Funds, and an equiv-
:lent must be deducted
from the Lump Contribu-
:tion made from Provincial
to Local Funds - If this is
not objected to, and the Govt
of India permit of extension
of the P.W. Establishment as the option
of the Madras Govt, well &
good - But such extension
will be objected to, as the
Establishment is to become
"Provincial" only as a matter
of account - And I do not
believe in the possibility of
maintaining an efficient
body of Local Fund Engi-
:neers and Subordinates -
If I saw any way to such
a thing, I should be very
glad, for the present position
of Public Works Officers with

With this Ord number
on Abstract Class A No 57

Roads &c.

reference to Local Fund Boards
is quite a false one - Instead
of District Engineers being con-
:sulted, and their advice ta-
:ken, as to the best method of
arriving at certain results,
they are simply told to pre-
:pare estimates for doing cer-
:tain things - For instance
the District Engineer, Ven-
:katarama, was told the other
day to lay out a new trace
to the Kondaleru, which will
be nearly 10 miles in length,
in supercession of one already
sanctioned by Govt, & partly
:ably opened - And the Dis-
:trict Engineer of South Can-
:ara has been called on to
plan and estimate for
building certain bridges of
rubble masonry - Neither
of them was properly consult-
:ed as to the propriety of the
proposed works; and in both
instances they have been
called on to do the wrong
thing - And as a climax,

Also not agreed with this Asylum
the Examiner of Accounts - Project stated to be much
wasteful than the Guard's Bunk Establishment
still has given Govt an opportunity of reconsidering
decision to have Civil Engineers
Assistants & Overseers

as that the available la-
bour is only sufficient fo
a certain quantity of work
in each working season -
And from the nature of
much of the work, much
of it is executed into a short
working season, not to inter-
fere with Irrigation and
Navigation - It would not
improve matters if a set of
Engineer officers working under a
Local Board are let loose
into the District to compete
for labour with the Officers
of the regular Establishment.
Who are the members of the
Local Board that they are
competent to set aside a de-
cision of first as to what
works shall be taken in hand
now, & what shall be post-
poned? A young Collector,
fitter for Judicial than for
Revenue duties, a little sur-
veying devoid of local know-
ledge, and 5 Natives with-

The Public Works business of Local Bonds is transacted in the Financial Dept -

Indeed the relations of Public Works Officers with Local Boards in this Presidency have acquired an unpleasant notoriety - So much so, that I am confidentially informed by a Professor at Cooper's Hill, that the remarks of them have had a very prejudicial effect on the minds of the Students making "all that have a "choice fight shy of Madras under the impression that "in many instances the position of an Engineer Officer "is little better than that of "Secretary to a Local Board "consisting perhaps of a Civil "Surgeon of 2 or 3 years standing, "a Native or two attached to "the Cutcherry, & the Storekeeper -"

In the case of the Bombay Presidency it is not so much that Officers and Subordinates are req: for superintendence of works,

This is right so far as regards professional matters.

Captain Hutchinson

This is Mr Crawford's opinion as to the Bombay Presidency

This is Mr Crawford's opinion as to the Bombay Presidency

This is Mr Crawford's opinion as to the Bombay Presidency

by incompetent to form
his opinion as to the proper
management of the Dis-
trict Works, and specially
saying ditto to the letter
ter in everything - I
append a list of Members
present at a late Local
Fund Board in a certain
District -

1 Sub Collector
1 Apothecary
5 Tahsildars
1 D.I. Inspector of Schools
1 Pensioned Sub Assistant
1 Moonsiff
1 Kotwal (Mahomedan) -

Not one half of proper
minal knowledge to enable
to decide on any Public
Works subject!

I observe that very great care
is taken by Members of Govt.
to avoid offending the
susceptibilities of Local Fund
Boards, and treating on
their proceedings. And I can-
not help thinking that this
has been carried too far; in

they are quite competent to do perhaps Kam Lungum D.P.W. Officers

no better addresses at present to offer

Why have not Engr. & Revenue Officers in this List

Govt Must do - Gen. Schools & Hospitals & Roads & Bridges in our country

Only 1 D.P.W. Officer as Members of this Board even in Hs Own Province (Most unusual)

Assistant Surgeon

No Govt Aided Schools

No Police

no interfering between Local
Boards & Pub. Wks Officers 31
The former have shown dis-
position to mistrust the lat-
ter, & check their workings
accounts in a most vexatious
manner - and also in
allowing Local Fund Boards,
as in the present instance,
to meddle with matters ap-
pertaining to general admi-
nistration of the country.

Two ~~civil~~ misproper orders
have already been passed,
by one of which any Local
Board may choose the Con-
tractor and make the
Contracts for works to be
carried out by the P.W. Exe-
cutive; and by the other
any member of a Local
Board may at any time
call for and inspect the
accounts of any Executive
Officer or Subordinate of the
P.W. Dept. - and the Govt.
seem likely now to decide
into further concessions as

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following ~~letter~~ Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th Sept. 1874, No. 2,656.

Order thereon of 6 Oct 1874 No 1779.

The alleged insufficiency of the Public Works Establishment in the Godavery District will be considered in the Public Works Department, and the necessary steps taken to prevent a recurrence of the cause of complaint.

2. ~~From para 5 of the~~ Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, it appears that para 4 of the President's letter is very far from being a fair representation

3/10

17/10

Secondly—The last part of Para 3. Capn Hall the position of

I do not think that the Public Works Establishment should be placed on a better footing than at present, I would be glad if all new Communications were kept & be carried out by their Agency from the sum of 12 Lakhs now annually handed over to Local Bonds as a lump Contribution; all repairs of communication being handed over to the Bonds, to be carried out with their own Executive Agency - I observe that 12 Lakhs is also the sum proposed to expend on Public Works in the current years Budget - But I cannot help thinking it is the best arrangement in the interest of the Public -

C. Mumbert A.S.
Chief Engr. D.P.W.

Cannon. 12th Nov.

representation of the facts of the case, and from the Controller's appropriation account, recorded with f.O. dated

it appears that under the modified system introduced in 1873-74, the charge to Local Funds on account of supervision and special establishments was only ( ) per cent, while that to Imperial Agricultural was

2. The Board of Revenue will intimate to the Presidents of all Local Fund Boards that the Govt must decline to consider any proposals for the entertainment of separate establishments for Local Fund Public Works, ~~as a general one~~ and the arguments for and against separate establishments already will remain on file requiring

Put right one & probably the only possible - But redress is sought in the matter of unified of L.F. work

17/10 MR

Note
Mr Hanks will be filled up when the L.O. returns from Madras. - The charge to Local Funds in 1873-74 was less than 1/2 Imperial.

DL

Note
It is submitted that the words "at present" may be omitted. The omission could hardly be held to preclude future action on the part of Govt and it would seem that the inclusion of these or similar words has encouraged a few Boards to go on repeating proposals of the kind.

DL

any further information that may be called for.

DL

Note
Para 2. Old 6 omitted. So far from anticipating such proposals - I think that the Govt ought not to state the position into which it has arisen. There is certainly much old said in favor of a change in the direction suggested, & whatever arguments there may be against it, I still think we may say that (on Mr Robinson's & Board's & it is the part of Local Boards officials then own Officers for the purposes of public works

that the present relation of L.F. Pd. Wks to the P.W. Dept. were exist unsatisfactory. But it would appear

H. 2/10

The Board of Revenue & P.W. Department

50/10

1/10

Financial Dept.

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
Read Bos. Board of Revenue. 3/19 Sept.
1874. No. 2656.

Local Funds - Establishment

The accompanying papers in connection with the proceedings of the Board of Revenue 3/19 September 1874 No 2656 have been received in the office since the departure of the Hon'ble Sir Sim.

In the meantime G.O. 3/19 June 1875 No 505 has gone out calling for a report on the general question of the entertainment of a separate L.F. establishment, and the following order is accordingly suggested

Order 3/9 April 1875 No 756

The question of the entertainment of a separate L.F. Engineering establishment for the Cocanada Circle & work in depndcy of the

† Yes: I must do. I suppose I have also to include Vizagapatam & Ganjam in the Circle, as the Board's proposal does not provide for Repair. Should this not be settled with reference to the important issue before us & Ganjam informally transferred to Bezwada Circle? The Hon'ble Mr Thor: &c (Chief Engr) states that the system is under which the works, & Establishments of the Circle are managed at present.

29/3 †

† also how charges on debits for Supervision &c

Govt. of 187 } Madras.

To

Dated

Recd. } 187 , No.

Nos.

Resubmitted to the Hon'ble Sir Sim with note inside.

Ready as amended

done

Awaiting from Papers No 796 & in Statement to copy

E 2/10 dft 17/10

1777

Extract in the

07

known Establishment is
have had in view of
a general measure.

Public Works Department must
be kept in abeyance until
a decision has been come
to on the general question
referred to the Board of
Revenue per report in
G.O. dated 4th January 1875 No 505

The remarks of the Board
of Revenue in para 4 of their
proceedings will be considered
in the P.W. Department.

25/3

Board of Revenue
Public Works Dept.

Desptd
3/5

P.C. Estatuto Municipal
Concedido a Castelo

Rio

9 Outubro 1906

Rio 1906.

Concedendo Carta de Estatuto
Municipal a Villa de Sant'Anna do
Livramento, adoptando a disposição
da Lei dos Estados para a Villa
Bonfim, Estado de Minas Geraes
Rio de Janeiro 1906

Cir 27/3/75-
C 2-4