M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 486 Press / Mis.--

25-27 25-27

Dated 3-3- 1875.

11/12

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
3rd March 1875.

Municipal Budget.

Read the following papers:—

No. 25. From Major J. BEATTY, R.E., District Engineer, Godavery, to Colonel G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Camp Gopalpore, 30th November 1874, No. 2741.

I have the honor to report that the Commissioners of the Municipality of Cocanada decline to bear the expense* of painting the iron lattice girder bridge across the Cocanada river.

* Rupees 810—*vide* accompanying estimate.

2. That bridge was built in 1867 on a main road within Municipal limits which connects Cocanada with its suburb of Juggernaickpoorum, and has never since been painted.

3. The iron work is now much in need of cleaning and painting, and this should be attended to at once. Should it be decided that the Municipality are not to be charged with the cost of its maintenance, I beg that the estimate may be sanctioned from funds at the disposal of Government.

4. It may be mentioned that the road-way over the bridge is kept in order at the expense of the Municipality, and under their superintendence.

Referred to the Financial Department.

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

8th December 1874.

Referred to the President of the Cocanada Municipality for early report.

(Signed) J. STURROCK,
Acting Under Secretary.

15th December 1874.

No. 26. From W. S. FOSTER, Esq., President of the Municipal Commissions, Godavery, to the Hon. D. F. CARMICHAEL, Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Camp Rajahmundry, 16th January 1875, No. 2.

With reference to Government endorsement of the 15th ultimo on the letter from the District Engineer regarding the repairs to the iron lattice girder bridge at Cocanada, I have the honor to enclose copy of a Resolution passed by the Municipal Commissioners of Cocanada on the 11th November 1874, and copy of a letter from the Vice-President, dated the 9th instant, No. 6.

2. It will be observed that the Commissioners declined to sanction the estimate for the repairs, as they did not think that the bridge was their property, and as it is a communication of great importance to the whole district and its proper maintenance does not affect the town only.

3. Though the road-way is repaired by the Commissioners, it does not follow that the bridge is to be repaired by them, and the Vice-President points to the case where the revetment is kept up from Imperial Funds, while the road adjoining it is repaired by the Municipality.

4. Under these circumstances, and under the state of funds in the Municipality, I would suggest that the repairs to the bridge be executed at the expense of Government.

5. The letter under report is returned herewith, together with the estimate which accompanied it.

COPY OF A RESOLUTION.

* * * *

"Read letter from the District Engineer, Godavery District, No. 2419, of 30th October 1874, submitting an estimate, for Rupees 810, for scraping and painting the Cocanada iron bridge. Resolved that, as the bridge belongs to Government, the Commissioners cannot undertake to sanction any repairs.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) A. M BRANFOOT,
Acting Vice-President.

From A. M. BRANFOOT, Esq., Acting Vice-President of the Municipal Commission, Cocanada, to W. S. FOSTER, Esq., President of the Municipal Commission, Cocanada, dated Cocanada, 9th January 1875, No. 6.

With reference to the enclosed letter and estimate for painting the Cocanada iron bridge, I have the honor to annex hereto a copy of a Resolution passed at a meeting of the Commissioners held on the 11th November 1874.

a. Copy of Resolution.
b. Letter of District Engineer, No. 2741, dated 30th November 1874, with estimate.

2. The Commissioners declined to sanction the estimate, because they were not aware whether the bridge is to be considered Municipal property. It is true that the road-way over the bridge is maintained in order by the Commissioners, but so is the road adjoining the revetment along the river bank, the maintenance of which revetment is provided for from Imperial funds.

3. The bridge, moreover, is a communication of great importance to the whole district, and its proper maintenance does not only affect the town.

4. At present the Municipal income only just suffices to keep the town in a proper state of conservancy, and to execute such repairs and works as may be absolutely necessary to its well-being; and the Commission is quite unable to provide funds at a moment's notice to such a large amount, no provision having been made for any such purpose. Should it be compelled to execute the repairs, several important works and improvements will have to be left undone during the ensuing year.

No. 27. ORDER THEREON, 3rd March 1875, No. 486.

The Government cannot relieve the Municipality of the liability to keep the bridge in repair, but they consider that the case is one in which aid may fairly be asked from the Local Fund Board of the Circle.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the President of the Municipal Commissions, Godavery.
,, Public Works Department.
,, Board of Revenue.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Govt. of 1875 Works.

FINANCIAL.

To

THE PRESIDENT OF THE
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONS,
Godavery,
&c., &c.,

Dated March, 3rd.

Read.

Encl.

G.O., 3rd March 1875, No. 486.

MARCH.
Nos. 25–27.

Cocanada Municipality.—The President informed that the Government cannot relieve the Municipality of the liability to keep the iron lattice girder bridge at Cocanada in repair, but that they consider that aid may fairly be asked from the Local Fund Board of the Circle.

DETAILED ESTIMATE No. 93 OF 1874-75.

GODAVERY EASTERN DELTA

WORK Scraping and painting Cocanada Iron lattice girder bridge with 2 coats of red paint (red lead)

Particulars of work.	Number.	Measurement. Length.	Breadth.	Depth.	Cubic Contents.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Scraping and painting 2 coats with red paint (red lead)					
Lattice girders	3	305	12		10980
Beams	2	305	3		1830
Screw piles	15	18	3		720
Tie rods		830	3½"		242
Angle iron struts to beams	50	28	1½		1400
Stanchions	94	3¾	3"		88
Hand rail	6	305	1½"		2283
		Total Sqrs-ft.			154,88

Abstract.

Quantity.	Description of Work.	Rate.	Amount.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5
154 7/8	Sqrs Scraping and painting with 2 coats of red paint — Sqrs	5 " "	774 6 "	
	Contingencies		35 10 "	
		Total Rupees	810 " "	

No 1 Range Office
Dowlaiswaram
7th October 1874.

A Rollo Irvine Captain R.E.
Executive Engineer No 1 Range
R. Beatty Major
District Engineer, Godavery.

1 8P2

No. 2741 District Engineer's Office, Go
Camp Gopalpore, 30 Novr. 1874

From,

Major J. Beatty R.E.
District Engineer Godavery.

Enclosure on To,

Colonel G. W. Walker R.E.
Secretary to Government D.P.W.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that the Commissioners of the Municipality of Cocanada decline to bear the expense of painting the iron lattice girder bridge across the Cocanada river.

× Rs. 810—— vide accompanying estimate.

2. That bridge was built in 1867, on a main road within Municipal limits, which connects Cocanada with its suburb of Juggernaickpoorum, and has never since been painted.

3. The iron work is now much in need of cleaning and painting, and this should be attended to at once. Should it be decided that the Municipality are not to

From The Officer In charge No. I or Eastern Delta Range, Godavery District.

To The District Engineer Godavery Dowlaishwarum,

Dated 1st
Despatched } Oct 1871.
Received

P. W. No. 7852 74

Estimate for Scraping & painting Iron Lattice Girder Bridge on Main Road Cattle Bridge with Cast & Wrought Iron Pedestal Bearings Cocanada

Estimate No 2887/74.

to be charged with the cost of its main-
-tenance, I beg that the estimate may be
sanctioned from funds at the disposal
of Government.

4 It may be mentioned that the road-
way over the bridge is kept in order at the
expense of the Municipality, and under their
superintendence.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most obedient Servant.
C. Beatty. Major R.E.
District Engineer Godavery.

Copy of a Resolution

"Read letter from the District Engineer Godavery District No 2419 of 30 October 1874 transmitting an estimate for Rs 810 for repairing and painting the Coconada Iron Bridge - Also that at the Bridge thereon to Government for sanction."

Ordered, that the Commissioners cannot undertake to sanction any repairs.

/True copy/
A. MacGregor
Offg Civil Secretary

P 5

Referred to the Financial Department.

Cumballe Colle R.E.
Secretary to Govt
P.W. Dept

8th Decr/74

Referred to the Board of the Coconada Municipality for early report.

15/12/74 Acting Under Secretary

No 25

Financial Department
No 7632
From
The Dist. Engineer
Godavery.

To
The Secretary to Govt
Dept W.
Fort St George

No 2585

Dated 30 Novr 1874
Recd 7th December "
Ans 8 " "
No 2741

Enclosure one

Regarding repairs of the iron lattice girder bridge at Cocanada.

Referred

Municipal Office 13 886

No 6. Caraunda 6th January 1875

To The President
Municipal Commission, Caraunda

Sir,

With reference to the enclosed letter and Estimate for painting the Caraunda Iron Bridge, I have the honor to annex hereto a copy of a resolution passed at a meeting of the Commissioners held on the 11th November/74.

2 The Commissioners declined to sanction the Estimate, because they were not aware whether the Bridge is to be considered Municipal property. It is true that the road-way over the bridge is maintained in order by the Commissioners, but so is the road adjoining the revetment along the river bank, the maintenance of which revetment is provided for from Imperial funds.

3 The Bridge moreover is of Communication of great importance to the whole District and its proper maintenance does not only affect the town.

4. At present the Municipal income only just suffices to keep the town in a proper state of Conservancy and to execute such repairs and works as may be absolutely necessary to its well-being, and the Commission is quite unable to provide funds at a Moments notice to such a large amount, no provision having been made for any such purpose. Should it be compelled to execute the repairs, several

important works and improvements will have to be left undone during the ensuing year.

I have &c.

(Signed) A. M. Brunfoat

Cy Vice Pres.t Mun.l Comm.rs

(True copy) W. S. Foster

President

15 60

Ga.l Mun.l District

Municipal Office

Camp Rajahmundry

16.th January 1875

No. 2

25

From W.m S. Foster Esquire

President

To The Honorable D.y Carmichael

Cy Chief Secretary to Government

Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to Government Endorsement of the 15.th ultimo on the letter from the District Engineer reporting the repairs to the Iron lattice Girder Bridge at Coconada, I have the honour to enclose Copy of a Resolution passed by the Municipal Commissioners of Coconada on the 11.th November 1874 and Copy of a letter from the Vice President dated the 9.th instant No. 6.

2 It will be observed that the Commissioners declined to sanction the Estimate for the repairs, as they did not think that the Bridge was their property, and as it is a Communication of great importance to the whole District and its proper maintenance does not affect the town only.

Skg Collector Tolls for Ulvls Expt Dresser

3 Though the road way is repaired by the Commissioners, it does not follow that the Bridge is to be repaired by them

1875

Jan.y 16 Letter from the President Municipal Commissioners Rajahmundry forwarding Resolution passed by the Municipal Commissioners of Coconada on the 11th November 1874 with the Engineer's Estimate for the repairs of the Iron Lattice Girder Bridge at Coconada

Read 16 January 1875

No 2

Copy of Vice President's letter.

Ans. Dist. No 120/75

and the Vice President points to the Case where the revetment is kept up from Imperial Funds while the road adjoining it is repaired by the Municipality.-

4. Under these Circumstances, and under the State of funds in the Municipality, I would suggest that the repairs to the Bridge be executed at the expense of Government.

5. The letter under report is returned herewith together with the Estimate which accompanied it.-

I have the honor to be
Sir.
Your most obedt Servant.

W S Foster
President

Ex'd W Ramsbottom
A. Clerk.

Forwd 875 Dept
from (Municipal)
the President
Municipal Commissr
Godavery Dt

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government

Dated 16 Jany/75
Recd 23d — " —
No 2
Encls: 1 2 √ No 128
No and papers retd.

Submitting report regarding the Iron Lattice Girder Bridge at Cocanada, and suggesting that the repairs be executed at the expense of Govt.—

Resubmitted to the Hon'ble Mr Robinson with Note

With order

Read Nos 2586 of 1874 & No. 128 of 1875

3rd March /75. No 486.

2 Mar /74. Nos 1–3.

The [illegible] Council [illegible] the Municipal [illegible] of the [illegible] the [illegible] in [illegible] and [illegible] the case is one in which aid may fairly be [illegible] from the Road Fund [illegible] of the Circle.

Council with reference to [illegible] in brackets

N 26

Resident Assist. Commr. Godavery: 10
Public Works Deptt. 11
Board of Revenue. 14 } Desp 12/3

6-1-09

27-7-12

Govt. of India Works.

Nos. 25-27.

Cocanada Mission. The Presidt informed that the Govt cannot relieve the Mission of the liability to keep the iron lattice girder bridge at Cocanada in repair, but that they consider that aid may fairly be asked from the L.F. Bd: of the Circle.