M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 10

(2) Date of repair 10.12.96

(3) Initials of the Referencer

9

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1654	Press Mis.
90-91.	90-91
Dated 12-8-	1875.

6/7

Not to be folded or roughly handled

M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 10

(2) Date of repair 10.12.96

(3) Initials of the Referencer

9

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1654	Press Mis.
90-91.	90-91
Dated 12-8-	1875.

6/7

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Local Funds—Tolls.

No. 90. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th July 1875, No. 2012:—

Read the following letter from A. McC. WEBSTER, Esq., President of the Local Fund Board, Canara Circle, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Mangalore, 2nd July 1875, No. 641:—

WITH reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated the 25th June 1875, Miscellaneous No. 5,739, communicating the sanction of Government to the proposals of this Board to establish five new toll-gates in this Circle, and to bring the four existing gates under the provisions of the Madras Local Funds Act, No. IV. of 1871, I have the honor to submit, for the approval of the Board and Government, an extract from the Proceedings of the Local Fund Board, dated the 28th June 1875.

2. The four gates at present existing in this Circle are at (1) Sulya, (2) Beltangady, (3) Someshwar, and (4) Seerady, which have already been leased out for the current official year under the rates hitherto in force.

3. The rates now charged at the Sulya toll-gate are those sanctioned in G.O., dated 6th April 1872, No. 456, Financial Department, communicated with Revenue Board's Proceedings, 18th April 1872, Miscellaneous No. 2,824. These differ from those now sanctioned under the Local Funds Act, some items (for instance, unladen bullocks) on which tolls are levied under the former being free from the payment of tolls under the latter Act. The Local Fund Board have, therefore, found it impracticable to come to any fair and satisfactory arrangement with the contractor to introduce the new rates during the currency of the present contract.

4. With regard to the Beltangady and Someshwar toll-gates. The rates now sanctioned are in all respects double those now charged at these gates, but the contractors decline to pay anything like a proportionate increase of rent for the remainder of the year, and the Local Fund Board have no means of compelling them to do so.

5. Under these circumstances, the Local Fund Board have been obliged to continue the existing rates at these gates, and beg that Government will allow the introduction of the rates recently sanctioned to be deferred until the commencement of the next official year.

6. The Seerady toll-contractor having failed to pay the instalments for April and May last in accordance with the terms of his contract, the Local Fund Board have forfeited his deposit money and have cancelled his contract.

7. The Local Fund Board propose to lease, at their next meeting to be held on the 26th instant, the privilege of collecting tolls, for the remainder of the current official year, at the Seerady gate, as well as at three* of those newly sanctioned, the probable collections at which places can be correctly estimated. As this arrangement requires the permission of the Governor in Council under Section 63 of the Local Fund Act, I have the honor to request that the Board of Revenue will be pleased to obtain the requisite sanction at an early date.

* Mani, Buntwal and Hebbri.

8. The two remaining* gates will be kept under paid toll-keepers for the current year, as it is not advisable to lease them out until the Local Fund Board are in a position to estimate the probable income at these places.

* Hossangady and Colloor.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Extract from Proceedings of Local Fund Board.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. As regards the Beltangady and Someshwar gates, it appears from the list submitted with the President's letter dated 23rd March 1875, No. 294, and herein enclosed for reference, that all the conveyances, &c., liable to toll previous to the gate being brought under the Local Funds Act are still liable; and therefore all that is necessary is that Government should sanction under Section 37 a reduction for the current year of the tolls at these gates to the old rate. But so far as the notification in G. O., dated 9th June 1875, affects the Sulya gate, it must be cancelled, and the original orders under Act XV. of 1864 restored. Perhaps the simpler course would be to cancel the recent notification altogether, and republish it with the omission of the Sulya, Beltangady and Someshwar gates, and altering the numbers of toll-gates opposite the first two, third and fourth roads to 2, 1, and 1, respectively. A fresh notification will then be necessary when these gates are brought under the Local Funds Act.

Board's Proceedings, dated 21st May 1875, No. 1,389.

3. Sanction is requested under Section 63 to enable the President to lease out the tolls at Seerady, Mani, Buntwal and Hebbri.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 91. ORDER THEREON, 12th August 1875, No. 1654.

The Local Fund Board, Canara Circle, should have arranged with the Toll Contractor before submitting to Government their proposals to establish new toll-gates.

2. There is no alternative under the circumstances now represented but to cancel the Notification dated 9th June 1875, and to direct the publication of a revised Notification in accordance with the suggestion made by the Board of Revenue,—a draft of which will be submitted by them.

3. Sanction is granted under the sections cited to the reduction of the tolls at the Beltangady and Someshwar gates, and to the leasing out of the tolls at Seerady, Mani, Buntwal, and Hebbri.

4. The toll-gate at Sulya will continue to be managed under the original orders and Acts VIII. of 1851 and XV. of 1864.

(True Extract.)

Officiating Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue, with enclosures.

Exd. W. H. French.

Govt. of 1875 August

FINANCIAL.

To THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated August, 12th.
Issued
Recd.

G.O., 12th August 1875, No. 1654.

AUGUST.
Nos. 90 91.

Local Funds—Tolls—Sanction accorded to the reduction of the tolls levied at certain gates in the South Canara District, and to the leasing out of the tolls at certain others.

3S.

No. 2,012.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 19th July 1875.

Read the following letter from A. McC. WEBSTER, Esq., President of the Local Fund Board, Canara Circle, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Mangalore, 2nd July 1875, No. 641 :—

WITH reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated the 25th June 1875, Miscellaneous No. 5,739, communicating the sanction of Government to the proposals of this Board to establish five new toll-gates in this Circle, and to bring the four existing gates under the provisions of the Madras Local Funds Act, No. IV. of 1871, I have the honor to submit, for the approval of the Board and Government, an extract from the Proceedings of the Local Fund Board, dated the 28th June 1875.

2. The four gates at present existing in this Circle are at (1) Sulya, (2) Beltangady, (3) Someshwar, and (4) Seerady, which have already been leased out for the current official year under the rates hitherto in force.

3. The rates now charged at the Sulya toll-gate are those sanctioned in G.O., dated 6th April 1872, No. 456, Financial Department, communicated with Revenue Board's Proceedings, 18th April 1872, Miscellaneous No. 2,824. These differ from those now sanctioned under the Local Funds Act, some items (for instance, unladen bullocks) on which tolls are levied under the former being free from the payment of tolls under the latter Act. The Local Fund Board have, therefore, found it impracticable to come to any fair and satisfactory arrangement with the contractor to introduce the new rates during the currency of the present contract.

4. With regard to the Beltangady and Someshwar toll-gates. The rates now sanctioned are in all respects double those now charged at these gates, but the contractors decline to pay anything like a proportionate increase of rent for the remainder of the year, and the Local Fund Board have no means of compelling them to do so.

5. Under these circumstances, the Local Fund Board have been obliged to continue the existing rates at these gates, and beg that Government will allow the introduction of the rates recently sanctioned to be deferred until the commencement of the next official year.

6. The Seerady toll-contractor having failed to pay the instalments for April and May last in accordance with the terms of his contract, the Local Fund Board have forfeited his deposit money and have cancelled his contract.

7. The Local Fund Board propose to lease, at their next meeting to be held on the 26th instant, the privilege of collecting tolls, for the remainder of the current official year, at the Seerady gate, as well as at three* of those newly sanctioned, the probable collections at which places can be correctly estimated. As this arrangement requires the permission of the Governor in Council under Section 63 of the Local Fund Act, I have the honor to request that the Board of Revenue will be pleased to obtain the requisite sanction at an early date.

* Mani, Buntwal and Hebbri.

8. The two remaining* gates will be kept under paid toll-keepers for the current year, as it is not advisable to lease them out until the Local Fund Board are in a position to estimate the probable income at these places.

* Hossangady and Colloor.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Extract from Proceedings of Local Fund Board.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. As regards the Beltangady and Someshwar gates, it appears from the list submitted with the President's letter, dated 23rd March 1875, No. 294, and herein enclosed for reference, that all the conveyances, &c., liable to toll previous to the gate being brought under the Local Funds Act are still liable; and therefore all that is necessary is that Government should sanction under Section 37 a reduction for the current year of the tolls at these gates to the old rate. But so far as the notification in G. O., dated 9th June 1875, affects the Sulya gate, it must be cancelled, and the original orders under Act XV. of 1864 restored. Perhaps the simpler course would be to cancel the recent notification altogether, and republish it with the omission of the Sulya, Beltangadi and Someshwar gates, and altering the numbers of toll-gates opposite the first two, third and fourth roads to 2, 1, and 1, respectively. A fresh notification will then be necessary when these gates are brought under the Local Funds Act.

Board's Proceedings, dated 21st May 1875, No. 1,389.

3. Sanction is requested under Section 63 to enable the President to lease out the tolls at Seerady, Mani, Buntwal and Hebbri.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To the Officiating Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department,
with a list and extract from Proceedings of
Local Fund Board, to be returned.

Copy to the President of the Local Fund Board, Canara Circle.

Exd. W. H. French.

This answers Board's Letter dated 7th inst. Local Funds.

1875.

Board of Revenue, MADRAS.

No. 1654

PROCEEDINGS, 19TH JULY 1875, No. 2,012.

Despd. 24 July 1875 Encl. 2

No. 1764

Received 28 July 1875

JULY.

No. 2,012.

Toll-gates—in Canara Circle.—Letter from President, submitting Local Fund Board proposals to reduce the rates to be collected certain — and to lease out privilege of collecting tolls at certain other gates, submitted to Government with remarks.

Financial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Pro.

READ the following ~~letter~~ of the Board of Revenue 7/19 to July 1875. No. 2012.

Order thereon. Dated 12 August 1875. No. 1654

It is to be regretted that the Local Fund Board, Canara, did not satisfactorily arranged with the Toll Contractor before submitting to Govt. their proposals to establish new toll-gates.

2. There is no alternative under the circumstances now represented but to cancel the Notification dated 9th June 1875, and to direct the publication of a revised Notification in

in accordance with the suggestion made by the Board of Revenue - a draft of which will be submitted by them.

3. Sanction is granted under the sections cited to the reductions of the tolls at the Bettiagady and Somneshwar gates, and to the leasing out of the tolls at Seerady, Mani, Buntwal, and Hebbri.

4. The toll-gate at Sulya will continue to be managed under the original Orders and Acts VIII of 1851, and XI of 1864.

To
The Bd. of Revenue.
with enclosure

Dkspd 23/5
240

Govt. of {1875} Madras.

Financial

To

Dated 12 August 1875, No. 1654
Recd.

Nos. 90-1

Local Funds - Tolls

Sanction accorded to the reduction of the tolls levied at certain gates in the South Canara District and to the leasing out of the tolls at certain others.