M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1379	Press / Mis.
		7-8
Dated	1-7-	1875.

12/13

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 29. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th March 1875, No. 593:—

Read the following letters:—

From Lieutenant-Colonel C. S. HEARN, Inspector-General of Police, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 24th February 1875, No. 972.

With reference to G. O., No. 622, dated 22nd May 1874, Revenue Department, I have the honor to forward letters from the Acting Collector and from the Superintendent of Police, Tinnevelly, regarding the additional Police required for the new salt pans at Sevandakulam.

2. The Superintendent of Police estimates the following strength of Police guard:—

	Head Constable.	Constables.	Cost. Rs.
Permanent	1	6	708
Temporary	0	4	174
			882
		Add Clothing...	108
		Total...	990

Enclosure No. 1.

From Captain H. E. CONINGHAM, Superintendent of Police, to Lieut.-Colonel C. S. HEARN, Inspector-General of Police, Madras, dated Tinnevelly, 11th February 1875, No. 86.

I have the honor to forward the true copy of a letter from the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly, No. 87, dated 29th January 1875, and request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the allotment of a permanent Police guard of one 3rd Grade Head Constable, two 1st Class and four 2nd Class Constables, total seven men, for duty at the new* salt pans of Sevandakulam. Four additional Constables will be required during the working season.

* *Vide* G. O., No. 622, dated 22nd May 1874.

2. The present allotment of Police in salt stations is as follows:—

Names of Salt Stations.	Head Constables. 1st Grade.	2nd Grade.	3rd Grade.	4th Grade.	Total.	Constables. 1st Class.	2nd Class.	Total.	Grand Total.	Remarks.
Permanent Guard.										
Urasaddy	...	1	...	...	1	2	4	6	7	
Corapad	...	...	...	1	1	2	4	6	7	
Levingepuram ...	...	...	1	...	1	2	4	6	7	
Vypar	...	...	1	...	1	2	4	6	7	
Armuganeri	...	1	...	...	1	2	5	7	8	
Kuttenguly	...	...	...	1	1	2	4	6	7	
Visiyapathi	...	...	...	1	1	2	4	6	7	
Total ...	..	2	2	3	7	14	29	43	50	
Temporary Guard.										
For six months ...	...	1	1	2	4	11	19	30	34	

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

From W. H. COMYN, Esq., Acting Collector of Tinnevelly, to Captain H. E. CONINGHAM, Superintendent of Police, Tinnevelly, dated 29th January 1875, No. 87.

WITH reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 1,669, dated 2nd July 1874, I have the honor to request you will be good enough to make arrangements for providing a salt guard for the new pans at Sevandakulam, which will be opened shortly.

2. The date from which the guard will be required will be communicated to you in due course.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) H. E. CONINGHAM, Captain,
Superintendent of Police.

From Lieutenant-Colonel C. S. HEARN, Inspector-General of Police, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 26th February 1875, No. 1,036.

IN continuation of my letter, No. 972, dated 24th February, I have the honor to forward a letter* received from the Superintendent of Police, Tinnevelly District.

* No. 107, dated 22nd February 1875, with one enclosure. (Return requested.)

ENCLOSURE No 1.

From Major H. E. CONINGHAM, Superintendent of Police, to Lieut.-Colonel C. S. HEARN, Inspector-General of Police, Madras, dated Tinnevelly, 22nd February 1875, No. 107.

IN continuation of my letter, No. 86, dated 11th instant, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of a letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly, who requests that the Police guard for Sevandakulam salt station may be supplied on the 15th proximo.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

From W. H. COMYN, Esq., Acting Collector of Tinnevelly, to Major H. E. CONINGHAM, Superintendent of Police, Tinnevelly, dated Trikurungudi, 19th February 1875, No. 153.

IN continuation of my letter, No. 87, dated 29th January 1875, I have the honor to inform you that the Police guard for the new salt pans at Sevandakulam will be required from the 15th proximo.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) H. E. CONINGHAM, Major,
Superintendent of Police.

SUBMITTED for the sanction of Government.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd April 1875.

No. 30. ORDER THEREON, 3rd April 1875, No. 516.

Separate Revenue, No. 2.
Salt.

THE proposed Salt guard for the new Sevandakulam station is approved, and the further necessary orders will be issued from the Financial Department.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Financial Department.
„ the Accountant-General.
„ the Inspector-General of Police.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

1875 Department

Enclosure 2

In a letter from the
Inspector General of Police
dated 27th May 1875 No. 2600.

Financial Reports

Govt. of {1875} Madras.

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c.

No. 839

Dated April 3rd.

Recd. — 4 - 6.

Encl.
4. S. C.

G. O., 3rd April 1875, No. 516.

S. O. No. 1398-27, 2nd July 1875

APRIL.

Nos. 29-30.

New Sevandakulum Salt Station in Tinnevelly District.—Approving the proposed guard for the — and requesting the issue of further orders from the Financial Department.

Statement showing the actual Cost of Salt Guards during the last five Years from 1869/70 to 1873/74.

Districts		1869/70 Inspectors	1869/70 Chief Constables	1869/70 Head Constables	1869/70 Constables	1869/70 Total	1869/70 Cost	1870/71 Inspectors	1870/71 Chief Constables	1870/71 Head Constables	1870/71 Constables	1870/71 Total	1870/71 Cost	1871/72 Inspectors	1871/72 Chief Constables	1871/72 Head Constables	1871/72 Constables	1871/72 Total	1871/72 Cost	1872/73 Inspectors	1872/73 Chief Constables	1872/73 Head Constables	1872/73 Constables	1872/73 Total	1872/73 Cost	1873/74 Inspectors	1873/74 Chief Constables	1873/74 Head Constables	1873/74 Constables	1873/74 Total	1873/74 Cost	Average strength for which Temporary Establishment was annually employed in each District	Remarks
Ganjam	Permanent	"	"	13	87	100	11292	"	"	13	87	100	11292	"	"	13	87	100	11184	"	"	13	87	100	11147	"	"	13	87	100	11147	"	
	Temporary	"	"	8	64	72	3967	"	"	13	87	100	5623	"	"	19	131	150	7532	"	"	12	100	112	5218	"	"	20	143	163	7768	6	
Vizagapatam	Permanent	"	"	7	43	50	5676	"	"	8	45	53	5731	"	"	8	46	54	5986	"	"	8	47	55	6080	"	"	10	57	67	7659	"	
	Temporary	"	"	3	29	32	1700	"	"	2	27	29	1393	"	"	5	52	57	2841	"	"	3	40	43	2145	1	"	4	71	76	4219	7	
Godavery	Permanent	"	"	6	39	45	5126	"	"	6	39	45	5126	"	"	6	39	45	5092	"	"	6	39	45	5082	"	"	8	52	60	6780	"	
	Temporary	"	"	7	43	50	1994	"	"	7	43	50	1994	"	"	7	43	50	1982	"	"	7	43	50	1944	"	"	9	56	65	2519	5	
Kistna	Permanent	"	"	11	72	83	9387	"	"	11	72	83	9387	"	"	11	72	83	9453	"	"	9	65	74	8441	"	"	9	65	74	8441	"	
	Temporary	"	"	"	55	55	1417	"	"	"	55	55	1078	"	"	"	55	55	1860	"	"	"	48	48	1804	"	"	"	46	46	1813	5	
Nellore	Permanent	"	"	20	130	150	16964	"	"	20	130	150	16964	"	"	20	130	150	17204	"	"	20	130	150	17285	"	"	20	130	150	17285	"	
	Temporary	"	"	6	41	47	3103	"	"	8	50	58	4874	"	"	7	45	52	4025	"	"	6	49	55	3556	"	"	2	49	51	3465	8	
Chinglaput	Permanent	1	"	32	248	281	32245	"	1	31	252	284	31663	"	1	30	253	284	31436	"	1	31	250	282	30965	"	1	32	255	288	31969	"	
	Temporary	"	"	4	26	30	2221	"	"	4	26	30	1710	"	"	4	26	30	1978	"	"	4	26	30	1988	"	"	4	26	30	2139	7	
South Arcot	Permanent	"	"	10	66	76	8535	"	"	10	66	76	8535	"	"	10	66	76	8661	"	"	10	66	76	8661	"	"	10	57	67	7740	"	
	Temporary	"	"	6	45	51	2803	"	"	6	45	51	2803	"	"	6	45	51	2929	"	"	6	45	51	2929	"	"	6	49	55	3130	6	
Tinnevelly	Permanent	"	"	6	39	45	5428	"	"	6	39	45	5428	"	"	5	38	43	5017	"	"	5	37	42	4876	"	"	5	41	46	5327	"	
	Temporary	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	8	10	147	"	"	2	13	15	775	"	"	2	8	10	408	6	
Madura	Permanent	"	"	9	58	67	7702	"	"	9	58	67	7702	"	"	9	58	67	7706	"	"	10	63	73	8373	"	"	10	63	73	8373	"	
	Temporary	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	13	14	767	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	16	18	1220	"	"	2	12	14	782	6	
Tanjore	Permanent	"	"	17	104	121	13768	"	"	17	100	117	13443	"	"	17	101	118	13990	"	"	17	107	124	14503	"	"	19	118	137	15890	"	
	Temporary	"	"	4	26	30	1704	"	"	4	26	30	1704	"	"	4	26	30	1761	"	"	4	26	30	1761	"	"	4	26	30	1761	6	
Malabar	Permanent	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	15	20	393	"	"	5	15	20	2539	"	
South Canara	Permanent	"	"	7	50	57	6587	"	"	7	50	57	6587	"	"	7	50	57	6553	"	"	7	50	57	6543	"	"	7	47	54	6281	"	
	Temporary	"	"	5	66	71	1571	"	"	2	78	80	1972	"	"	4	104	108	2352	"	"	3	105	108	2531	"	"	1	79	80	1678	7	
Total Permanent		1	"	138	936	1075	122710	"	1	138	938	1077	121858	"	1	136	940	1077	122282	"	1	141	956	1098	122349	"	1	148	987	1136	129431	"	
Total Temporary		"	"	43	395	438	20480	"	"	47	450	497	23918	"	"	58	535	593	27407	"	"	49	511	560	25871	1	"	54	565	620	29682	"	
Grand Total		1	"	181	1331	1513	143190	"	1	185	1388	1574	145776	"	1	194	1475	1670	149689	"	1	190	1467	1658	148220	1	1	202	1552	1756	159113	"	

The Strength of Force entered in this Statement is the Monthly average number of Men employed during the Year.

Chief Office of the
Inspector General of Police
Madras 27th May 1875

Asst. Sd
RV

Inspector General of Police

1875 Department

Enclosure 2

In a letter from the
Inspector General of Police
dated 27th May 1875 No. 2600.

Memo showing the
actual cost of Salt Guards

In Pmt. 1288/75

Memo shewing the estimated Cost of Salt Guards.

9/

Roman Mss

District	1870/71					1871/72					1872/73					1873/74					1874/75						1875/76			
	Head Cons	Cons by Cons	Constables	Total	Cost	Chief Cons	Head Cons	Cons by Cons	Total	Cost	Chief Cons	Head Cons	Constables	Total	Cost	Chief Cons	Head Cons	Constables	Total	Cost	Inspectors	Chief Cons	Head Cons	Constables	Total	Cost	Inspectors	Chief Cons	Head Cons	Constables	Total	Cost
Ganjam	14	15	191	220	17319	"	14	15	191	220	16755	"	29	191	220	18056	"	37	243	280	21508	"	"	37	243	280	21508	"	"	37	243	280	21508
Vizagapatam	6	9	92	107	8056	"	6	9	92	107	7796	"	15	92	107	8495	"	15	92	107	8495	1	"	20	126	147	14375	1	"	21	136	158	16587
Godavery	6	7	82	95	7013	"	6	7	82	95	6787	"	13	82	95	7327	"	13	82	95	7327	"	"	17	108	125	10673	"	"	19	124	143	10830
Kistna	8	10	120	138	11209	"	8	9	114	131	10567	"	17	114	131	11677	"	17	114	131	11666	"	"	17	114	131	11066	"	"	17	118	135	11231
Nellore	13	15	182	210	18581	"	13	15	182	210	20277	"	28	182	210	22636	"	28	182	210	22636	"	"	28	182	210	22636	"	"	28	182	210	22636
Chingleput	18	22	279	319	32225	1	16	23	279	319	31217	1	35	279	315	34806	1	38	279	318	34806	"	1	38	279	318	34806	2	1	54	383	440	47361
South Arcot	6	10	111	127	11030	"	6	10	111	127	10575	"	16	111	127	11897	"	16	117	133	12571	"	"	15	109	124	11344	"	"	15	111	126	11453
Tanjore	10	11	145	166	16181	"	10	11	145	166	15662	"	21	145	166	17458	"	21	145	166	17458	"	"	23	153	176	18612	"	"	24	161	185	19601
Madura	5	6	71	82	8015	"	5	6	71	82	7758	"	11	71	82	8642	"	12	78	90	9415	"	"	12	78	90	9415	"	"	12	78	90	9415
Trinnevally	5	5	65	75	7447	"	5	4	63	72	6843	"	9	63	72	6849	"	9	63	72	6849	"	"	9	63	72	6849	"	"	11	69	80	7801
Malabar	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	15	20	2539	"	"	5	15	20	2539
South Canara	11	10	143	164	11639	"	11	10	143	164	11261	"	21	143	164	12439	"	21	143	164	12439	"	"	21	143	164	12439	"	"	21	143	164	12439
Total	102	120	1481	1703	148716	1	100	119	1473	1693	145578	1	218	1473	1692	160282	1	227	1538	1766	164570	1	1	242	1613	1857	176263	1	1	264	1763	2031	193351

Chief Office of the Insptr Genl of Police
Madras 27th May 1875

Addn Exod

Insptr Genl of Police

1875 Department

Enclosure 1

In a letter from

the Chief Genl of Police

dated 27th Jan 1875 No 2600

Items showing

the estimated cost

of Salt Grounds

In Encl 1285/75

Chief Office of the Inspector General of Police,

No. 2600

Madras, 27th May 1875.

From

The Inspector General of Police,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government Fort Saint George.

Sir,

Enclosures. 2

With reference to your Memorandum No. 148 dated 7th April 1875 F. D. I have the honor to forward herewith two Returns of Police Salt Guards and Called for in G. O. No. 2170 dated 29th December 1874 F. D. Para 6.

2. As regards the Police Guard for the Sevandakulum Salt Pans, no provision is made in the Police Budget for 1875/76.

x Tinnevelly

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servt,

Inspector General of Police

Financial Department.

13

Remarks and Orders on
Letter from the Inspector General
of Police, No 2600
dt May 1875.

Submits the Annual Returns
of the Police Salt Guards
employed to prevent
illicit manufacture
and smuggling of Salt
during the year 1874.

Submits the Annual
Returns of the Police
Salt Guards employed
to prevent illicit
manufacture and

originally transferred for the purpose from Imperial to Provincial Services. This supposition is fully established by the Memorandum furnished by the Inspector General.

2. The estimated cost from 1870-71 - the year when the decentralization scheme was introduced - to 1875-76 is as follows. —

		Rs
1870-71.	——	1,48,715.
1871-72	——	1,45,518
1872-73	——	1,60,282
1873-74	——	1,64,510
1874-75.	——	1,76,262
1875-76.	——	1,93,351.

i.e. in 1875-76 the estimate had increased by Rs 44,636 54,636.

3. The actual cost from 1870-71 to 1873-74 is as follows: —

1870-71

Deduction Rs. was made in the provision for all Provincial Services - and a State should be supposed thereby -

Total Police budget provision in year prior to decentralization

Charge for Salt Guards included in above

Total Provincial grant for Police purposes by (i.e less the presumptive deduction)

Proportional grant for Salt Guards included in it -

Total Provincial grant for Police purposes by Local Govt. in each subsequent year

Provision for Salt guards included in each -

Increase in each year for general Police & for Salt guards

Surely this above as Govt. not entitled to do, that while we not only provide for general Police as much as was provided by G.I. before decentralization. but the charge for Salt Guards was thrown upon us as to Govt. not entitled. I think we can ask G.I. to make up to us the diffce of 2½ p.c. comp as for the past. But I don't think it worth keeping all it with keeping up a group with the isolated stat. of increase in Salt Guards only -

P.S. I think we must show actuals as well as Budget grants - or perhaps actuals alone will suffice. Then we can give them -

15

1870-71	——	Rs 1,48,715
1871-72	——	" 1,49,686
1872-73	——	" 1,48,220
1873-74	——	" 1,59,113

4. The deduction made from the estimated charges transferred from Impl to Prov. Services in 1870-71 is about 7 per cent. For our present purpose we may take the deduction at, say, 6½ per cent. At this rate the amount transferred for Police Salt Guards was Rs 1,48,715 minus Rs 9,666 = Rs 1,39,049: we have therefore been spending Rs 46,599 over and above the grant for the four years above specified, viz: —

1870-71.	——	Rs 6,727
1871-72	——	" 10,637
1872-73	——	" 9,171
1873-74	——	" 20,064
		Rs 46,599

Jo

5. It is submitted that application be made to the Govt. of India for a refund of the amount expended in excess, inasmuch as the expenditure was incurred purely and solely for the benefit of Imperial Revenues. It is — as shown in the Circular letter from the Comptroller General, No. 199 dated 6th April 1875, that:-

"No charge shall ordinarily be made against the Imperial Government for guards supplied for ordinary service to any department to which they were supplied for similar service without charge before the Provincial allotment was made. Nor shall any be made

Sgate appn on H.K. Shan always held & Salt held that the Salt-guard is an Imperial charge - and I will offer them either to do as H.K. proposes or ask that the Salt-Police Budget be prepared & separated & passed against the Revs. of Salt & that it is maintained - as annual Grant from Pol. Dept. H.K. 7/6

I would adopt the suggestion of made by W. Hudleston in addressing the G.G. RC

G. June/5

made for any police service unless substantial expenditure is actually incurred; thus the pay of men detailed from the regular force shall only be charged if their places are filled up. But the Govt. of India will pay the additional expense caused by any abnormal demand it may make - e.g. extra police entertained for military camp of exercise, or a Vice-regal durbar, or some extraordinary system of Public Works."

H.K. 7/6/75.

Police Salt Sps

19
//

Financial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following letter from the Inspector Genl. of Police dy 27th May 1875. No 2600.

Order thereon - Postponed 1st July 1875. No 1398.

It appears from the Statement submitted with the foregoing letter showing the actual cost of Salt Guards during the last five years (from 1869-70 to 1873-74) that the charge has increased from Rs 1,63,190 in 1869-70 to Rs 1,59,113 in 1873-74.

2. To enable the Govt to apply to the Govt of India for the additional outlay which has been incurred

10/6.

incurred, and for an additional grant to meet future outlay, the Inspector General will be requested to furnish a statement embodying the following information

1. Total Police Budget provision in the year (1869-70) prior to decentralization.
2. Charge for Salt Guards included therein.
3. Total Provincial grant for Police purposes by Govt. of India.
4. Proportional grant for Salt Guards included in it.
5. Total Provincial grant for Police purposes by this Govt. in each subsequent years.
6. Provision for Salt Guards included therein.
7. Increase in cost in each year for General Police.
8. —do— for Salt Guards.

3. The Provincial Assignment made for Police by the Govt of India in their Resolution dated 14th December 1870, No 3,334 was ~~£~~ 350,730 or Rs 35,07,300. The percentage deducted therefrom was 6.972.

21

To

The Inspr. Genl of Police & Dept 1/17

21-140

Govt. of Madras. {1875-}

To

Dated
Read. 1875, No.

Nos. 7-8

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
1st July 1875.

Police—Salt Guards.

No. 7. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel C. S. HEARN, Inspector-General of Police, to the Hon. D. F. CARMICHAEL, Officiating Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Madras, 27th May 1875, No. 2600 :—

With reference to your Memorandum, No. 148, dated 7th April 1875, Financial Department, I have the honor to forward, herewith, two Returns of Police Salt Guards as called for in G.O., No. 2170, dated 29th December 1874, Financial Department, paragraph 6.

2. As regards the Police Guard for the Sevandakulum Salt Pans,* no provision is made in the Police Budget for 1875-76.

* Tinnevelly.

No. 8. ORDER THEREON, 1st July 1875, No. 1398.

It appears from the Statement submitted with the foregoing letter showing the actual cost of Salt Guards during the last five years (from 1869-70 to 1873-74) that the charge has increased from Rupees 1,43,190 in 1869-70 to Rupees 1,59,113 in 1873-74.

2. To enable the Government to apply to the Government of India for the additional outlay which has been incurred, and for an additional grant to meet future outlay, the Inspector-General will be requested to furnish a statement embodying the following information :—

(1.) Total Police Budget provision in the year (1869-70) prior to decentralization.
(2.) Charge for salt guards included therein.
(3.) Total Provincial grant for Police purposes by Government of India.
(4.) Proportional grant for salt guards included in it.
(5.) Total Provincial grant for Police purposes by this Government in each subsequent year.
(6.) Provision for salt guards included therein.
(7.) Increase in cost in each year for General Police.
(8.) Do. for salt guards.

3. The Provincial assignment made for Police by the Government of India in their Resolution, dated 14th December 1870, No. 3334 was £350,730, or Rupees 35,07,300. The percentage deducted therefrom was 6·972.

(True Extract.)

Officiating Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Police.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Govt. of {1875} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

The INSPECTOR-GENERAL of POLICE.

Dated July, 1st.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 1st July 1875, No. 1398.

JULY.
Nos. 7-8.

Police, Salt Guards.—The Statement submitted by the Inspector-General showing the actual cost of salt guards for the last five years from 1869-70 to 1873-74, reviewed.