M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of repair 28-4-40

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1265	Press Mis.
123-124.		123-124
Dated	10-6-	1875.

7/8

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Municipal.

No. 123. Read the following letter from D. BUICK, Esq., Acting President of the Municipal Commissions, Malabar, to the Hon. D. F. CARMICHAEL, Officiating Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Tellicherry, 31st May 1875, No. 8:—

Referring to paragraph 11 of G.O., of 19th April 1875, No. 876, in which Government review the Budget Estimates of the Calicut, Palghaut, and Cochin Municipalities for 1875-76, I have the honor to forward, for the consideration of Government, copy of letter of 23rd April 1875, No. 34, addressed to the Vice-President, Palghaut Municipality, regarding the supposed inclusion of the Palghaut Railway Station within the limits of the Municipality.

2. The view I entertain is that the Railway fence, not the Railway embankment, is the boundary intended by Government. If this is correct, any further notification on the subject is unnecessary.

3. The boundaries of the Palghaut Municipality are specified at page 4 of the *Fort St. George Gazette* for 1866, Volume II., *vide* Gazette of 3rd July 1866.

No. 124. ORDER THEREON, 10th June 1875, No. 1265.

The Governor in Council agrees with the Acting President in considering that the Railway Station at Palghuat is not included within Municipal limits.

(True Extract.)

Officiating Chief Secretary.

To the Acting President of the Palghaut Municipality.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Govt. of 1875 Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

THE ACTING PRESIDENT OF THE
PALGHAUT MUNICIPALITY.

Dated June, 10th.

Issued

Recd.

G.O., 10th June 1875, No. 1265.

JUNE.
Nos. 123 124.

Municipal.—The President of the Palghaut Municipality agreed with that the Railway Station in Palghaut is not included within Municipal

(Copy)

Malabar Municipal Pres.ts Office,
Calicut 23d April 1875.

No. 34.

From

The Ag. President of the
Municipal Comn. Malabar;

To

The Vice President of the
Municipal Comn. Palghaut.

Sir,

Adverting to the latter part of para 5 of your letter of 25th January 1875, in which you suggest the necessity of a Government Notification to declare the Palghaut Railway Station not to be included within Municipal limits, I have the honor to inform you that in my opinion no notification is necessary inasmuch as the Palghaut Station premises never were included in the Municipality. The Railway has been declared by Government to be the boundary of Palghaut Municipality on the north, and I apprehend that by the term Railway in their notification, the Government mean the property of the Madras—

Railway

Railway Company included within the Railway fence, and not, what they could never intend to give Municipal jurisdiction over, the middle of the Railway embankment.

I have seen

Sig'd D. Breck.

A. President.

(True Copy)

D Breck

A. President.

Presidency Office,
on circuit,
Tellicherry 31st May 1875.

No 8.

From,

D. Buick, Esquire,
Ag. President, Municipal Commission
Malabar,

To,

The Honourable D. F. Carmichael,
Offg. Chief Secretary to Government,
Madras.

Sir,

Referring to para 11 of G. O. of 19th April 1875, No 876, in which Government review the Budget Estimates of the Calicut, Palghaut, and Cochin Municipalities for 1875-76, I have the honour to forward for the Consideration of Government Copy of letter of 23rd April 1875, No 34, addressed to the Vice President, Palghaut Municipality regarding the supposed inclusion of the Palghaut Railway Station within the limits of the Municipality.

2. The view I entertain is that the Railway fence, not the Railway

Embankment

Copy of letter from the Vice President Palghaut Municipality to the President Municipal Commission dated 23rd April 1875.

Regarding the inclusion of the Railway Station within the Municipality the Railway station within the Municipality is not.

D. Buick 31-5-75

Embankment is the boundary intended by Government. If this is correct, any further notification on the subject is unnecessary

3. The boundaries of the Palghaut Municipality are specified at page 4. of the Fort Saint George Gazette for 1866 Vol II vide Gazette of 3? July. 1866.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

V. Bucch

Ag. President

Municipal

Colaba, 10 June 1875. No 1265.

2

His Excellency in Council agrees with the Ag. President in considering that the Railway Station at Panvel is not included within Municipal limits.

To the Ag. President of the Panvel Municipality.

D/6/75

Despd 24/6 Alw

1875.

From Municipal Secretary, Panvel, to Secretary to the Revenue Department.

Municipality.

Rec'd 3/6/75.

No 1358.

Encl. nil.

Requests that the Railway Station may be excluded from the limits of the Municipality.

Ditto reply.

17-9-98

Govt. of {1875} Madras.

Financial

G.O. 10 June, 1875, No. 1265

Nos. 123-4

Municipal. The Presi-
dent of the Panchayat
Municipality agreed
with that the Railway
Station at Pallavaram
is not included
within Municipal
limits. √