M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 981	Press / Mis.
78-79	
Dated 10-6-	1874

415.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 78. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 21st May 1874, No. 1203 :—

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., President of the Local Fund Boards, North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Arconum, 30th March 1874, No. 180 :—

The Government in their Proceedings, No. 734, dated 18th March 1869, sanctioned an estimate for the construction of the road from Venkatagirikota to Kuppum. One of the chief items in the estimate was the construction, at a cost of Rupees 14,000, of a bridge of three arches of fifty feet span over the Palar river. The District Engineer in his letter, No. 3,184, of the 23rd January last, suggests that a causeway may very advantageously be substituted for a bridge. His reasons for this proposal are, first, that the traffic on that road can never be very extensive, as its chief value as a railway feeder is neutralized by the fact that it runs very nearly parallel to the main line; and, secondly, that carts, etc., from Venkatagirikota and other places to the north of it take the Gudiattum route rather than the Kuppum one.

2. The Local Fund Board, Chittoor Circle, before whom the above letter was placed, are of opinion that a causeway can answer all purposes, and entirely concur with the proposal made by the District Engineer.

3. Under these circumstances I have the honour to enclose an estimate,* etc., passed by the Local Fund Board for the construction of the proposed causeway, amounting to Rupees 3,500, and to request that the Board of Revenue will be pleased to sanction it.

4. I take this opportunity to inquire whether the sanction of Government is necessary for substituting one project for another, which had already been approved and sanctioned by them at a much higher cost than it is now intended to expend.

* 1 Estimate, 1 Plan, and 1 Survey Plan.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Estimate.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 2 and 3.—Plans.

The estimate is sanctioned.

2. The Local Fund Board is not competent to sanction such a change of projects as is described in the last paragraph without reference to higher authority.

3. These Proceedings will be submitted for the information of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Secretary.

No. 79. ORDER THEREON, 10th June 1874, No. 981.

The Governor in Council concurs with the Board of Revenue in the view expressed in paragraph 2 of their Proceedings.

(True Extract.)

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Govt. of [illegible] Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

The BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated June, 10th.

Read.

G. O., 10th June 1874, No. 981.

JUNE.

Nos. 78-79.

Local Fund Boards.—Concurrence expressed with the opinion of the Board of Revenue that it is not competent to Local Fund Boards to substitute one project for another which has already received the sanction of Government without reference to higher authority.

No. 1,203.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 21st May 1874.

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., President of the Local Fund Boards, North Arcot, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Arconum, 30th March 1874, No. 180:—

THE Government in their Proceedings, No. 734, dated 18th March 1869, sanctioned an estimate for the construction of the road from Venkatagirikota to Kuppum. One of the chief items in the estimate was the construction, at a cost of Rupees 14,000, of a bridge of three arches of fifty feet span over the Palar river. The District Engineer in his letter, No. 3,184, of the 23rd January last, suggests that a causeway may very advantageously be substituted for a bridge. His reasons for this proposal are, first, that the traffic on that road can never be very extensive, as its chief value as a railway feeder is neutralized by the fact that it runs very nearly parallel to the main line; and, secondly, that carts, etc., from Venkatagirikota and other places to the north of it take the Gudiattum route rather than the Kuppum one.

2. The Local Fund Board, Chittoor Circle, before whom the above letter was placed, are of opinion that a causeway can answer all purposes, and entirely concur with the proposal made by the District Engineer.

3. Under these circumstances I have the honour to enclose an estimate,* etc., passed by the Local Fund Board for the construction of the proposed causeway, amounting to Rupees 3,500, and to request that the Board of Revenue will be pleased to sanction it.

* 1 Estimate, 1 Plan, and 1 Survey Plan.

4. I take this opportunity to inquire whether the sanction of Government is necessary for substituting one project for another, which had already been approved and sanctioned by them at a much higher cost than it is now intended to expend.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Estimate.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 2. and 3.—Plans.

THE estimate is sanctioned.

2. The Local Fund Board is not competent to sanction such a change of projects as is described in the last paragraph without reference to higher authority.

3. These Proceedings will be submitted for the information of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J Grose

Secretary.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department.
,, the President of the Local Fund Boards, North Arcot District, with three enclosures.
,, the District Engineer, North Arcot.
,, the Officiating Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

901

1874.

Board of Revenue;

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 21ST MAY 1874, No. 1,203.

Encl.

Received 6. June /74.

MAY.

No. 1,203.

Causeway—instead of proposed bridge over Palar river on road from Venkatagirikota to Kuppum in North Arcot District.—Estimate passed by Local Fund Board for construction of — at a cost of Rupees 3,500, sanctioned. Local Fund Board not competent to authorize such change without reference to higher authority.

With article.

Govt. of {1874} Madras.

Financial.

To The Board of Revenue.

G.O. June 10 1874, No. 981.

Nos. 78-79.

Local Fund Boards. Concurrence expressed with the opinion of the Bd. of Rev. that it is not competent to L.F. Bds. to substitute one project for another which has already rec^d. the sanction of Govt., without ref. to higher authority.