M.R.O. 1—77,606—8-6-31.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Reference _____

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

## Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| G.O. No. | 816 | Press Mis. |
| 112-113 | | 112-113 |
| Dated | 12-5- | 1874. |

6/7

***Not to be folded or roughly handled***

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,*
*12th May* 1874.

No. 112. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th April 1874, No. 852 :—

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 11th February 1874, No. 233, Financial Department :—

ABSTRACT.—*Local Fund Works.*—The Board of Revenue requested to explain the nature of the difficulties they anticipate, if the rule requiring none but sanctioned works to be entered in the budget is applied to Local Fund Budgets.

---

The Board resolve to submit to Government a return showing the amount assigned to sanctioned and unsanctioned works in the Local Fund Budgets of 1872-73 and 1873-74. In the former year the amount assigned to unsanctioned works was 28·45 per cent. of the whole sum allotted to works, and in the latter year it was 28·19 per cent. If so large a sum as four lakhs of Rupees were left unallotted, the budgets would give so imperfect a notion of what the Local Fund Boards intend to do that they would be almost worthless. Hitherto they have served their purpose well.

2. The Board cannot add anything to the arguments adduced in G.O., R. D., 20th January 1871, No. 134, but it may be remarked that, under the existing system in which a single Imperial Department prepares the estimates both for Government and the Local Fund, the estimates required by Government are likely to be prepared sooner than those required by Local Fund Boards.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
*Secretary.*

---

No. 113. ORDER THEREON, 12th May 1874, No. 816.

Government Order in the Revenue Department, dated 20th January 1871, No. 134, will be considered the Standing order on the subject.

(True Extract.)

D. F. CARMICHAEL,
*Acting Chief Secretary.*

To the Board of Revenue.
,, Public Works Department.
,, Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.

Financial Department,

No. 922.

From Pres. of the Board of Revenue

Dated 14 April 1874.

FOR ORDERS.

I would allow the order
of 20 pm 77. Sol
2/5
I cannot think that it is desirable
to allow of Executive & Board Orders
as unrevised copies, but the
under heavy & urgent pressure explanation
& I presume that we should
dispose it on Council 70

Govt. of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To The BOARD OF REVENUE,
&c., &c.,

Dated May, 12th.

Read.

G.O., 12th May 1874, No. 816.

MAY.
Nos. 112-113.

*Local Fund Budgets.*—Government Order in the Revenue Department, dated 20th January 1871, No. 134, ordered to be considered the Standing order on the subject of the application of the rule, requiring none but sanctioned works to be entered in the budget, to the Local Fund Budgets.

Expenditures does not
as a rule take place un-
til the work is finished
& the progress & urgency
made the Board appro-
the Submission of the
Estimate for Sanit
1/5 [illegible]

816.

21

No. 852.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 14th April 1874.

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 11th February 1874, No. 233, Financial Department:—

ABSTRACT.—*Local Fund Works.*—The Board of Revenue requested to explain the nature of the difficulties they anticipate, if the rule requiring none but sanctioned works to be entered in the budget is applied to Local Fund Budgets.

---

THE Board resolve to submit to Government a return showing the amount assigned to sanctioned and unsanctioned works in the Local Fund Budgets of 1872-73 and 1873-74. In the former year the amount assigned to unsanctioned works was 28·45 per cent. of the whole sum allotted to works and in the latter year it was 28·19 per cent. If so large a sum as four lakhs of Rupees were left unallotted the budgets would give so imperfect a notion of what the Local Fund Boards intend to do that they would be almost worthless. Hitherto they have served their purpose well.

2. The Board cannot add any thing to the arguments adduced in G. O., R. D., 20th January 1871, No. 134, but it may be remarked that under the existing system in which a single Imperial Department prepares the estimates both for Government and the Local Fund, the estimates required by Government are likely to be prepared sooner than those required by Local Fund Boards.

(A true Extract.)

J Grote
Secretary.

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department,
with one Statement.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

Gov. 11 February 1874. № 93-96. Jn. 8.
" — 28 August 1873 - - 188-89.
" — 31 July 1873 — — 221-28.
" — 20 January 1871 — - 278-79. Qu.
" — 18 December 1870 — - 302-23. P. 71.

Finance Department

1874.

# Board of Revenue,

## MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 14TH APRIL 1874, No. 852.

Dept 20 April 74 11
Encl. 1.

Received 22nd April 1874

For Order

APRIL.

No. 852. W 922

*Local Fund Budgets.*—Remarks submitted to Government in reply to their call for further explanation regarding difficulties Board anticipate if rule requiring none but sanctioned works to be entered in Budgets is applied to — Statement forwarded showing amount assigned to sanctioned and unsanctioned works in Local Fund Budgets of 1872-73 and 1873-74.

With addl

Govt. of {1874} Madras.

Financial

To
The Board of Revenue
&c

Orig. sent to Body
28-5-74

G.O. May. 12. 1874. No. 816.

Nos. 112-113.

Local Fund Budgets. G.O. in the Rev. Dept: d/20 January 187 No. 134. ordered to be considered the Standing order on the Subject of the application of the rule, requiring none but Sanctioned works to be entered in the Budget to the Local Fund Budgets.