M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-31.

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair 22-5-40

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 455	Press ~~Mis.~~
163-164.	
Dated 18-3- 1894.	

5/6

Not to be folded or roughly handled

C.J.K

1 C

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
18th March 1874.

No. 163. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th February 1874, No. 1119 (Miscellaneous):—

Read the following letter from J. R. COCKERELL, Esq., President of the Local Fund Board, Nilgiris, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Ootacamund, 2nd February 1874, No. 1:—

With reference to G.O., 1st December 1873, No. 1735, Financial Department, pointing out on a complaint of Mr. Powell of extortion on the part of a toll gate-keeper, that it is an essential part of the duty of the Local Fund Board to take cognizance of any complaint preferred against the conduct of any one entrusted with the collection of the Local Fund taxes, I have the honour to annex a resolution passed at a meeting of the Board held on the 19th January 1874:—

"The Board resolve to request the President to inform Government that in passing their orders, dated 27th October 1873, they followed the provisions of Section 74 of Act IV. of 1871."

2. The tolls are leased to a Contractor, and the contract does not reserve to the Board power to take cognizance of a complaint of the nature preferred by Mr. Powell. Such a power could not conveniently be reserved, particularly as the law provides punishment for extortion. In the case in question the Board, therefore, thought they had no alternative to the course they adopted.

Submitted to Government with reference to G.O., 1st December 1873, No. 1735, Financial Department.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Secretary.

No. 164. ORDER THEREON, 18th March 1874, No. 455.

The mere fact that a toll contractor may render himself liable to a criminal conviction for extortion does not relieve the Local Fund Board of the duty of exercising due supervision in such an important matter.

(True Extract.)

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated March, 18*th*.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 18*th March* 1874, *No.* 455.

MARCH.
Nos. 163-164.

Nilgiri Circle—Board informed, with reference to G.O., dated 1st December last, and their explanation now submitted, that the mere fact that a toll contractor may render himself criminally liable for extortion does not relieve them from the duty of exercising due supervision in the matter.

3c

MISCELLANEOUS No. 1119

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 19th February 1874.

Read the following

No. 1.

From

The President
Local Fund Board
Nilgiris.

To

The Secretary
to the Board of Revenue
Madras.

SIR,

With reference to G.O.
1st December 1873, No. 1735 F. D. pointing
out on a complaint of Mr. Powell of
extortion on the part of a toll gate
keeper that it is an essential part
of the duty of the Local Fund Board
to take cognizance of any complaint
preferred against the conduct of
any one entrusted with the collection
of the Local Fund taxes, I have
the honor to annex a resolution passed
at

at a meeting of the Board held on the 19th January 1874.

"The Board resolve to request the President to inform Government that in passing their orders dated 27th October 1873 they followed the provisions of section 74 of Act IV of 1871."

2. The tolls are leased to a Contractor and the contract does not reserve to the Board power to take cognizance of a complaint of the nature preferred by Mr. Powell. Such a power could not conveniently be reserved particularly as the law provides punishment for extortion. In the case in question the Board therefore thought they had no alternative to the course they adopted.

I have &c.
(signed) J. R. Cockerell.
President, Nilgiri Local Fund Board.

Nilgiri Local Fund Board's Office
Potacamund 2d February 1874

Submitted to Government with reference to G. O. 4th December 1873, No. 1735, Financial Department.

(True copy and Extract)

J. Grote
Secretary.

To,
The Chief Secretary to Government
Financial Department.

E. A. Maybin
Chindley
V. Venkatadry

Pro: 1st December 1873. No 4.

Fmk. 1874 Dep 3.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD
OF REVENUE.

Dated 19
Dsepd. 19 } February 1874.
Recd. 20

Fmk No 1119

SUBJECT.

W. Sturrock No 388

Submitting to Govt. letter from President of Local Fund Board, Nilgiris, explaining circumstances under which Local Board's order on Mrs Powell's complaint of extortion on part of Coonoor toll contractor were passed.

Orth an order

C 4/3
R 6—
C 8—
R 11—
C 12—
R 17—

Order 18 March 74 No 455.

The mere fact, that a toll Contractor may render himself liable to a criminal conviction for extortion ~~fraudulently~~ does not relieve the Local Fund Board of the duty of exercising due supervision in such an important matter.

J.

42/- 180

To

The Board of Revenue 14 Dep 2/3

Ex. S. D

Govt. of {1874} Madras.

Financial

To The Board of Revenue.

G.O. 18 March, 1874, No. 455.

Nos. 163. 164.

Nilgiri Circle Board informed with ref. to G.O. of 1 Dec. last & their Explanation now submitted, that the mere fact that a Toll Contractor may render himself Criminally liable for Extortion does not relieve them from the duty of Exercising due Supervision in the matter.