M.R.O. 1—77,000—8-6-31.

(1) Number of pages 8

(2) Date of repair 1-5-1894

(3) Initials of the Referencer

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

## Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2479 Press
169-170
345-346
Dated 29 — 2 — 1894.

5/6

**Not to be folded or roughly handled**

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,*
*23rd February* 1874.

No. 169. Read the following Proceedings of Government in the Public Works Department, dated 19th February 1874, No. 401 :—

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Financial Department, dated 20th December 1873, No. 1,872 :—

Read the following letter from the President of the Local Fund Board, Godavery District, to the Honourable W. HUDLESTON, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated 11th December 1873, No. 5 :—

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department, No. 1,767, of December 5th, 1873.

2. The estimate in question was submitted by the District Engineer to the old Rajahmundry Circle Board, but for want of funds that Board refused to sanction it. Afterwards, on the change of the circles, the estimate with the other records came to the Cocanada Circle, and as that Board had money to give up to the work, the estimate was sanctioned by the Local Fund Board on July 8th, 1873. I sent it on to the Board of Revenue on July 17th, 1873.

3. I should hardly have thought that nine days were too much for an estimate to take in passing through the Collector's Office ; but such a delay is most certainly not one which must result in the non-utilization of allotments.

4. I believe Government think that want of funds is not a sufficient reason for the Local Fund Boards refusing to sanction an estimate ; but evidently the Local Fund Board thought otherwise. I could not do anything ; I was not present at the meeting, and it was not sufficiently important a matter to move Government to overrule the decision of the Local Fund Board if I had thought this might be done. But I think the Rajahmundry Local Fund Board were right in not passing it.

---

RECORDED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
*Chief Secretary.*

---

IN accepting the explanation offered by the President, Local Fund Board, in the letter above recorded, the Governor in Council would observe that want of funds need not postpone the sanction of an estimate if the work is one that is really required, the presumption being that the estimate would otherwise not have been called for. The necessity for the work being established, and the Public Works officers having had their time occupied in preparation of the estimate, it should be submitted for sanction in anticipation of funds becoming available.

2. This procedure is that usual with all estimates for approved works, and is recommended to all Local Fund Boards as the proper course, tending to keep up a supply of sanctions on which to make Budget allotments, which is preferable to the practice of calling for estimates after allotments have been made.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Colonel, R.E.,
*Secretary to Government, P.W.D.*

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,*
*23rd February* 1874.

No. 170. ORDER THEREON, 23rd February 1874, No. 299.

To all Presidents of Local Fund Boards through the Board of Revenue.

(True Extract.)

*Chief Secretary.*

To the Board of Revenue.

Ed. J. Wright.

Govt of 1874 Madras

FINANCIAL.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated February, 20th.

Recd.

Exd.

G.O., 23rd February 1874, No. 229.

FEBUARY.

Nos. 169-170.

*Local Fund Boards.*—Proceedings, Public Works Department, recommending the course of calling for estimates for all approved works and obtaining sanction to them in anticipation of funds becoming available, communicated to the President of all Local Fund Boards.

169

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,*
*19th February* 1874.

No. 345. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Financial Department, dated 20th December 1873, No. 1,872:—

Read the following letter from the President of the Local Fund Board, Godavery District, to the Honourable W. HUDLESTON, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated 11th December 1873, No. 5.

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department, No. 1,767, of December 5th, 1873.

2. The estimate in question was submitted by the District Engineer to the old Rajahmundry Circle Board, but for want of funds that Board refused to sanction it. Afterwards, on the change of the circles, the estimate with the other records came to the Cocanada Circle, and as that Board had money to give up to the work, the estimate was sanctioned by the Local Fund Board on July 8th, 1873. I sent it on to the Board of Revenue on July 17th, 1873.

3. I should hardly have thought that nine days were too much for an estimate to take in passing through the Collector's Office; but such a delay is most certainly not one which must result in the non-utilization of allotments.

4. I believe Government think that want of funds is not a sufficient reason for the Local Fund Boards refusing to sanction an estimate; but evidently the Local Fund Board thought otherwise. I could not do any thing; I was not present at the meeting, and it was not sufficiently important a matter to move Government to over-rule the decision of the Local Fund Board if I had thought this might be done. But I think the Rajahmundry Local Fund Board were right in not passing it.

RECORDED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
*Chief Secretary.*

No. 346. ORDER THEREON, 19th February 1874, No. 401.

CIVIL WORKS No. 30.

IN accepting the explanation offered by the President, Local Fund Board, in the letter above recorded, the Governor in Council would observe that want of funds need not postpone the sanction of an estimate if the work is one that is really required, the presumption being that the estimate would otherwise not have been called for. The necessity for the work being established, and the Public Works officers having had their time occupied in preparation of the estimate, it should be submitted for sanction in anticipation of funds becoming available.

2. This procedure is that usual with all estimates for approved works, and is recommended to all Local Fund Boards as the proper course, tending to keep up a supply of sanctions on which to make Budget allotments, which is preferable to the practice of calling for estimates after allotments have been made.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
*Secretary to Government.*

To the District Engineer, Godavery.
" Financial Department.
Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

Fin. 1874 Sept

Govt. of {1874} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE DISTRICT ENGINEER GODAVERY,

&c., &c., &c.

*Dated February 19th.*

*Read.* — 23 *Encl.*

*G. O., 19th February 1874, No. 401.*

J.C.

FEBRUARY

Nos. 345-346

No 404.

of.

*Road from Peddapur to Prattipad, Godavery District.*—Passing orders on letter communicated with Financial Department Proceedings No. 1,872 of 1873, from the President of the Local Fund Boards, Godavery District, submitting explanation in regard to the delay in the submission of the estimate for the road.

23 Feby 74 No 299

To the Secy to the Bd of Rev.

From Secy Bd of Rev.

Board of Revenue. 1st Proc.
170 Feby

Govt. of {1874} Madras.

Financial

To The Board of Revenue.

Dated Feby. 23.
Rcvd.

G. O. 23. Feby. 1874. No. 299.

Nos. 169. 170.

Local Fund Boards. Rots. P. W. D.
recommending the Course of
calling for Ests. for all approved
works & obtaining Sanction to
them in anticipation of funds
becoming available, Comd.
to the Presidents of all Local
Fund Boards.