M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Reference _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2050	Press Mis. -
78-79.		78-79
Dated	8-12-	1876.

9/10

Not to be folded or roughly handled

7 8/40 F.V

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
8th December 1874.

No. 78. Read the following :—

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HON. VERE HENRY *LORD* HOBART,
Governor in Council,
Fort St. George.

The humble Petition of COTHUNDA-RAMIER, Manager of the Result System School, Mooddoobupala-samoodrum, Kulitalai Taluq, Trichinopoly District.

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,—Being aggrieved by the Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction, who has confirmed the opinion of the Acting Inspector of the Trichinopoly District, by which the petitioner was disheartened in bringing the school under his management to a prominent position, he is compelled to appeal to your Excellency in Council in the fervent hope that your Excellency in Council will give the present representation impartial consideration.

2. The petitioner begs to enclose the memorandum, marked A, and the two Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction, one of which, marked B, issued on the petition submitted to by the petitioner, the date of which is 26th February 1874, and the other, marked C, issued on the petition sent in by the petitioner dated 29th April 1874.

Marked A, B, and C.

3. The petitioner begs to state that he initiated, three years ago, the lads at first in those rudimental subjects which form the first and second standards; at the inspection his diligence and perseverance have been commended and amply rewarded by the liberal grants from the Government under the system of payment for results; these circumstances promising him a higher recompense if he redouble his diligence, he (the petitioner) introduced those subjects which are prescribed for the third standard, of which English is also an essential ingredient.

4. While the petitioner was thus working hard with the hope of getting a higher recompense, the Clerk of the Deputy Inspector conveyed the enclosed memorandum (marked A) as being the order of the Acting Inspector upon the application of the petitioner for aid as usual.

5. Under these circumstances, your Excellency in Council may readily conceive how strong it should have damped his (the petitioner's) spirits in his pursuit of educating the young lads.

6. Thereupon he (the petitioner) submitted a petition to the Director of Public Instruction, who referred it to the said Acting Inspector to give explanation addressing thereon, upon what grounds this threatening order was based, while the issue of such an order is quite contrary to the Grant-in-Aid Rules.

7. In the obeisance of the said reference of the Director of Public Instruction the Acting Inspector sent in a letter to him (Director) stating that the Manager of the result system school (the petitioner) introduced a man of young and intelligent who was educated in the grant-in-aid school to teach English in his school, and thus draws away the boys of the grant-in-aid school by levying less fee than that of the grant-in-aid school.

8. Thereupon the Director of Public Instruction has issued the Proceedings enclosed, marked B.

9. The petitioner now solicits to explain that the order of the Acting Inspector is based upon mere supposition that the progress of the grant-in-aid school is *likely* to be prejudiced for the simple reason that English is taught in the result system school. In the first place, the result system school is so inferior in every respect to the grant-in-aid school that the boys who are already reading higher subjects would not come and join a school where they have to study minor ones, or, if at all they would do so, *that* can be only by the inducement of the lower fee as the Acting Inspector says as if true (in Column 7 the petitioner quotes here), *which* is not the case, because the fee in the result system school is greater and even double than that levied in the grant-in-aid school, so that boys who have a double advantage by reading in the grant-in-aid school cannot be supposed to go to a place where they will be deprived of it, or that they should place themselves in a position where they would suffer double loss. Taking this again for granted (for argument's sake), the Acting Inspector says that the introduction of English in the result system school is *likely* to prove injurious to the progress of the grant-in-aid school: here the Acting Inspector only apprehended, but never believed; only supposed, but never actually experienced that it has prejudiced. The Acting Inspector acted only on the misrepresentation, and that ill-grounded, considering all its bearings.

10. In introducing English the petitioner has acted in accordance with regulations of the result system, nor is it laid down anywhere that grants would *not* be given to pupils that are presented in English under the third standard. There is not a single exception pointed out under the general rule, nor is there any departmental notice issued that certain grants will be allowed only under certain circumstance though it is not expressly laid down in the Grant-in-Aid Rules. Having never received any such intimation, and having not the slightest means or opportunity of knowing any such deviations from the rule, the petitioner cannot but act according to the general interpretation as any one else would do. Such being the case the petitioner cannot but express his surprise and regret what actuated the Acting Inspector to be so ill-disposed towards the result system school as to threaten the very existence of it. Under these circumstances, the petitioner begs to convey to your Excellency in Council that ever since the receipt of the order in dispute the petitioner was totally discouraged in his efforts, which tended in no small degree to the drawback of the school and pupils.

11. The petitioner, therefore, most earnestly begs that your Excellency in Council and Members of the said Council may be graciously pleased to cancel the Proceedings (marked B) of the Director of Public Instruction, who has confirmed the Acting Inspector's opinion upon the explanation afforded by him (Acting Inspector) and to issue a favorable order allowing him (the petitioner) to draw grants for pupils in English too as laid down in the Grant-in-Aid Rules, and trusts at the same time that the liberal policy of your Excellency in Council imparted on diffusing the Educational Department throughout will *not refuse* him (the petitioner) this poor and humble request.

For such act of boon the petitioner shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

22nd September 1874. (Signed) கோதண்டராமய்யர்.

No. 79. ORDER THEREON, 8th December 1874, No. 2050.

The Government gather that the school in question is one of the lower class, in which case the question must be left to the decision of the Local Fund Board of the Circle.

2. In paragraph 7 of his letter recorded with G.O., dated 4th April 1872, No. 94, the Director of Public Instruction recommended that it should be left "to Local Fund Boards to settle whether they will, or will not, give grants to such lower-class schools as teach English," and this recommendation was adopted by Government. The question has since formed the subject of correspondence and consideration; but the instructions conveyed in G.O., dated 4th April 1872, No. 94, must be held to be in force until they have been formally withdrawn or superseded.

3. The petition will be endorsed—

"The Government understand that the school in question is one of the lower class. If such be the case petitioner should apply to the President of the Local Fund Board."

(True Extract.)

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, Director of Public Instruction.
,, President of the Local Fund Board, Trichinopoly.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

Govt. of H.M. 3rd Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

The Board of Revenue,
&c. &c. &c.

Dated December, 4th.

Read

Rect.

G.O. Fin. December 1874, No. 2030.

DEGEMBER.
Nos. 1875.

Received on the 11th 12/74

No. 47.

5/

Memo.

The undersigned requests to inform you that no Result Grants will be allowed to your Sch—l on behalf of any pupils that may be presented in English under the Result System as the Ag. Dy. Insp. is of opinion that the introduction of English lessons into your School is likely to prove injurious to the progress of the Grant in-aid School.

By order

C. Namasiviaya Mudaliar
Clerk, in the Office of the
Dy. Inspector of Schools
Trichinopoly

Dy. Inspector's
Office
Trichinopoly
13th February 74

To

Kadandaramier
Result System School
Muttugopalasomudrum
Kulitalay.

Received
28/4/74 Mentr

No. 423.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

MADRAS, *14th March* 1874.

Read letters from Kothundaramayya and the Acting Inspector of Schools, 4th Division.

(Here enter 26th February and 9th March 1874.)

From the explanation afforded by Mr. Bradshaw, the Director of Public Instruction is satisfied that Kothundaramayya should not be allowed to receive a grant for English; the examining officer will therefore not examine the children in English.

Director of Public Instruction.

To the Acting Inspector of Schools, 4th Division.
„ Kothundaramayya, through the Deputy Inspector of Schools, Trichinopoly.

No 187

From

The Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

(a)

Dated 22nd May

Received 11th July 1876

Marked (b)

Declining to comply with his requisition

Inst No 2607 of 76

Instruction confirming the order of the Inspector of schools declining to sanction grants for pupils preparing for the 3rd standard, states that 3 years ago he taught the lads the subjects prescribed for the 1st & 2nd standards. that at the inspection his diligence was commended and rewarded by liberal grants. that he was working hard for higher remunerat

No 78 —

Government of Madras.

Pros 8th Dec 1876

Financial DEPARTMENT.

Petition No. 2607 of 1876.

From C Muthudaramier

Occupation. Manager of the Results System school at

Village, Irroldorbupalasam

Talook. Kulitalai

District. Trichinopoly

Dated 22nd } Sept 1876

Received 24th }

Enclosures. 3

ABSTRACT.

Appealing against the Proceedings of the Director of Public —

Petition

13/ 4

No 2607 of 1874 & 22nd Septr 1874

Recd 24th " " "

From Cotteen Saramier, Manager of the Results System school at Moodubidrie Pala Samudram Kulekatari Taluq, Trichinopoly Dt.

Appealing against the Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction confirming the order of the Ag Inspector of Schools declining to sanction grants for pupils preparing for the 3rd standard, states that 3 years ago he taught the lads the subjects prescribed for the 1st & 2nd standards; that at the inspection his diligence was commended and rewarded by liberal grants; that he was working hard for higher remuneration; but the order of the Ag Inspector damped his energies, that the Ag. Inspector reported to the Director's Proceedings may be cancelled and that orders may be issued to allow him to draw grants for pupils in English classes according to the grant-in-aid rules.

but the order of the Ag Inspector damped his energies. that the Ag Inspector reported to the Director that Petr introduced a young and intelligent man from the Grant-in-aid school to teach English and thus draws away the boys of the said school by levying a less fee, that this is not true, that the fees paid in Petrs school are larger.

Prays that for the reasons stated herein

2607

G. O. 8 Dec. 74
No 2950

We Govt gather that the school in question is one of the lower class in which case the question must be left to the decision of the Local Fund Board of the District.

In para 2 of his letter recorded with G.O. 5/4 April 1872 the Director of Public Instruction recommended that it should be left to Local Fund Boards to settle whether they will or will not give grants to such Lower Class schools as teach English" and this recommendation was adopted by Govt - The question has since formed

to the Director that petitioner introduced a young and intelligent man from the Grant-in-aid School to teach English and thus drawn away the boys of the said school by levying a less fee; that this is not true; that the fees paid in petr's school are larger.

Prays that for the reasons stated herein the Director's Proceedings may be cancelled & that orders may be issued to allow him to draw grants for pupils in English also according to the Grant in aid rules.

the subject of correspondence and consideration with the instructions conveyed in S.O. 574 dated April 1892 no 94 must be held to be in force until they have been formally withdrawn or superseded.

The petition will be endorsed

"The Govt understand that the school in question is one of the lower class. - If such be the case petitioner should apply to the Bds of the L. F. B.

J.H. 24/11

Desp. 16/12 To the Board of Revenue
The Director of Pr. Instruction
The Secy of the L. F. Board Mcly
Endorsement to the Petr Desp'd 17/12/14

350 Pers. 40

Georgia Letters Rec'd
Feb[y] (1874) Dist[t]

To
The Bd of Rev.
+ + +

Dated 8 Dec
No 2050.

Nos 78-9.

Trinity Circle. Col Saunders reminds the Manager of the Results grant & school at Mocho Chapel as amended, referred to the L & B Com[tee] upon the representation of his Complaint regarding grants to being withheld from his School.

Case

c-26/11. R.S. of U. C. 3/11. Rd 7/12