M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 1

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1825 Press / Mis. -

222-223

222-223

Dated 24-10-1874.

2/8

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 222. Read the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., President of the Municipal Commissions, Godavery District, to the Honorable D. F. CARMICHAEL, Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Cocanada, 10th September 1874, No. 10:—

With reference to the Order of Government, Financial Department, No. 1219, dated July 24th, 1874, directing that a sum spent out of the funds of the Civil Dispensary should be repaid by the Municipal Commission, I have the honor to annex a resolution of the Commissioners on the subject.

"4. Read G.O., No. 1219, dated 24th July 1874, requesting that the amounts withdrawn from the Savings' Bank for the use of the Civil Dispensary in 1872-73 and 1873-74 be replaced from Municipal Funds. Resolved that the Commissioners object to the said transfer, as the money was spent purely on improvements to the Civil Dispensary."

2. The money was spent entirely on improvements to the Civil Dispensary; these improvements were necessary, but we could have done without them, and we certainly should not have spent the money had we thought it was to come out of the General Fund of the Municipality.

3. We did not consider the money thus spent a part of the funded capital of the dispensary. When receipts exceed the expenditure, the surplus is kept in the Savings' Bank or the Madras Bank till it reaches Rupees 1,000, and then it is invested in Government bonds, and then only is it considered funded capital. I, therefore, hope that Government will not require us to pay the money to the dispensary fund. If the revenue of the dispensary is sufficient for its wants, I think it should pay its own expenses: some of that revenue is derived from subscriptions, which come to Rupees 800 or 900 a-year. I do not think these subscriptions will continue, if it is known that the dispensary has so much money that it is saving money year by year by getting a part of its expenses paid by the Municipality. I think almost all the subscribers have to pay municipal taxes; they would probably rather keep the amount of their subscriptions to pay extra municipal taxes, which will have to be imposed if the dispensary is allowed to go on saving money by getting its expenses paid by the Municipality.

4. The dispensary is supported by contributions whether from the Government or the public. Such institutions have no business to be saving money; I do not think contributors intend to give so much that the next generation will not have to subscribe at all.

5. As to the falling off in the number of patients in the dispensary, I am happy to say the cause has been removed. The numbers only fell off in two or three months, while a Dresser was attached to the Hospital, who was very deaf, could not see well, and for a time was an in-patient suffering from bronchitis. The Civil Surgeon applied for his removal, and he was removed; and the number of patients at once begun to rise.

No. 223. ORDER THEREON, 24th October 1874, No. 1825.

The Government observe that in the President's letter, dated 8th September 1873, No. 62, the sum of Rupees 890, which the Government desired should be replaced, is described as an appropriation from the capital of the dispensary.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
24th October 1874.

2. It is not desired that expenditure should be incurred from Municipal Funds with the view of allowing of the investment of dispensary savings, but His Excellency the Governor in Council considers that the funded capital transferred with the institution should not be drawn on so long as the expenditure on the institution from Municipal Funds is less than a fair share of that which was annually incurred by Government previous to the transfer. In 1872-73 and 1873-74, Local Funds contributed Rupees 500 to the Dispensary; the Municipality, nothing.

(True Extract.)

D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Acting Chief Secretary.

To the President of the Municipal Commission, Cocanada.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

Govt. of 1874 Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

THE PRESIDENT OF THE
MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
Cocanada.

Dated October, 24th

Read.

G.O., 24th October 1874, *No.* 1825.

OCTOBER.
Nos. 222-223.

Cocanada Municipality.—The President informed that the Government consider that the funded capital transferred with the Dispensary should not be drawn on so long as the expenditure on the institution from Municipal Funds is less than a fair share of that which was usually incurred by Government previous to the transfer.

☆

S. 7

No 10

Godaveary District
Municipal Office Cocanada
10th September 1874.

From

W. S. Foster Esquire
President

To,

The Honorable D. F. Carmichael
Ag Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George

Sir,

With reference to the order of Government F.D. No 1219 dated July 24th 1874, directing that a sum spent out of the funds of the Civil Dispensary should be repaid by the Municipal Commission, I have the honor to annex a resolution of the Commissioners on the subject.

"4. Read Government Order No 1219
"dated 24th July 1874, requesting that the
"amounts withdrawn from the Savings
"Bank for the use of the Civil Dispensary
"in 1872-73 and 1873-74 be replaced from
"Municipal Funds. Resolved that the
"Commissioners object to the said Transfer,
"as the money was spent purely on
"improvements to the Civil Dispensary."

2 The money was spent entirely on improvements to the Civil Dispensary: these improvements were necessary, but we could have done without them, and we certainly should not have spent the money, had we thought it was to come out of the General Fund of the Municipality.

3 I do not Consider the money thus spent apart of the funded Capital of the Dispensary: when receipts Exceed the Expenditure, the surplus is Kept in the Savings Bank or the Madras Bank till it reaches Rupees 1000, and then it is invested in Government bonds: and then only is it Considered funded Capital. I therefore hope that Government will not require us to pay the money to the Dispensary fund. If the revenue of the Dispensary is sufficient for its wants, I think it should pay its own Expenses: Some of that revenue is derived from subscriptions, which come to Rupees 800 or 900 a year. I do not think these subscriptions will continue, if it is known that the Dispensary has so much money that it is saving money year by year, by getting a part of its Expenses paid by the Municipality. I think almost all the subscribers have to pay Municipal taxes: they would probably rather keep the amount of their subscriptions to pay Extra Municipal taxes which will have to be imposed, if the Dispensary is allowed to go on saving money, by getting its Expenses paid by the Municipality.

4. The Dispensary is supported by Contributions whether from the Government or the public. Such institutions have no business to be saving money; I do not think Contributors intend to give so much that the next generation will not have to subscribe at all.

5. As to the falling off in the number of patients in the Dispensary, I am happy to say the cause has been removed. The numbers only fell off in two or three months, while a Dresser was attached to the Hospital, who was very deaf, could not see well, and for a time was an in-patient suffering from Bronchitis. The Civil Surgeon applied for his removal, and he was removed, and the number of patients at once began to rise.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient
W S Foster
President

Dod Ramachandramma
A. Clerk

Financial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from the Presdt. of the Municipal Commissions, in the Godavery Dt., 8th to 10th Septr. 1874. No. 10.

Order thereon of 24th Oct. 1874 No. 1825.

The Govt. observe that in the President's letter dated 8th Septr. 1873, No. 62, the sum of Rs. 890 which the Govt. desired should be replaced, is described as an appropriation from the capital of the Dispensary.

2. It is not desired that expenditure should be incurred from Municipal funds with the view of allowing

Lot

No. 222.

Dated 18th Octr. 1874.

From W. Robertson Esqr. Collector & Chairman Godavery Municipal Commission.

To The Chief Secretary to Govt.

Transmitting Resolutions

Dated 10th Octr. 1874

Read 19th —

Recd. 20th —

No. 1825 dated 24th Octr. 1874.

Referring to G.O. dated 24th Septr. 1874 requesting explanation of the nature of the item of Rs. 890 in the Municipal Funds with the view of allowing the withdrawal of this charge from the Municipal Funds, and the Collector has explained that the Rs. 890 was originally borrowed from the Municipal Funds and should be replaced.

The Govt. observe that in the Proceedings dated 8th Septr. 1873 No. 62 the sum of Rs. 890 which the Govt. desired should be replaced is described as an appropriation from the capital of the Dispensary.

It is not desired that expenditure should be incurred from Municipal Funds with the view of allowing the withdrawal of this charge from the Municipal Funds, and the Collector should be requested to replace the sum of Rs. 890 in the Municipal Funds and credit the same to the Dispensary Capital Account.

It would not be desirable to charge on the Municipal Funds any expenditure which is not a charge on the Municipal Revenues.

The Collector should be requested to explain why the sum of Rs. 890 was originally borrowed from the Municipal Funds and the Collector should be requested to state whether any and what portion of the sum of Rs. 890 has been replaced to the Municipal Funds and credited to the Dispensary Capital Account.

allowing of the investment of Dispensary savings, but this Excellency the Governor in Council considers that the funded capital transferred with the institution should not be drawn on so long as the expenditure on the institution from Municipal funds is less than a fair share of that which was annually incurred by for the previous to the transfer. In 1872-73 and 1873-74. Local funds contributed Rs 500 to the Dispensary - the Municipality - nothing.

15/10

Note
In G.O. 3/174 April 1871 No 491 it was estimated that the annual Govt charges from the transfer were Rs 934. of this it was proposed that Rs 500 shd be borne by the Municipality and Rs 434 by Govt

I think it would be better to say "should be treated as an endowment, its interest being available in the Municipal & Local funds for current Expenditure"

DT.
17-10

24 HO

To
The Presidt Municl Comr
Cocanada
forwarded &c

Note
The general rule is already quite understood, but appropriations are usually permissive. Here the case cannot

for permanent
Interest as the Municipality spent nothing. JL

3-6-08

Govt. of {1874} Madras.

Financial

To

The Presdt. Munl. Commr.
Cocanada.

Dated Octr. 24
Reed. } 1874, No 1825.

Nos. 222. 223.

Cocanada Municipality. The Presdt. informed that the Govt. consider that the funded capital transferred with the Dispensary should not be drawn on so long as the Expenditure on the institution from Munl. funds is less than a fair share of that which was usually incurred by Govt. previous to the transfer.

Acknowledged 5 Nov.
Sent Sanction with letter