M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-5-37.

AR
Fc

(1) Number of pages 8

(2) Date of repair 1.8.40

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1656	Press Mis.
5-6		5-6
Dated	2-10-	1874.

5/6

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 5. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd September 1874, No. 6560 (Miscellaneous):—

Read the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 31st August 1874, No. 311:—

The Board of Revenue have, in paragraph 39 of their Proceedings, dated 27th February 1874, No. 447, recommended the entertainment of an Assistant Local Fund Clerk on a salary of 25 Rupees a-month, and the Government have in their Order, dated 30th April 1874, No. 744, Financial Department, sanctioned the provision made for the Clerk in the Budget.

2. I have consequently appointed D. Venkatakristna Row,* a matriculate, and an English Clerk on my establishment, to that post from 6th June 1874.

**Alias* Kristna Row.

3. The Accountant-General in his Objection Statement (copy of which is enclosed), dated 20th August, says that the Government Order above quoted did not expressly authorize the entertainment of the Clerk, and states that the amount already drawn by him should be recovered.

4. I have, therefore, the honor to request that the Board will obtain the specific sanction of Government for that post with retrospective effect from the 6th June 1874.

5. The Government certainly have sanctioned the Budget in which this charge has been entered; the reasons for this extra charge were given by me, and the charge was somewhat altered by the Board. I, therefore, see no reason for getting Government to issue another order to sanction it. But as the Accountant-General is not satisfied that Government have sanctioned the charge, it will be best to ask for another order.

Submitted for the sanction of Government.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Secretary.

No. 6. ORDER THEREON, 2nd October 1874, No. 1656.

Sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue, with enclosure returned.
,, Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.

MISCELLANEOUS No. 6560

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 22nd September 1874.

Read the following :—

No. 311.

From W. S. Foster Esqre.
Acting Collector.

To J. Gross Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Madras.

SIR,

The Board of Revenue have in their Proceedings dated 27th para 39 of February 1874 No. 447 recommended the entertainment of an Assistant Local Fund Clerk on a salary of 25 Rupees a month, and the Government have in their Order dated 30th April 1874 No. 744. F.D sanctioned the provision made for the Clerk in the Budget.

2 I have consequently appointed D. Venkata Bristnarow × a Matriculate, and an English Clerk on my Establishment to that post from 6th June

× alias Bristnarow

Govt. of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To THE BOARD OF REVENUE, &c., &c.

Dated October, 2nd.
Recd.

G.O., 2nd October 1874, No. 1656.

OCTOBER.
Nos. 5 6.

Cocanada Circle.—The salary of Rupees 25 per mensem authorized in G.O., 30th April 1874, No. 744, for an Assistant Local Fund Clerk, being drawn by D. Venkatakrishna Row, who has been appointed to the post, sanctioned.

June 1874.

3. The Accountant General in his Objection Statement (Copy of which is enclosed) dated 20th August says that the Government Order above quoted did not expressly authorize the entertainment of the Clerk and states that the amount already drawn by him should be recovered.

4 I have therefore the honor to request that the Board will obtain the Specific sanction of Government for that post with retrospective effect from the 8th June 1874.

5. The Government certainly have sanctioned the Budget in which this charge has been entered; the reasons for this extra charge were given by me and the charge was somewhat altered by the Board. I therefore see no reason for getting Government to issue another order to sanction it. But as the Accountant General

General is not satisfied that Government have sanctioned the charge, it will be best to ask for another order.

I have &c
(Sd) W. S. Foster
Asst Collector

Godavery District
Collector's Office
Cocanada
31st August 1874

Submitted for the sanction of Government.

(True Copy & Extract)

J. L.
Secretary.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Financial Department

with an Original enclosure

J. L. Mayhew
Capt
Asst Superintendent

Financial 1874 / Despatch 1856

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD
OF REVENUE.

No 1979

Dated 22 Sept
Despatched 22 } September 1874.
Rec'd 26 Sept.

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the sanction of Govt. letter from Collr. of Godavery Dist., requesting express sanction of Govt. to the pay of Rs. 25 being drawn by V. Krishna Rao Assistant Local Fund Clerk

Ordered 2 Oct 1874 No 1856 & Sanctioned

To Board of Revenue Godavery Dist
Accountant

C 30/9 R 1/10

Govt. of 1874 Madras.

7 11 mo 2

To

The Board of Revenue.

4 ea

G. O. 2nd Oct 2, 1874, No 165.

Nos. 5 - 6 -

Cocanada Ca[illegible] - the salary of Rs. 25-, per mensem authorized in G.O. 30 April /74 No. 744, for an Assistant Local Fund Clerk being drawn by D. Venkata Krishnarow who has been appointed to the post sanctioned -