M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 16

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 12 Press Mis.

20-23

Dated 5 — 1 — 18 9 4.

9/10

Not to be folded or roughly handled

10

No. 12.

FROM

THE HON. W. HUDLESTON,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

With reference to the letter in the Financial Department of the Government of India, dated 22nd October 1873, No. 3698, I am directed to forward, for the consideration of the Government of India, copy of a letter from the Surgeon-General, Indian Medical Department, dated 9th ultimo, and to state that, in the opinion of this Government, the proposed alteration of Rule 2 (*b*) of Section 25 of the Civil Leave Code is not suitable to this Presidency, and that exemption from observance of it would have to be the rule.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

FORT ST. GEORGE,
5th January 1874.

Chief Secretary.

From Surgeon-General E. G. BALFOUR, Surgeon-General, Indian Medical Department, to the Hon. W. HUDLESTON, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Fort St. George, 9th December 1873, No. 464.

I have the honour to reenclose the Draft Notification in letter, No. 3698, of the Government of India, on the subject of civil sick leave, which, on the 31st October, was referred for my remarks.

2. I have the honour to report that, in the Madras Presidency, the distances are great from one station to another, and there are many civil stations with only one, or, at most, two covenanted medical officers. Out of twenty-eight civil stations, there are only two in which there are two medical officers, and only three where there are more than two medical men, and that would be a difficulty, which, if the proposed modification be introduced, would necessitate a Madras Civil Servant going to some considerable distance, if he has to appear personally. I recommend that personal appearance be only commanded when possible, and that the countersignature of the Surgeon-General be held to be sufficient after personal examination, or after perusal of the medical case when the patient is unable to appear. These have been the customs up to the introduction of the new rules which form the subject of the present correspondence.

3. In paragraph 2 of the proposed amendments, it is suggested to cancel "Rule 3 (*c*) of Section 25." There seems to be some error in the quotation, as there is no Clause *c* to Rule 3. But if it be intended to abolish Clause *c* under Rule 1, it would happen that officers serving in Burmah must either visit Calcutta or Madras for countersignature, or, if unable to appear, must send the certificate to either of those towns, and incur the risk of long delay, before they could proceed on their homeward voyage—a measure which was not long ago regarded by the Government of India as unnecessary, and to remedy which, Clause *c* of Rule 1 was introduced.

Exd. J. Wright.

1874.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT

From

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort St. George,

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Financial Department.

Dated 5th January 1874.

Rcd.

No. 12

Enclosures.

Civil Leave Code.—Letter from the Surgeon General, Indian Medical Department, regarding the proposed alteration of Rule 2 (*b*) of Section 25 of the Code, forwarded to the Government of India with the opinion of this Government that the alteration is unsuited to this Presidency, and that exemption from observance of it would have to be the rule.

1

30

No. 3698.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

LEAVE AND ALLOWANCES.

The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Madras.

SIMLA, THE 22 OCTOBER 1873.

SIR,

I AM directed to request that the Government of India may be favored with the opinion of His Excellency the Governor in Council on the accompanying draft of an alteration of Rule 2 (*b*) of Section 25 of the Civil Leave Code. The object of the change is to enable Local Governments to deal conveniently with their own officers without the intervention of the Government of Bombay.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

W Gardiner

Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

LEAVE AND ALLOWANCES.

To

No. 3698.

Dated Simla, October 1873.

Received

Respectfully submitted to the Lieut: Gen: & M.D. for his remarks.

Cotacamund. V. Brereton
31st Oct: 1873. Chief Secretary

with Rules.

No.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

LEAVE AND ALLOWANCES.

NOTIFICATION.

SIMLA, THE OCTOBER 1873.

THE Governor General in Council directs that the following be substituted for Rule 2 (*b*), Section 25, Civil Leave Code :—

2 (*b*).—The applicant must (unless the state of his health absolutely prevent it, or, if he be an applicant for furlough in India, unless the Government to which he is subordinate otherwise permit) present himself, with two copies of the statement of his case, before one or more medical officers to be appointed to this duty by the local Government at the seat of the Government under which he is serving, and obtain his or their certificate as follows :—

We (*or* I) do hereby certify that, according to the best of our (*or* my) professional judgment, after careful personal examination of the case, we (*or* I) consider the health of C. D. to be such as to render leave of absence for a period of absolutely necessary for his recovery.

If the state of the applicant's health make it highly inconvenient for him to repair to the seat of the Government of the province, the local Government may accept a certificate from any two covenanted medical officers of Government, other than the regular medical attendant of the applicant. The certifying officer need not belong to the same province as the applicant.

If an applicant for furlough in India is exempted by a local Government from appearing at the seat of the Government, he should produce a certificate signed by at least two covenanted medical officers other than his medical attendant.

2. His Excellency in Council also directs that Rule 3 (*c*) of Section 25 be cancelled.

R. B. CHAPMAN,
Secretary to the Govt. of India.

(True Copy)

Registrar Fin: Deptt

No. 464.

Surgeon
OFFICE OF ~~INSPECTOR~~-GENERAL, I. M. D.,
Fort Saint George, 9 December 1873.

From

Surgeon
INSPECTOR-GENERAL EDWARD BALFOUR,
Surgeon
~~Inspector~~ General ~~of Hospitals~~,
Indian Medical Department,
Fort Saint George,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.
Financial Department.
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

I have the honor to re-enclose the Draft Notification in letter No. 3698 of the Government of India, on the subject of Civil Sick leave, which on the 31st October, was referred for my remarks.

2. I have the honor to report that in the Madras Presidency, the distances are great from one station to another, and there are many Civil stations with only one, or at most two, Covenanted Medical Officers. Out of 28 Civil Stations there are only two, in which there are two medical officers and only three where there are more than two, Medical men, - and that would be a difficulty, which, if the proposed modification be introduced, would necessitate a Madras Civil Servant going to some considerable distance, if

if he have to appear personally. I recommend that personal appearance be only commanded when possible, and that the countersignature of the Surgeon General be held to be sufficient after personal examination, or after perusal of the medical case when the patient is un-able to appear. These have been the cus-toms up to the introduction of the new rules which form the subject of the present corres-pondence.

3. In para. 2 of the proposed amendments it is suggested to cancel "rule 3 (c) of section 25". There seems to be some error in the quotation, as there is no clause c to rule 3. But if it be intended to abolish clause c under rule 1, it would happen that Of-ficers serving in Burmah must either visit Calcutta or Madras for countersignature, or if unable to ap-pear, must send the certificate to either of those towns, and incur the risk of long delay before they could proceed on their homeward voyage, a measure which was not long ago regarded by the Government

Government of India as unnecessary, and to remedy which, clause c of rule 1, was 90 introduced.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

Edwar Balfour Surgn Genl

Surgeon General.

Indian Medical Dept.

Financial Department.

No. 12

To

The Secretary to the Government
of India,
Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to the
letter in the Financial
Department dated 22nd October 1873
No 3698, I am directed to forward
for the consideration of the
Government of India, copy of
a letter from the ~~Surgeon~~
General ~~of Hospitals~~, Indian
Medical Dept, dated 9th
ultimo, and to state that
in the opinion of this Govt
the proposed alteration
of rule 26 of section 25
of the Civil Leave Code
is not suitable
~~it is not possible to make~~

1873.

From The Inspector-General E. Balfour,
Inspector-General of Hospitals,
Indian Medical Department,
Fort Saint George

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George

No 3308

Dated 7th December
Despatched —th —
Received 12th December
No. 404.
Subject. 22 & 24.

Remarks. Re Buff Uniforms
in the Subsidiary Civil Service
with his remarks

in this Presidency and that
exemption from observance
if it would have to be the rule.

[illegible]

Ex Office
5 Jany 74

18 *Department.*

No.

To
The Secretary to the
Government of
Department.

Dated 187 .

Finance

1874.

Financial DEPARTMENT

159

From

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George,

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Financial Department.

Dated Jan. 5 1874.

Recd.

No. 12.
Enclosure.

Nos. 20. 23.

Civil Leave Code. Letter from the Surg. Genl. I. M. Dept. regarding the proposed alteration of Rule 2b of Sec. 25 of the Code forwd. to the Govt. of India with the opinion of this Govt. that the alteration is unsuited to this Presy. & that Exemption from observance of would have to be the rule.