M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 12.

(2) Date of repair 9-4-40.

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

Letter G.O. No.	1391	Press Misc.
202-204		
Dated	29-9-	1873.

7/8

203-204

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 203. Read the following letter from R. B. CHAPMAN, Esq., Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to the Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Simla, 30th August 1873, Circular No. 2583 :—

Under the rules now in force, promotion for short periods and with retrospective effect is often given to members of the Covenanted Civil Service, and numerous entries and alterations are thereby rendered necessary in the registers kept up in the Offices of Account.

2. Such promotions were not necessary under the old rules, because acting allowance was not given for a grade promotion, or for any promotion which did not involve a real change of duties or did not last for a month, and it is, therefore, proposed to add the rule noted in the margin as Section 4-B, Chapter II., of the Acting Allowance Code. It is requested that the Government of India may be favoured with an opinion on the subject by the Government of Madras.

An officer appointed to officiate in an appointment with *retrospective* effect shall have no claim to acting allowance if the appointment to officiate involved no change of place, or no change in the nature of the officer's duties, and did not last for more than a week.

No. 204. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated Ootacamund, 29th September 1873, No. 1391.

With reference to your Circular, dated the 30th August 1873, No. 2583, I am directed to state that the Governor in Council considers that the proposed addition to Section 4-B, Chapter II., of the Acting Allowance Code is very unadvisable, as being calculated to raise innumerable petty and irritating controversies to result in no possible material advantage to the State, but to operate, if at all, disadvantageously for the junior members of the Service as compared with the existing system.

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

1873.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

From
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort St. George,

To
The Secretary to the Govt. of ~~India~~,
Financial Department.

Dated 29th September 1873.

Recd.

No. 1391.

Enclosure.

SEPTEMBER.
Nos. 203-204.

Acting Allowance Code.—Government of India informed of the opinion of this Government on their proposal to add a rule to the Code respecting the acting allowance of officers promoted for short periods with *retrospective* effect.

No. 2583.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

CIRCULAR.

To The Secretary to the Govt of Madras

SIMLA, THE 30TH AUGUST 1873.

UNDER the rules now in force, promotion for short periods and with retrospective effect is often given to members of the Covenanted Civil Service, and numerous entries and alterations are thereby rendered necessary in the registers kept up in the Offices of Account.

Such promotions were not necessary under the old rules, because acting allowance was not given for a grade promotion, or for any promotion which did not involve a real change of duties, or did not last for a month, and it is, therefore, proposed to add the rule noted in the margin as Section 4 B, Chapter II, of the Acting Allowance Code. It is requested that the Government of India may be favored with an opinion on the subject by the Govt of Madras

* An officer appointed to officiate in an appointment with *retrospective* effect, shall have no claim to acting allowance, if the appointment to officiate involved no change of place, or no change in the nature of the officer's duties, and did not last for more than a week.

H. Waterman

Secretary to the Govt. of India.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

To

CIRCULAR No. 2583.

Dated Simla, 30th August 1873.

Received

Financial Department.

No. 1391.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of India,
Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to Your Circular dated the 30th August 1873, No. 2583, I am directed to state that the Governor in Council considers that the proposed addition to Section 4 B, Chapter II of the Acting Allowance Code is very inadvisable, as being calculated to raise innumerable petty and irritating controversies, to result in no possible material advan-

-tage

advantage to the State,
but to operate, if at all,
~~then~~, disadvantageously
for the junior members
of the service as compared
with the existing system.

(Ordered in Council)

26th Septr. 1873.

{ Ootacamund
29th Septr. 1873

10/- Libs
18 Ldd

Additional Rule to the Acting Allowance Code.

187 DEPARTMENT.

No.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of
Department.

Dated 187 ,

9

Financial Department.

No.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of India,
Financial Department.

Sir,

In transmitting as directed in Council

With reference to your Circular dated the 30th August 1873 No. 2583, I am directed to state that the Governor in Council sees no objection to the addition of the proposed rule to Section 4 B. Chapter II of the Acting Allowance Code, viz:- "An officer appointed to officiate in an appointment with retrospective effect, shall have no claim to acting allowance, if the appointment to officiate involved no change of place

see below

place, or no change in the nature of the Officer's duties, and did not last for more than a week."

Ordered
September 1873

I Think this should be "and" in both cases. I see no reason

Mr Secretary

Is there any change of place or change of duty with Entitlement to the allowance, or is the attribution of "or" & "and" would require to be change of place & change of duty to entitle.

Assuming any time a Pt. Assist. taking charge of the Pt. in that change of station could claim the Depty allowance but not so. if "or" be changed to "and" —

I think so — I presume it is intended that change of place, even if there is no change — nature of duties, shall give claim to the allowance

I very much object to this proposal of the Account. Depart. of the Government of India.

It is not a large matter, but it is just one of those meddlings by Account. Clerks with the Administration which should be put down.

The reason for the change is an exaggeration put forward to excuse a nasty clipping. The amount to be saved is most trifling and hits the lower grade of officers.

The circumstances are these:- When an Officer - E.g a Collector - leaves his District suddenly or before arrangement can be made for his locum tenens taking up the District, the charge devolves on a Head Assistant or some junior Officer and are retrospectively put him in charge to enable him to draw the few days allowance.

That the allowances are justly due is shewn by the admission that if in charge for a week they shall be available - the minimum used to be a month

Why then meddle with the little perquisite of young Officers who attach much importance to the small matter?

24/4 JMcL

11

18 . Department.

No.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of

Department.

Dated 187 .