M.R.O. 1—77,005—4-6-31.

(1) Number of pages 14

(2) Date of repair 9-1-1940

(3) Initials of the Reference

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	Press / Mis.
Dated	18 ..

94-95 11/12

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 94. Read the following letter from the Acting Director of Public Instruction, to the Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Madras, 28th June 1872, No. 1378:—

Mr. Garthwaite, in the accompanying letter, No. 676, of the 22nd June, points out that, under Rule 4 of the Local Fund Rules, the President is required to consult the Inspector of Schools in the nomination of Educational Officers, but that in the case of Municipalities there is no similar provision.

2. I concur with Mr. Garthwaite in considering that the omission should be formally supplied, and that what is now the practice in Malabar should be made the rule in all districts.

From L. GARTHWAITE, Esq., Inspector of Schools, 6th Division, to the Acting Director of Public Instruction, dated Calicut, 22nd June 1872, No. 676.

With reference to the enclosed correspondence, I have the honour to request that you will, if on consideration it seems to you advisable, move Government to pass an order assimilating the practice in regard to appointments in Municipal Schools to that obtaining in regard to Union Schools. With regard to the latter, the Local Fund Rules* lay down that "it will be the duty of the President, in the nomination of Educational Officers, to consult the Inspector of Schools for the Division," as in the nomination of medical subordinates to consult the Inspector-General, Indian Medical Department, Government justly considering that neither body nor mind should be committed to the care of men whose skill is not duly certified by proper authority. By, I presume, an oversight the nomination of employés, whether educational or medical is omitted in the list of points given in Rule LXXIV. on which *mutatis mutandis* the Local Fund Rules are to be applicable to Municipalities.

* Rule IV.

2. It is scarcely necessary for me to allege reasons on the above point. The same reasons apply that make such consultation advisable in the case of Union Schools. As to the manner, I would suggest that, when a schoolmaster is wanted for a Municipal School, the Commissioners should apply to the Inspector to supply, from his register of qualified candidates for employment, a list of suitable men. From these the Commissioners can select their nominee whom the President, if he has no objection, will appoint. The present practice in some places is for the Commissioners to nominate a man, the Inspector being then asked to state whether he is fit. The man so selected is not improbably one who has interest with one at least of the Commissioners, perhaps this is his sole claim to the appointment. If he is rejected, a certain degree of disfavour is likely to attach to any one recommended in his place. I, therefore, prefer the way I have mentioned above as the least invidious, and I should feel obliged if some stress is laid on this point. I understand that it is not always easy to maintain an *entente cordiale* with these local bodies, and anything likely to disturb it should be avoided as much as possible.

3. In conclusion, I beg to state that this point has been raised by me merely to have the point authoritatively settled in case it should arise elsewhere. I have much pleasure in acknowledging (and I should be glad if this were noticed if the question is laid before Government) that the present President of the Malabar Boards allows the local educational authorities every legitimate influence in matters belonging to their department. But it would be too much to expect that the relations of the department with all Presidents can always be marked by the same harmonious spirit of co-operation that exists in the present instance, and it can do no harm to have the question raised and settled.

From L. GARTHWAITE, Esq., Inspector of Schools, 6th Division, to the President of the Municipal Commission, Malabar, dated Calicut, 11th May 1872, No. 461.

I have the honour to bring to your notice that I am informed by the Deputy Inspector of Schools for Malabar that the Municipal Commissioners are not in the habit of consulting the

Inspector of Schools for the Division in the nomination of Educational Officers. And I find my records have nothing to show that my acting predecessor was consulted in such appointments as have been already made, some of which, I am informed, are not the most suitable. I beg to be informed whether the Municipal Commissioners are bound to consult the Inspector of Schools before making educational appointments. If not I would suggest that Government be applied to for an order on the point.

From the President of the Municipal Commission, Malabar, to the Inspector of Schools, 6th Division, dated Calicut, 20th June 1872, No. 43.

With reference to your letter of the 11th May 1872, I have the honour to inform you that no orders have been issued by Government obliging Municipal Commissioners to consult the Educational Department on the subject of the appointments of masters.

2. The power of appointing, however, is entirely vested in the President, and I, as President of the five Municipalities in Malabar, should never think of appointing a schoolmaster without referring to the Educational Department. As yet no actual appointments have been made except one by some mistake in Tellicherry, those in the Kalpaty School at Palghaut having been merely transfers of the branch Rate School. The Commissioners of Calicut, Tellicherry, and Cochin are arranging for the opening of schools, and I am this day addressing you on the subject of the masters proposed for Calicut.

No. 95. ORDER THEREON, 20th July 1872, No. 916.

Mr. Garthwaite, in his letter above recorded, requests that an order may be passed assimilating the practice in regard to appointments in Municipal Schools to that obtaining in regard to Union Schools on Local Funds.

2. Under Rule IV. of the Local Fund Rules it is laid down that it is the duty of the President, in nominating Educational Officers, to consult the Inspector of Schools for the Division. In Municipalities, however, the power of appointing such officers is at present vested solely in the Presidents.

3. The Government concur with Mr. Garthwaite in opinion that, when a master is wanted for a Municipal School, the President of the Municipal Commission should apply to the Inspector of Schools of the Division to supply, from his register of qualified candidates, a list of suitable men, and the President, unless he sees reason to the contrary, should make his selection from this list.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Presidents of all Municipal Commissions.
" Director of Public Instruction.
" Board of Revenue.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of 1872 Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

The PRESIDENTS of the SEVERAL MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONS, &c., &c., &c.

Dated July, 20th.

Rcd. *Read.*

G. O., *20th July* 1872, No. 916.

JULY.
Nos. 94-95.

Approving the suggestion of Mr. Garthwaite, Inspector of Schools, that, as in the case of Union Schools, the Municipal Presidents should be required to consult the Division Inspector of Schools when nominating Educational Officers.

A /

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

No. 1378

MADRAS, 28th June 1872.

From

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras.

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT,
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

Mr. Garthwaite in the accompanying letter, No 676, of the 22nd June points out, that under Rule 24 of the Local Fund Rules, the President is required to consult the Inspector of Schools in the nomination of Educational Officers, but that in the case of Municipalities, there is no similar provision.

2 I concur with Mr. Garthwaite in Considering that the omission should be formally supplied, and that what is now the practice in Malabar should be made the rule in all Districts.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant
R. H. Hardwicke
Ag Director of Public Instruction

P. C.S.

No. 676. Inspectors Office,
Calicut, 22d. June 1872.

From
The Inspector of Schools,
6th Division.

To
The Asst. Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to the enclosed correspondence, I have the honor to request that you will, if on consideration it seems to you advisable, move Government to pass an order assimilating the practice in regard to appointments in Municipal schools to that obtaining in regard to Union Schools. With regard to the latter, the Local Fund Rules* lay down that "it will be the duty of the President in the nomination of Educational officers to consult the Inspector of Schools for the division" as in the nomination of Medical subordinates to consult the Inspector General, Indian Medical Department, Govern-

*Rule IV.

ment

Dept. 1872.
From
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.
To
The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department,
Fort Saint George.

Dated 25 July
Received 29 July
1872.

No. 1272
Enclosures.

No. 1760

Submitting a letter from the Inspector of Schools 6th Division regarding the case of Kunnoth Ahmad the Principal of the Municipal Schools Calicut and requesting the sanction of the Government to the appointment of Educational officers.

ment justly considering that neither
body nor mind should be committed
to the care of men whose skill is not
duly certified by proper authority. By,
I presume, an oversight, the nomination
of employés, whether educational or
medical, is omitted in the list of
points given in Rule LXXIV on which
mutatis mutandis, the Local Fund
Rules are to be applicable to Municipalities.

2. It is scarcely necessary for me
to allege reasons on the above points.
The same reasons apply that make such
consultation advisable in the case
of Union schools. As to the manner,
I would suggest that when a school
master is wanted for a Municipal
the Commissioners should apply to
the Inspector to supply, from his register
of qualified candidates for employ-
ment, a list of suitable men. From
these the Commissioners can select their
nominee, whom the Inspector, if he has
no objection, will appoint. The present
practice in some places is for the Com-
missioners to nominate a man, the
Inspector being then asked to state
whether he is fit. The man so selected
is not improbably one who has interest
with one at least of the Commissioners -
perhaps this is his sole claim to the
appointment - If he is rejected, a
certain degree of disfavour is likely
to attach to any one recommended in
his place - I, therefore, prefer the way
I have mentioned above, as the least
invidious, and I should feel obliged
if some stress is laid on this point.
I understand that it is not always
easy to maintain an entente cordiale
with these local bodies and anything
likely to disturb it should be avoid-
ed

-ed as much as possible.

In conclusion I beg to state that this point has been raised by me merely to have the point authoritatively settled in case it should arise elsewhere. I have much pleasure in acknowledging (and I should be glad if this were noticed, if the question is laid before Government) that the Presidents of the Malabar Boards allows the local Educational authorities every legitimate influence in matters belonging to their department. But it would be too much to expect that the relations of the department with all presidents can always be marked by the same harmonious spirit

spirit of co-operation that exists in the present instance and it can do no harm to have the question raised and settled.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
L. Garthwaite
Inspector of Schools

No. 461. Calcutta 11th May 1872.

From
The Inspector of Schools
to
The President
Municipal Commissioners
Cuddalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that I am informed by the Deputy Inspector of Schools Cuddalore that the Municipal Commissioners are not in the habit of consulting the Inspector of Schools for the Division, in the nomination of Educational officers. And I find my records have nothing to show that my acting predecessor was consulted in such appointments as have been already made, some of which I am informed are not the most suitable. I beg to be informed whether the Municipal Commissioners are bound to consult the

No. 461.
From
The Inspector of Schools
Cuddalore 27th June 1872.

Subject
Requesting that Copies may be issued of the orders appointing the Inspectors and Deputy Inspectors of Schools and Assistants.

the Inspectors of Schools before making Educational appointments - If not I would suggest that Government be applied to for an order on the point.

Have the honor &c

(Signed) L. Garthwaite,
Inspector of Schools
6 D

True Copy

L. Garthwaite
Inspector of Schools
6 D

To
The Director of Public Instruction

Financial Department. 7

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from the Director of Public Instruction dated 28 June 1872 No 1378.

Order thereon. - Ordered 20th July 1872 No 916

Mr Garthwaite, in his letter above recorded, requests that an order may be passed assimilating the practice in regard to appointments in Municipal Schools, to that obtaining in regard to Union Schools on Cess Funds.

2. Under Rule IV of the Local Fund rules, it is laid down that it is the duty of the President, in nominating Educational officers, to consult the Inspector of Schools for the Division - In Municipalities, however, the power of appointing such officers is, at present, vested solely in the President

3.

3- Her Govt concur with Dr Garthwaite, ~~and~~ the Junior Considers that when a Master is wanted for a Municipal School, the President of the Municipal Commission should apply to the Inspector of Schools of the Division, to supply, from his register of qualified Candidates, a list of suitable men, and the President, unless he sees reason to the contrary, should make his selection from this list.-

Lord

L.B.

To. The Pres.d ~~&~~ all Comm.rs - 5 Copies
— Director of Pub. Instr.n 2 Copies
— Board of Revenue 14 Copies
170 [illegible]

Financial Department.

No. 1378

From The Director of Public Instruction;

Dated 28th June 1872.

FOR ORDERS.

I think not. For Garthwaite's view is correct and must be acted upon when occasion arises.

B. N.

Govt. of {1872} Madras.

Financial.

To

The Presdt. of the Local Fund Commns &c.

Dated July. 20
Recd. } 1872, No. 910.

Nos. 94. 95.

Approving the suggestion of Mr Cartwait Insp. of Schools that, as in the case of Union Schools, the Municipal Presdts should be required to Consult the Division Insp. of Schools when nominating Edl. Officers.