M.R.O. 1—77,066—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 20

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

## Government of Madras.

Finance DEPARTMENT.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| G.O. No. 1667 | | Press ~~Mis.~~ |
| 102-105, | | |
| Dated 11-12- | 1872. | |

11/12

**Not to be folded or roughly handled**

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,*
*11th December* 1872.

Read the following papers :—

No. 102. From the Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Fort St. George, 10th September 1872, No. 354.

Book Department.

I have the honour to bring to your notice that the Controller of Public Works Accounts, in his Exchange Account for March 1872, has charged Local Funds with Rupees 9,21,911-14-0 on account of establishments. I called his attention to paragraph 10 of G. O., No. 336, of 20th March 1872, Financial Department, and asked him for an explanation, and from his reply,* copy enclosed, I learn that in his report to Government, dated 10th August 1872, he has explained his reasons.

* No. 1196 of 29th August 1872.

2. I forward, herewith, a statement showing the grants for petty and general establishments, Rupees 1,21,620 + 5,79,120, aggregating 7,00,740. The amount paid by Revenue Officers for petty establishment, including payment for establishment charged by the Controller in February 1872, is in all Rupees 3,757-14-11, whereby the aggregate grant stands reduced to Rupees 6,96,982-1-1. Of this sum the Collectors in their Local Fund Accounts have adjusted Rupees 6,19,720, leaving unadjusted Rupees 77,262-1-1, of which Rupees 67,760 will be adjusted by this office against Local Funds ; this sum is made up of charges for petty establishment omitted to be adjusted by certain Collectors. The difference (Rupees 9,502-1-1) is the balance in the Tinnevelly and Salem Districts from the grants for petty establishments, after deducting payments made by the Collectors, and I should be glad to know whether the same is to be charged on account of Public Works Establishments to the Local Funds of those districts. If this be authorized, there will be a difference between the Controller's and the Collectors' accounts of Rupees 2,24,929-12-11, or otherwise 2,34,431-14-0, and I request instructions as to whether I should take this amount against Provincial Funds, adding the same to the amount already charged to those funds for establishment of the Public Works Department, as appears to be the intention of Government in their orders above quoted.

| | | Rs. | A. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Controller's charge for establishment ... ... ... | | 9,21,911 | 14 | 0 |
| Charged by Collectors ... ... ... ... | 6,19,720 | | | |
| To be adjusted for petty establishment in final accounts, the same having been omitted by Collectors ... ... ... ... | 67,760 | | | |
| | | 6,87,480 | 0 | 0 |
| | | 2,34,431 | 14 | 0 |
| Balance of grant for petty establishment in Tinnevelly and Salem after payment by Revenue Department ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | | 9,502 | 1 | 1 |
| | | 2,49,929 | 12 | 11 |

3. I request the favour of early orders to enable me to close the accounts for Provincial, Local, and Special Funds for March 1872, which have been called for in your Official Memorandum, No. 233, of the 6th instant.

From the Officiating Controller of Public Works Accounts, to the Accountant-General, dated George, 29th August 1872, No. 1196.

With reference to your l[illegible] No. 3434, dated 1st August 1872, I have the honour to state that the subjoined corrections were made in the accounts of this office for March 1872 subsequent to the submission of the accounts for that month to your office and to the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, and that by an oversight the corrections were omitted to be reported to you.

2. As regards paragraph 2 of your letter, I have to inform you that the circumstances under which the Local Funds were debited with a larger amount on account of establishment than that provided in the budget are fully explained in paragraphs 19 to 25 of Mr. Brock's report* to Government on the Public Works Accounts for 1871-72, copy of which is herewith forwarded.

* Dated 10th August 1872.

3. I have also to state that the Controller has submitted to Government his opinion on the proposed modifications in the Local Fund Rules referred to in paragraph 8 of Financial Orders, No. 336, dated 20th March 1872.

| *For* | | | *Enter* | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 42,135 | 8 | 9 | 42,146 | 8 | 9 | To Provincial Services, Tools and Plant. |
| 31,198 | 0 | 0 | 31,154 | 0 | 0 | To Local Fund Expenditure, Tools and Plant. |

---

No. 103. From the Officiating Accountant-General, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Fort St. George, 9th October 1872, No. 421.

Book Department, P. W. B.

With reference to the Order of Government in the Financial Department, No. 1279, dated the 26th ultimo, paragraph 2, I have the honour to explain that the supplemental account for March 1872 can only be closed by Local Fund Boards after the expenditure charged by the Public Works Department on account of Local Funds for March last has been communicated by this office. Reference having been made to Government in my No. 354 of the 10th idem, regarding the charges on account of establishment as communicated by the Controller of Public Works Accounts, the expenditure has not been communicated to the Collectors pending orders that may be passed thereon.

---

No. 104. From the Accountant-General, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Fort St. George, 3rd December 1872, No. 521.

Book Department.

With reference to your Official Memorandum, No. 311, of yesterday's date, Financial Department, I have the honour to draw your attention to letters from this office noted marginally, in the former of which the orders of Government were requested to debit to Provincial Funds the amount charged to Local Funds by the Controller of Public Works Accounts for establishments in excess of the Budget grants of those funds on that account. So soon as I am in receipt of the requisite orders no time will be lost in submitting

No. 354 of 10th September 1872.
,, 421 of 9th October ,,



the final abstracts of receipts and disbursements for March 1871 on account of Provincial, Special, and Local Funds.

---

No. 105. ORDER THEREON, 11th December 1872, No. 1667.

The Government sanction the debit to Provincial Funds of the sum of Rupees 2,24,929-12-11, being the excess of the charge for establishment on account of Local Fund Works over the amount provided from Local Funds in the Budget for 1871-72.

2. The sum of Rupees 9,052-1-1, which remains as a balance of the Budget grants for petty establishments in the Salem and Tinnevelly Districts, must be charged to the respective circles according to the amount of Budget grant for each.

(True Extract.)

W. HUDLESTON,
*Chief Secretary.*

To the Accountant-General.
,, Public Works Department.
,, Board of Revenue.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of [1872] Madras

FINANCIAL.

To

The ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated December, 11th.

Read.

G. O., 11th December 1872, No. 1667.

DECEMBER.
Nos. 102—105.

Sanctioning the debit to Provincial Funds of the sum of Rupees 2,24,929-12-11, being the excess of the charge for establishment on account of Local Fund Works over the amount provided from Local Funds in the Budget for 1871-72, and giving instructions respecting the sum of Rupees 9,052-1-1, which remains as a balance of the Budget grants for petty establishments in the Salem and Tinnevelly Districts.

1

~~Treasury.~~

DEPARTMENT.

No. 354

1591

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
10th September 1872.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To The Chief Secretary to Government

SIR,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that, the Controller of Public Works accounts, in his Exchange Account for March 1872, has charged Local Funds with Rs. 9,21,911-14-11 on account of Establishments. I called his attention to para 10 of G.O. No. 336 of 20th March 1872 Financial Department and asked him for an explanation, and from his reply Copy enclosed, I learn that in his report to Government dated 10th Augt. 1872, he has explained his reasons.

No. 1106 of 29th Augt. 1872

2 I forward herewith a Statement, shewing the grants for Petty and General Establishments, Rs. 12,1620 + 579120 aggregating 700740. The Amount paid by Revenue Officers for Petty Establishment, including payment for establishment charged by the Controller in February 1872, is, in all Rs. 3757-14-11

I have

whereby the aggregate grant stands reduced
to Rs.696,982-1-1. Of this sum the Collectors
in their Local Fund Accounts have adjusted
Rs.619,720-0-0, leaving unadjusted Rs.77,262-1-1,
of which Rs.67760 will be adjusted by this
Office against Local Funds; this sum
is made up of charges for Petty Establishment
omitted to be adjusted by certain Collectors.
The difference Rs.9,502-1-1) in the balance
in the Trinomaly and Salem Districts,
from the Grants for Petty Establishments,
after deducting payments made by the
Collectors, and I should be glad to know
whether the same is to be charged on account
of Public Works Establishments to the Local
Funds of those Districts. If this be auth-
-rized, there will be a difference between
the Controller's and the Collectors' accounts
of Rs.224929-12-11, or otherwise 234431-14-0,
and I request instructions as to whether
I should take this amount against Provincial
Funds, adding the same to the amount
already charged to those Funds for
Establishment of the Public Works Depart-
-ment, as appears to be the intention of
Government in their orders above quoted.
3. I request the favor of early orders
to enable me to close the accounts for
Provincial Local and Special Funds
for March 1872 which have been called
for in your Official Memo: No.233 of the
1st Instant.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obdt Servt

Harvey

Offg Accountt General

Calcutta 23rd April 1872
Examined
Rupees 234431-14-0
Balance Rupees 224929-12-11
Rupees 9501-1-1
Rupees 67760
Rupees 77262-1-1
Rupees 619720
Rupees 696982-1-1
Rupees 2,34,431-14-0
Rupees 9501-1-1
Rupees 224929-12-11

Office of the Controller of
Public Works Accounts
Fort St George
29th August 1872.

No. 1196.

From

The Offg. Controller of
Public Works Accounts.

To

The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 3134 dated 1st August 1872 I have the honor to State that the subjoined Corrections were made in the accounts of this Office for March 1872, subsequent to the Submission of the accounts for that month to your Office and to the Accountant General Public Works Department and that by an oversight the corrections were omitted to be reported to you.

2. As regards Para 2 of your letter I have to inform you that the circumstances under which the Local Funds were debited with a larger Amount on account of Establishment than that provided in the Budget are fully explained in Paras 19 to 25 of the Brodie Report to Government on the Public Works Accounts for 1871-72 Copy of which is herewith forwarded.

3. I have also to State that the Controller has submitted to Govt his opinion on the proposed modifications in the Local Fund Rules referred to in Para 8 of Financial Orders No. 336 dated 20th March 1872.

| For | Enter | |
|---|---|---|
| 42135-8-9 | 42146-8-9 | To Provincial Services Tools and Plant |
| 31198-[illegible] | 31154-[illegible] | To Local Fund Expenditure Tools & Plant |

I have &c
(Sd) D. B. Traill Capt R.E.
Offg Contr. of P.W. Accts

True Copy
Elaiah

Comp. No. 1 Appd to the Accts Genl

---

From The Offg. Controller of Public Works Accounts. Madras.
To The Accountant General Madras.
Dated 29th August 1872.
Subject. Corrections omitted to be reported.

---

To The Chief Secretary to Government
S.G. 1667 Fort St George
Dated 10th September 1872
Received 11th Dec 1872

No. 354

Enclosures.

Copy of Letter from Orders on the Difference between the Amount Exhibited by the Controller of Public Works Accounts on account of Establishment and that Sanctioned by Collectors.

Received 16
Entered & Returned 19 September 1872.

J Grose
Secretary.

S-O-Wc-1667-11 4th Decr 1872 Fruit Dept

No. 478—16-10-69—1000.

Book

Post-Audit Department.

P. W. B.

No. 421

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
9th October 1872.

103

From

The ~~Deputy~~ Offg. Accountant General, Madras.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to the order of Government in the Financial Department No. 1279 dated the 26th Ultimo, para 2, I have the honor to explain, that the Supplemental account for March 1872 can only be closed by Local Fund Boards after the Expenditure charged by the Public Works Department on account of Local Funds for March last has been communicated by this Office - Reference having been made to Government in my No. 354 of the 10th idem, regarding the charges on account of Establishment as communicated by the Controller of Public Works Account the expenditure has not been communicated to the Collectors pending orders that be passed thereon.-

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Obedient Servant.-

Books Department. ~~Treasury.~~

No. 521

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
3d December 1872.

From The ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
to the GOVERNMENT of MADRAS.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memo: No. 311 of yesterday's date Financial Department, I have the honor to draw your attention to Letters from this Office noted marginally in the former of which the orders of Government were requested to debit to Provincial Funds the amount charged to Local Funds by the Controller Public Works Accounts for Establishments in excess of the Budget Grants of those Funds on that account. So soon as I am in receipt of the requisite orders, no time will be lost in submitting the final Abstracts of Receipts & Disbursements for March 1871, on account of Provincial, Special and Local Funds.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most Obed.t Servt.

F. Turnbull
Accountant General

No. 354 of 10th Sept.r 1872
No. 421 of 9th Oct.r 1872

770
+
2034

Compd. G.J.

Dept.t
ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE, MADRAS.
No.
Dated 3d Dec.r 1872
From
The Accountant General
To
The Chief Secy to Govt
Madras
S.G. 1647
Dated 3d Dec.r 1872
Recd. 4th
Answd.
(No. of Answer
Fo 11 Accts 52
Subject.
Stating that the Board cannot close the Account under Roads & Communications & Communicating orders regarding which the orders of Govt are awaited

5 1381

Financial 1872 Department.

From The Acctt. General to the Govt. of Madras.

To The Chief Secretary to Govt.

S. O. 16 to 7 2/11

Dated 3rd December 1872

Received 4th December 1872

Fort St. George, 2nd Dec. 1872.

No. 521

Enclosures. Nos 2 to 81

Statements &c.

I have the honor to forward herewith for the information of Government, the accompanying Statement shewing the Grants for Petty and General Establishment on account of Public Works Department from Local Funds in 1871-72 the adjustment made by Collectors and by the Controller of Public Works Accounts.

| District or Office and Circles. | Grant for Petty Establishments. | Deduct Expenditure by Local Officers. | Net. | Grant for Public Works Supervision. | Total Five Preceding Columns | Adjusted by Collectors. | Remaining to be adjusted in Final Accounts. | Controller's Debits. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ganjam { Chatterpore | 2140 | | 2140 | 12990 | 16130 | [illegible] | 2140 | 24782 |
| Ganjam { Chicacole | 1580 | | 1580 | 6680 | 8260 | [illegible] | 1580 | 11473 |
| Vizagapatam { Vizagapatam | 2150 | | 2150 | 12960 | 18110 | [illegible] | 3150 | 28327 |
| Vizagapatam { Nursapatam | 1570 | | 1570 | 4300 | 5870 | [illegible] | 1570 | 10827 |
| Godavery { Cocanada | 3720 | | 3720 | 29300 | 33020 | [illegible] | 3720 | 37232 |
| Godavery { Rajahmundry | 4140 | | 4140 | 31050 | 35190 | [illegible] | 4140 | 45320 |
| Kistna { Masulipatam | 5500 | | 5500 | 21150 | 27650 | [illegible] | " | 30953 |
| Kistna { Guntoor Circle | 5500 | | 5500 | 12820 | 25320 | [illegible] | " | 24918 |
| Nellore | Nellore | 6290 | 6290 | 29550 | 35840 | [illegible] | " | 61282 |
| Bellary { Bellary | 2120 | | 2120 | 15720 | 18340 | [illegible] | " | 24021 |
| Bellary { Penmahonda | 1320 | | 1320 | 6650 | 7970 | [illegible] | " | 7548 |
| Bellary { Goorty | 3420 | | 3420 | 17960 | 21380 | [illegible] | " | 17259 |
| Kurnool | Kurnool | 4720 | 4720 | 28600 | 33320 | [illegible] | 4720 | 32421 |
| Cuddapah { Cuddapah | 2130 | | 2130 | 12100 | 16530 | [illegible] | 2130 | 20185 |
| Cuddapah { Madanapully | 2870 | | 2870 | 9260 | 12130 | [illegible] | 2870 | 12670 |
| Chingleput { Saydapet | 2320 | | 2320 | 14600 | 16920 | [illegible] | 2320 | 27564 3 10 |
| Chingleput { Chingleput | 2550 | | 2550 | 10790 | 13340 | [illegible] | 2550 | 14883 |
| North Arcot { Chittoor | 6140 | | 6140 | 24300 | 30440 | [illegible] | 6140 | 39728 |
| North Arcot { Vellore | 1720 | | 1720 | 7450 | 9170 | [illegible] | 1720 | 10485 |
| North Arcot { Cuddalore | 2190 | | 2190 | 21870 | 25560 | [illegible] | " | 40746 |
| North Arcot { Verdachellum | 2470 | | 2470 | 11340 | 13810 | [illegible] | " | 20684 |
| Tanjore { Tanjore | 4710 | | 4710 | 22460 | 27170 | [illegible] | 4710 | 28367 |
| Tanjore { Negapatam | 4720 | | 4720 | 22830 | 27550 | [illegible] | 4720 | 41208 |
| Trichinopoly | Trichinopoly | 6290 | 6290 | 19120 | 25410 | [illegible] | 6290 | 32895 |
| Madura { Madura | 2870 | | 2870 | 19540 | 22210 | [illegible] | " | 26572 |
| Madura { Dyndigul | 2050 | | 2050 | 12560 | 14610 | [illegible] | " | 16412 |
| Tinnevelly { Tinnevelly | 5240 | 1063 7 5 | 4176 8 7 | 14630 | 23700 8 7 | [illegible] | 4176 8 7 | 28905 |
| Tinnevelly { Shermadevy | 2620 | 247 15 1 | 2372 2 11 | 9190 | 11562 2 11 | [illegible] | 2372 2 11 | 12000 |
| Coimbatore { Northern | 2380 | | 2380 | 11820 | 14150 | [illegible] | " | 22644 |
| Coimbatore { Southern | 2240 | | 2240 | 11550 | 13790 | [illegible] | " | 20274 |
| Salem { Salem | 2600 | 1717 10 5 | 1382 5 7 | 4200 | 6082 5 7 | [illegible] | 1382 5 7 | 12060 |
| Salem { Rasipoor | 1800 | 729 " " | 1071 " " | 4000 | 5101 | [illegible] | 1071 | 2892 |
| Neilgherries | Neilgherry | 1670 | 1670 | 8440 | 10080 | [illegible] | 1670 | 11736 |
| Malabar { Calicut | 2880 | | 2880 | 30350 | 33230 | [illegible] | 2880 | 57537 10 2 |
| Malabar { Tellicherry | 1580 | | 1580 | 16690 | 18270 | [illegible] | 1580 | 24724 |
| South Canara | Canara | 4860 | 4860 | 13260 | 18110 | [illegible] | 4860 | 24750 |
| | 121020 - - | 3757 14 11 | 117262 1 1 | 574120 - - | 691382 1 1 | [illegible] | 47262 11 | 421011 14 - |

x Rs 372 adjusted in terms of G.O. dt
o Rs 70 Do Do

Fort St. George.
Accountant Genl's Office.
10th September 1872.

J. Hall
Offg Accountant General

17A 6

Financial Department.

**PROCEEDINGS** of the **MADRAS GOVERNMENT.**

Read ~~the following~~ letters from the Accountant General, dated 10th September 1872, No. 4354 & 9 Octr. & 3d Decr. 1872 Nos. 421 & 521.

Order thereon 11 December 1872 No. 1167.

The Government sanction the debit to provincial funds of the sum of Rs. 2,24,927 12 4 being the excess of the charge for establishment on account of Local Fund works over the amount provided ~~as a fixed grant~~ from Local Funds in the Budget for 1871-72.

The sum of Rs. 9052-1-1 which remains as a balance of the budget grants for petty

petty establishments in the Salem and Tinnevelly Districts must ~~of course~~ be charged to the respective circles, according to the amount of budget grant for each.

H

V.P.

This is a principal necessity but there is no help for it unless the increment tallies with the increase on Bud. balance. Abstract &c. &c. Exception to paras having been raised it again.

I presume the adjustment is fixed on the actual ascertained at the close of the year.

Sd

Note

This order is drafted in accordance with para 10 G.O. d/ 2d March 1872 which runs as follows:—

"For 1871-72 the system of fixed grants was adopted for Local Fund contributions for Public Works Establishment and a sum of Rs. 5,83,190 was provided in the budget of the year. This sum, there is little doubt, will fall considerably short of the rateable share of Local Funds. The shares of each class of Funds being ascertained in the manner above prescribed, the deficiency in the provision from Local must be met from Provincial Funds. When framing the accounts for the last month of the year the Controller of Public Works Accounts will report the amount. On the fixed grant principle, each Local Fund Circle will pay for 1871-72 the sum assigned as its share for establishment in the general budget now under preparation by the Board of Revenue."

Accountant General disp n/2a
Public Works Deptt } disp 1/3
Board of Revenue. }

Pro. H

# Govt. of {1872} Madras.

Financial

To

The Accountant General.

Dated Dec. 11 }
Recd. } 1872, No. 1067.

**Nos. 102. 105.**

Sanctioning the debit to Prov. Funds of the sum of Rupees 2,24,929-12-11 being the hyer of the Charge for Rs Estt on acct of L.S. Works over the amount provided from L. Funds in the Budget for 871-72 and giving instructions respecting the Sum of Rs. 9052-1-1 wh remains as a balance of the Budget Grants for petty Rs Estts in the Salem & Tinnevelly Dts.