M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 18.

(2) Date of repair 24.2.40.

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1644 Press / Mis.

71-73.

Dated 9-12- 1872.

10/11

71-73

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department, 9th December 1872.

Read the following papers :—

No. 71. From the Acting Collector of the Godavery District, to the Officiating Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Cocanada, 4th November 1872, No. 44.

With reference to the Order of Government, Financial Department, No. 1324, dated the 4th ultimo, I have the honour to inform you that the amount has only been paid *once* to the Municipality.

2. The other transaction referred to by the Accountant-General was only debiting the amount, Rupees 1,440, to the Port Fund and crediting it to "Medical." The Municipal Commission derived no benefit from this transaction. I, therefore, have the honour to request that Government will withdraw this demand. The sum in question can be re-transferred in the Government accounts if that is necessary.

3. The letters on the subject from the Master Attendant and the Vice-President of the Commission are enclosed.

From the Master Attendant, Cocanada, to the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury, Godavery District, dated Cocanada, 31st October 1872, No. 726.

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated 29th instant, No. 657, and to inform you that the 120 Rupees which have been debited in the Port Fund Account from April 1871 to March 1872 inclusive, making in all Rupees 1,440, have not been paid to any one by this office, and the amount was simply placed against the Port Fund Account. But the aggregate amount, Rupees 1,440, was paid to the Cocanada Municipality by the Officer in charge of the Treasury on account of the Civil Dispensary or Seamen's Hospital, and appears on the records of that institution.

From the Vice-President of the Municipal Commission, Cocanada, to the Acting Collector of the Godavery District, dated Cocanada, 2nd November 1872, No. 163.

In reply to your letter, dated 24th October 1872, No. 645, I have the honour to inform you that the Accountant-General appears to be under the impression that the sum of Rupees 1,440 has been paid twice from the Port Fund to the Seamen's Hospital.

2. I have consulted the Master Attendant, and that officer informs me that the sum of Rupees 120 monthly was simply passed to the debit of the Port Fund from April 1871 to March 1872 inclusive, and after the twelve instalments had been so adjusted the aggregate sum of Rupees 1,440 was paid to the Municipality on account of the Seamen's Hospital, and this grant was only *once* charged to the Port Fund.

3. From this you will observe that the grant for the Seamen's Hospital has been paid only once, and not twice as contended for by the Accountant-General, and so the claim now made on the Municipality by that officer for the amount in question is made under a misapprehension of the case, and it is clear that no refund is due on the alleged ground of two payments.

Referred to the Accountant-General.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
13*th November* 1872.

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Officiating Chief Secretary.

No. 72. From the Accountant-General, to the Officiating Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Fort St. George, 25th November 1872, No. 505.

Treasury Account Department.

Adverting to the letter* from the Collector of Godavery, referred to me for report under docket dated 13th instant, I have the honour to state that although no cash payment was actually made at the time the sum of Rupees 1,440 was adjusted in the books of this office, by monthly instalments, to the debit of "Port Fund" and credit of "VI., Medical," yet the amount was deducted from the balance at credit of "Port Fund" in the plus and minus memorandum appended to the Collector's Treasury Account.

2. Again, a similar deduction was made when the cash payment was effected, so that there is a double debit against "Port Fund" in the accounts, and the balance of the fund has been twice reduced. The adjustment made by this office was under the authority of G. O., No. 107, of the 12th April 1871, Marine Department, but I am not aware of the authority under which the cash payment was made to the Municipality. If the cash payment was improper then a recovery has to be ordered, but if proper the adjustment made in this office has to be reversed.

* No. 44, dated 4th November 1872 (with two original enclosures herewith returned).

No. 73. ORDER THEREON, 9th December 1872, No. 1644.

As it appears from the foregoing papers that the grant for the Seamen's Hospital at Cocanada, amounting to Rupees 1,440 per annum, was not, as supposed, drawn twice over, the Municipal Commissioners of Cocanada will not be required to refund the amount paid to them.

2. The Accountant-General will carry out such adjustments in his accounts as may be necessary, and he will ensure payment being made, in future, to the Municipal Commissioners at Cocanada, monthly, of Rupees 120, and Port Funds debited with the amount.

3. Credit should not have been given in the accounts to "Medical Services, Provincial," of the amount debited to Port Funds, as there was no intention on the part of Government to take this.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of the Godavery District.
" Accountant-General.
" Master Attendant, Madras.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

Govt. of {1872} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

THE COLLECTOR OF THE
GODAVERY DISTRICT,
&c., &c.

Dated December, 9th.

Recd. Encl.

G. O., 9th December 1872, No. 1643.

DECEMBER.
Nos. 71—73.

Stating that the Municipal Commissioners will not be required to refund the amount of the grant paid to them for the Seamen's Hospital at Cocanada, as it was not, as supposed, drawn twice over.

Treasury Account Department. ~~Treasury~~.

No. 595

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
25th November 1872.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

TO THE Offg. Chief Secretary
to Government,
Fort-Saint-George.

SIR,

*No. 1442 - 4th Nov. 1872. (with 2 Original enclosures, thereunto returned)

Adverting to the letter from the Collector of Godavery, referred to me for report, under docket dated 13th Instant, I have the honor to state, that, although no Cash payment was actually made at the time the sum of Rupees 11:4:0 was adjusted in the Books of this Office, by monthly instalments, to the debit of "Port Fund" and credit of "VI Medical", yet the amount was deducted from the balance at credit of "Port Fund" in the Plus and Minus Memorandum appended to the Collector's Treasury Account.

2. Again, a similar deduction was made when the Cash payment was effected so that, there is a double debit against "Port Fund" in the Accounts, and the balance of the Fund has been twice reduced. The adjustment made by this Office, was under the Authority of Government Order, No. 107, of the 12th April 1871, Marine Department; but I am not aware of the authority under which the Cash payment was

made

only once charged in the Cash

made to the Municipality. If the Cash payment was improper, then, a recovery has to be ordered, but if proper, the adjustment made in this Office, has to be reversed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient servant,

F. Luckington

Accountant General

Rgd 4481

Municipal Office
Cocanada
2d November 1872.

No. 163 4/11/72 357.

From

A. F. Loy Esquire
Vice President
Municipal Office
Cocanada

To

W. S. Foster Esquire
Acting Collector
Godavery District
Cocanada

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 24th October 1872, No 645, I have the honor to inform you that the Accountant-General appears to be under the impression that the sum of Rs 1440 has been paid twice from the Port Fund to the Seamen's Hospital.

2 I have consulted the Master Attendant, and that Officer informs me that the sum of Rs 120 monthly were simply passed to the debit of the Port Fund from April 1871 to March 1872 inclusive, and after the twelve instalments had been so adjusted, the aggregate sum of Rs 1440 were paid to the Municipality on account of the Seamen's Hospital, and this grant was only once charged to the Port Fund.

Rgd 2/12

Financial 1872 Department.
From The Acctt. General
to the Govt. of Madras.
No 1646

To The Offg Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St George.

Copy, Accounts, Dated 25th November 1872.
Received 28th November 1872.

No. 2398
Enclosures, 3.

Reporting on the letter from the Collector of Godavery, Requesting a sum of Rupees 1440 twice debited to Port Fund.

W. R. Cornish

3 From this you will observe that the Grant for the Seamen's Hospital has been paid only once, and not twice as contended for by the Accountant-General, and so the claim now made on the Municipality by that Officer for the amount in question is made under a misapprehension of the case, and it is clear that no refund is due on the alleged ground of two payments.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Vice President

No. 44. Collectorate Kistnah
Collector's Office Journal
4th November 1872

From
W. S. Foster Esquire
Ag Collector

To
The Honorable W. Huddleston
Ag Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to the Order of Government Financial Department, No 1324 dated the 4th ultimo. I have the honor to inform You that the amount has only been paid once to the Municipality.-

2. The other transaction referred to by the Accountant General, was only debiting the amount Rupees 1640 to the Port Fund, and crediting it to "Medical". The Municipal Commission derived no benefit from this transaction.-

I therefore have the honor to request that Government will withdraw this demand. The sum in question can be re-transferred in the Government Accounts if that is necessary.

3. The letters on the subject from the Master Attendant and the Vice President of the Commission are enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
W. S. Foster
Ag Collector

Capt. D. Ramachendrama
A.D.C. to Gov.

Registered
No 1553

1/11/72

No 726.

Masters Attendants
Office Cocanada
31st October 1872

To

W. Richardson Esqre
Deputy Collector
in charge of Treasury
Godavery District
Cocanada

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 29th instant No 657 and to inform you that, the 120 Rupees which have been debited in the Port Fund Account from April 1871 to March 1872 inclusive Banking in all Rupees 1440, have not been paid to any one by this Office, and the amount was simply placed against the Port Fund Account. But the aggregate amount Rs 1440 was paid to the Cocanada Municipality by the Officer in charge of the Treasury on account of the Civil Dispensary or Seamens' Hospital, and appears on the records of that institution.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servt

John Taylor
Master Attendant

Accountant Customs Office
Recd 14 NOV 1872
Answd

Cocanada 13th November 1872

To
The Collector of Customs
Rajahmundry

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular No 2187 dated 12th instant and to inform you that the Cocanada Municipality has not been debited with the amount of Rupees 1440 for Seamens Hospital charges from April 1871 to March 1872 as no such charges have been incurred by Seamen belonging to the Port of Cocanada.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servt

L. Hunter &c
Offg Asst Secy

Ex Accountant Circular
Cocanada 12 Nov 1872

1872 Dec.

Financial Department

No 15

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read letter from the Collr Godavery Dt. 27th Nov.r 1872. No 464.

Read also the following letter from the Accountant General, dated 25th. November 1872, No 505.

Order thereon 9th Decr/72 No 1664.

As it appears from the foregoing papers that the grant for the Seamen's Hospital at Cocanada, amounting to Rupees 1640 per annum, was not, as supposed, drawn twice over, the Municipal Commissioners of Cocanada will not be required to refund the amount paid to them.

2. The Accountant General will carry out such adjustments in his accounts as may be necessary, and he will

1872 Dec.
Financial Department
Collr Godavery Dt
Dy Collr Cocanada
Accountant General
Madras
Rect
No 464
Two Letters.
9th Decr/72

Ac. Genl 2287/72

will ensure payment being made in future to the Municipal Commissioners at Cocanada, monthly, of Rs 120, Port Funds debited with the amount.

3. Credit should not have been given in the accounts to "Medical Services - Provincial", of the amount debited to Port Funds, as there was no intention on the part of Government to take this to do so.

No.

The Collector of the Godavery District.
— " — Accountant General
— " — Master Attendant, Madras.

Govt. of {1872} Madras.

Financial

To

The Collector of the Godavery Dt.

Dated 2 Oct. 9.
Recd. } 1872, No. 644.

Nos. 71. 73.

Stating that the Municl. Commrs. of ~~Coconada~~ will not be req.d to refund the amount of the grant paid to them for the Seamen's Hospital at Coconada, as it was not, as supposed, drawn twice over.

12/12/72