

(1) Number of pages 1-12(2) Date of repair 19-10-39(3) Initials of the Referencer Q

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<u>67</u>	Press
	<u>1-2</u>	Mis.
Dated	<u>3-2-</u>	<u>1870.</u>

1-26/7Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
3rd February 1870.*

- No. 1. Read the following letter from G. H. M. BATTEN, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Fort William, 5th January 1870, No. 8.

In acknowledging the receipt under Mr. Stokes' endorsement, No. 621, of the 16th December 1869, of the statement of gratuities sanctioned by the Government of Madras in the Public Department, during the quarter ended the 30th September 1869, I am directed to request that, with the permission of His Excellency the Governor in Council, you will be good enough to explain fully the purport of the remark against entry No. 154 of the statement. It is said that the gratuity of Rupees 45 to K. N. Ravu has been granted in accordance with the ruling of this Department in Resolution No. 2116, dated 8th April 1868; but as that Resolution refers to the question of the refund of gratuity in certain cases, it is not clear what bearing it has upon the present case.

2. Referring to entry No. 120 of the statement, I am to inquire whether there is not some clerical error either in the period of service of D. Santoji, or in the amount of gratuity shown against his name; for whereas it appears from the remark appertaining to the item that a lower amount of gratuity than is admissible has been granted, the amount shown is more than is admissible, with reference to the stated period of service.

- No. 2. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated Fort Saint George, 3rd February 1870, No. 67.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Under Secretary Batten's letter, No. 8, dated 5th January 1870, calling for explanation respecting certain items in the statement of gratuities for the quarter ending 30th September 1869. The following explanation will, it is believed, be found satisfactory:—

2. K. Narasinga Row, whose case is first adverted to, was thrown out of employ by reduction of establishment in 1861, and received a gratuity. He was re-entertained on the permanent establishment in August 1865, and the new rules being then in force he should, to regain his former service, have refunded on re-entertainment the gratuity which he received in 1861. He did not do so, and was held ineligible to pension, but was granted a gratuity under the new rules for his permanent service subsequent to August 1865. The letter of the Government of India of the 8th April 1868, which contains a concise summary of the rules regarding refund of gratuities, was, in passing, referred to in the order, and was erroneously entered in the statement prepared by the Accountant-General as the ruling under which the gratuity was granted.

3. With regard to the peon Santoji the statement and the orders of this Government from which it was compiled contain a clerical error. The correct period of service is twenty years, eight months, and four days, not nineteen years, ten months, and twenty days. The error arose from the Collector having twice deducted, in column six of the register, the period during which the applicant was under suspension, and the wrong period was inadvertently taken when the order was being made out, and thence transferred to the Accountant-General's statement.

(True Extract).

J. I. MINCHIN,
Acting Chief Secretary.

Exd. J. Wright.

it is not clear what bearing it has

No. 8.

3

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Fort St. George.

Financial Departt. Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt
under Mr. Stokes' Encomendment No. 621 of the
16th December 1869, of the Statement of
Gratuities sanctioned by the Government
of Madras in the Public Department -
during the quarter ended the 30th Sept 69,
I am directed to request that, with the
permission of His Excellency the Governor
in Council, you will be good enough
to explain fully the purport of the
remark against entry No. 154 of the
Statement. It is said that the gratuity
of Rs 45 to K. A. Ravi has been granted
in accordance with the ruling of this Dept.
in Resolution No. 2116 dated 8 April 1868 but
as that Resolution refers to the question
of the refund of gratuity in certain cases,
it is not clear what bearing it has upon
the

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT

1870.

From

The Acting Chief Secy. to Government,
Fort St. George.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Financial Department.

Dated 3rd February 1870.

Recd.

No. 67.
Enclosure.

FEBRUARY.

Nos. 1-2.

Furnishing explanation called for respecting
certain items in the statement of gratuities for the
quarter ending 30th September 1869.

the present case.

2.- Referring to entry No. 120 of the Statement, I am to enquire whether there is not some clerical error - either in the period of service of D. Daulton or in the amount of gratuity shown against his name, for where - as it appears from the remark appertaining to the item, that a lower amount of gratuity than is admissible has been granted, the amount shown is more than is admissible, with reference to the stated period of service.

Fort William } I have the honor to be,
the 5 January } Sir,
1870. } Your most Obedient Servant,

J M Dalton
Under Secy. to the Govt of India

Dec 5/1

Financial
Revenue Department

No. 67

To

The Secretary to the Government
of India.

Financial Department.

Sir,

I am directed
to acknowledge the receipt
of Mr. Under Secretary
Batten's letter No. 8 dated
5th Jan^y 1870, calling for
explanation respecting
certain items in the
Statement of gratuities
for the quarter ending
30th Sept^r 1869. The following
explanation will it is
believed be found satis-
factory.

2. H. Narasinga Rao
whose case is first adverted
to, was thrown out of employ
by reduction of establish-
ment in 1864, and received
a gratuity. He was
re-entertained

Received 1870 Dec 18th
The Secy to Govt of India

1870

(St. Secy)

The Under Secretary to

the Govt of India

Recd 1870 January 1870.

Requesting full explanation
of the tenures made a grant to
entry 1864 of the gratuity
Statement forwarded for the
Quarter ending 30th Sept^r 1869.

Explanation respecting
 certain items in the
 State of Georgia
 furnished to the Govt of
 Iowa.

186

Department

No.

To

The Secretary to the
 Government of

Department

Dated

186

C: 26 Jan'y 70
 R 22 Feb'y

1889
Financial DEPARTMENT.

From
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

To
The Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Financial Department.

Dated Feb. 3. 1889.
Recd.

No. 67.
Enclosure.

1. 2.

Furnishing Explanation
called for respecting cer-
tain items in the statement
of gratuities for the quarter
ending 30 September 1889.