M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 8

(2) Date of repair 26-10-39

(3) Initials of the Reference

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 279 Press Mis.

29-31.

Dated 22-6-1911.

7/8

29-31

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
22nd June 1870.

Read again Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Pension Department, dated 4th October 1866 and 27th July 1869, Nos. 322 and 223.

No. 29. Read also the following letter from P. SAMI MOODELLY, late Javabnavis in the Madras Collector's Office, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated —— :—

I beg most respectfully to submit the enclosed Memorial to the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India, and humbly request that the same may be transmitted to its address, with your favourable recommendation, at an early date.

To

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA.

The humble Memorial of P. SAMI MOODELLY,
late Javabnavis in the Madras Collectorate.

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

That, having entered the public service in 1827, he was thrown out of employ by revision of establishment in 1838, and that in 1842 he re-entered the service, but had the misfortune to be again thrown out of employ by the same cause in 1860.

2. That, on the occasion of the first loss of his appointment, your petitioner received a gratuity of Rupees 210.

3. That, when he was thrown out of employ on the second occasion, he endeavoured to obtain a pension for his entire service of twenty-nine years, seven months, and six days, by refunding the gratuity which he had received in 1838; but that the Madras Government felt itself precluded from allowing the refund of gratuity, and accordingly sanctioned to your petitioner a further gratuity of Rupees 125.

4. That your petitioner had thus altogether received a gratuity of Rupees 335 for his service of twenty-nine years, seven months, and six days.

5. That the claim of your petitioner to a pension was based on an order of the Supreme Government passed in September 1860, allowing the grant of pensions to public servants discharged from the public service through revision of establishment, and who had served for thirty years or more, without the production of a medical certificate, and which rule was subsequently in November 1862 made applicable to the cases of servants who had served for twenty years. The Madras Government also recommended your petitioner to the Government of India for the grant of a pension.

6. That the Government of India have, however, declined to grant your petitioner a pension on the ground that at the time when he was finally discharged from the public service, viz., May 1860, no rules existed for the grant of pensions to servants thrown out of employment on reduction of establishment. In other words, the Government of India declined to give retrospective effect to their order of September 1860 in the case of your petitioner.

7. That, looking to the fact of your petitioner having twice lost his employment through reduction of establishment, he has not been treated in that liberal spirit which has been exercised towards other public servants who have been placed in the same situation as your Memorialist. For instance, in May 1863 the Supreme Government of India was pleased to sanction the grant of a one-third pension to Mr. J. F. Martin who was thrown out of employ in consequence of the abolition of his appointment, and who had served for twenty-eight years, by allowing retrospective effect being given to the rule which allowed of the grant of pensions, without production of a medical certificate, to persons who have served for twenty years. Under the same rule, and by giving it retrospective effect, a one-third pension was also sanctioned by the Government of India to S. Lukshuman Row who had served for twenty-four years, eleven months, and twenty-nine days. Your petitioner begs most respectfully to submit that, with these precedents before them, the Government of India have not fairly considered his claim to a pension, seeing that he has served

longer than either of the two individuals above referred to, viz., twenty-nine years, seven months, and six days.

8. That petitioner begs to submit that, while he was discharged the public service on 17th May 1860, the rule granting pensions to Uncovenanted Servants thrown out of employ by reduction of establishment, without production of a medical certificate, was first introduced in September 1860, or about four months subsequent to his discharge. The interval between the cessation of Mr. Martin's and Lakshuman Row's respective service and the date of the ruling in question was much greater, and yet retrospective effect was given to the ruling in their cases, but has been denied in that of your petitioner's.

9. That your petitioner, therefore, prays that your Grace will consider his case favourably, and, with reference to the fact that the loss of his appointment is attributable to no fault of his, that your Grace will be pleased to authorize the grant to him of a pension equal to one-half of his salary, (on refund of the gratuities which he has received,) with reference to the notification recently published in the *Fort Saint George Gazette*, dated 2nd November 1869, page 585.

In 1859 a half-rate pension was granted to Mr. Ritchie, an employé in the Office of the Board of Revenue, for a service of twenty-eight years and nine months, and in the same year a pension equal to one-half his salary was granted for a service of twenty-eight years and seven months to Rungiah, a clerk in the Military Department of the Government Secretariat. In both these cases, as your Grace will observe, the qualifying periods of service fell far more short of the required period, viz., thirty years, in order to obtain a half-pension than the service of your petitioner, since his service was but only four months and twenty-four days short of thirty years. Your petitioner begs respectfully that the boon conferred on other servants, who, through revision of establishment have lost their appointments, may be extended to him, and that he may be granted the pension, to which his long service entitles him, a service which would soon have completed the required time of thirty years, but for his being thrown out of employ by an act of public emergency.

And he shall ever pray.

(Signed) P. SAMI MOODELLY.

No. 14. ORDER THEREON, 22nd June 1870, No. 279.

Ordered that the following letter be addressed to the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department :—

(Here enter, 22nd June 1870, No. 280.)

2. Petitioner will be informed that his petition has been forwarded to the Secretary of State, through the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

R. S. ELLIS,
Chief Secretary.

Ext. J. Wright.

3 1

To

The Honorable R. S. Ellis Esq.
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George.

Honored Sir

I beg most respectfully to submit the enclosed Memorial to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India and humbly request that the same may be transmitted to its address with your favorable recommendation, at an early date.

I beg to remain
Honored Sir
Your most obedient Servant
P Sammy
late Sawabnavis in the
Office of the Collector of
Madras District.

Financial Department

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read ~~the following letter~~ again
Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Financial Department dated 4th October 1866 & 27th July 1869
Nos 322 & 223.

Read also the following
Petition from P. Sami Pundit
dt. 990 of 1869

Order thereon 22d June 1870, No 279

Ordered that the
following letter be
addressed to the Secretary
to the Government of
India, Financial Dept.

dt. 28th June 1870, No 280

L. D.S.

2 Petitioner will be
informed that his
petition has been for-
warded to the Secretary
of State, through the
Government of India.

Memo to the Petitioner

Govt. of {1870} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

P. SAMI MOODELLY,
Late Jamabeavis of the Madras
Collector's Office.

Dated June, 22nd.

Read. Rs.

G. O., 22nd June 1870, No. 279.

JUNE.
Nos. 29—31.

Directing that his memorial, asking to be allowed to refund certain gratuities, and to be granted a pension in lieu of them, be forwarded to the Government of India.

the Govt.

Judicial 1870 Dept
No 990 of 1870
From 27 June 70.
From P Sunny
Mudaly Esq
Jawabnevis in
the Madras collr
Pulicherry
Dated Rd 21 4 70
Regd 2 May 1870
Nos Enclosure

Submitting here
with a memorial
addressed to the
Right Honorable
the Secretary of
State for India
prays that the
same may be
transmitted to
the said authority
with the recom
mendation of

a 8/b.
1 29.—

Govt. of {18 } Madras.

Jud.

To

P. Saung Esqr
Late Secretary of the
Board of Collection Affrs

Dated 22 June } 18 70, No. 279
Recd.

Nos. 29 – 87

Directing that Lieu
Cumming be not allowed to refund certain
Patuties & be
granted a pension in
lieu of them, he for-
warded to the Govt.
of India

C. 8. June