M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 28

(2) Date of repair 26-10-39

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 204	Press / ~~Mis.~~
21-22	
Dated 21-5- 1920.	

14/15

21-22

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department, 21*st May* 1870.

No. 21. Read the following Despatch from the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor-General of India in Council, dated India Office, London, 24th March 1870, No. 21, (Revenue) :—

I have had under my consideration in Council the Despatch from your Excellency in Council, numbered 13, Financial, and dated the 11th of January, with which you transmit the memorial of one Codoor Seetaramiah, with the recommendation of the Madras Government. Your Government supports the view of the Local Government, and "recommends that the memorialist be allowed to retain for his life such a share of the pension" which he claims "as he would have enjoyed, if it had been, after the death of his father, divided equally between himself and his brother."

2. I approve this decision.

No. 306.

Ordered that a copy of the above be forwarded to the Government of Fort Saint George, with reference to its letter, dated 25th October 1869, No. 496 ; also to the Accountant-General, Madras.

(By order, &c.)

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT,
SIMLA, 9*th May* 1870.

(Signed) G. H. M. BATTEN,
Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

No. 22. ORDER THEREON, 21st May 1870, No. 204.


Communicated to C. Seetaramiah, with reference to his memorial, dated the 9th September 1869, and also to the Pension Department.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To C. Seetaramiah.
To the Pension Department.
„ Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.



TO

HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF ARGYLL, K. T. & G. C. B.,
Her Majesty's Principal Secretary of State
for India, London.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF COODOOR SEETARAMIAH, THE SURVIVING YOUNGER GRANDSON OF THE LATE C. SAWMY BRAMINY, HEAD MANAGER AND RECORD-KEEPER OF THE LATE BOARD OF TRADE OFFICE.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

That your Petitioner begs to submit for favorable consideration the following circumstances:—These circumstances are of a rather old date; but your Petitioner trusts, that as they relate to an act of grave wrong to the descendant of an old and faithful servant of the State, they will not the less commend themselves to your Grace's best attention, and that your Grace will enter fully into the merits of the question now submitted for your high adjudication.

2. That your Petitioner would have submitted the present Appeal long previously, but that being compelled to earn a livelihood for the maintenance of himself and his own large family, and that of his late Brother, which has fallen on his hands, and having in vain appealed to the local authorities and to the Madras Government, and consequently not having any expectation of his prayer finding a hearing in so distant a tribunal as that over which your Grace presides, your Petitioner had neither opportunity nor the heart to submit his case.—But now, hearing that your Grace and the Government of His Excellency Lord Napier are ready and willing to hear the complaints of the people of the country, however poor the addressers of those complaints may be, your Petitioner has been encouraged to come before your Grace, in the fervent expectation of receiving justice at your hands.

3. That your Petitioner's abovementioned Grandfather C. Sawmy Braminy entered the service of the late Honorable the Court of Directors at an early age in the year 1785 in the Office of the Board of Trade. That in 1795 he was appointed Deputy Manager, and three years afterwards, in 179[illegible], he was appointed Head Manager in the Office, which embraced the Sea Customs and Marine Departments as well as the Commercial. That he spent the best period of his life in the discharge of the multifarious, onerous and responsible duties which devolved upon him as being in charge of so large an Office, and that his service extended over 41 years, or nearly half a century, during the greater portion of which time, as will be observed by the annexed Testimonials, he rendered efficient, faithful, and valuable services in the capacity of Head Native Servant and Record-keeper of that Board's Office.

4. That after a service of the above-mentioned period, the Board, in recognition of the high character borne by your Petitioner's Grandfather, and of the services

rendered by him, deemed it necessary to mark their estimation of such services by a public reward being accorded to your Petitioner's Grandfather.

5. That with this view, the Board recommended to Government that your Petitioner's Grandfather should receive a shrotrium, like other native public servants, who had rendered services of similar character. The Honorable the Court of Directors at first considered a pecuniary emolument a sufficient reward; but your Petitioner's Grandfather sought for a more substantial prize, viz. the grant of land under shrotrium tenure. The Board of Trade therefore re-submitted his case with a strong recommendation that your Petitioner's Grandfather's prayer may be complied with, and the Government, in acknowledging the receipt of that recommendation, recorded the following Resolution :—"It will afford the Right Honorable the Governor in Council pleasure to bring to the favorable notice of the Honorable the Court of Directors your renewed testimony to the long and valuable services of Codoor Sawmy Braminy, the Head Native Servant in your Office, with a recommendation that the Honorable the Court of Directors may sanction the grant of a *shrotrium* to him for *his own* and *two succeeding lives.*"

20th September 1819.

18th October 1819.

6. That pending this reference to the Honorable the Court of Directors and subject to their confirmation, the Government allowed your Petitioner's Grandfather to select a village and to enter into immediate possession. That the village selected was Nagalaporum in the District of North Arcot. That your Petitioner's Grandfather was unable to enter into possession in consequence of a discussion between the Board of Trade and the Government as to the remission to be made from the revenues of the village after deducting the usual expense of collection, and which the Board fixed at two-thirds of the rent, calculated to be about 750 Pagodas per annum; but to which the Government objected. The Government therefore permitted him to select a village of lower rent, from the amount of which they stated authority would be issued for a remission being allowed equal to 375 Pagodas per annum.

7. That in the meanwhile a Despatch was received from the Honorable the Court of Directors, stating "The reasons which you have stated in favor of Codoor Sawmy Braminy have disposed us to concur with you in the *mode* of showing your sense of his meritorious services." It will thus be observed that the local Government recommended the grant of *land* to your Petitioner's Grandfather on *shrotrium tenure* for his own and two lives, and that the Honorable the Court of Directors confirmed the same; that *this* was the reward which the long and faithful services of your Petitioner's Grandfather obtained for himself and his heirs; and your Petitioner respectfully begs to submit that the subsequent change in the nature of the grant which will be presently detailed, could not be altered by any means in respect to the number of lives for which that grant was to be enjoyed. Had your Petitioner's Grandfather entered into possession of the shrotrium, it would have passed to your Petitioner's Father, and after him to his *two* sons, *both* being considered as *one* life, viz. your Petitioner and his late Brother, and your Petitioner further submits that the grant substituted for the land on shrotrium tenure should have in all equity been governed by the same principle, viz. that it should be enjoyed for three lives.

10th September 1823.

8. That before the question of the selection by your Petitioner's Grandfather of a village of lower rent could be determined, your Petitioner's Grandfather died, on 20th June 1826, without having enjoyed the boon which his long, faithful, and meritorious services had gained for him; but in the firm belief that that boon would have been handed down to his son and his heirs after him for *two* lives.

9. That instead of land on shrotrium tenure being granted to your Petitioner's Father C. Soobaramiah, the son of the abovementioned C. Sawmy Braminy, the Board of Trade recommended "That a sum equal to the grant of Pagodas 375 per annum from 19th April 1820 (to the date of your Petitioner's Grandfather's demise), be considered as the property of the Estate, and that during the lifetime of the widow, it be placed in public securities for her benefit, and at her death, made over to her son or to the surviving heir of the family at the time, and that the son should have the option of selecting a village on the terms of the shrotrium granted to his father for two lives (his own and his son's), or of receiving on the same two lives the grant of an annuity of 375 Pagodas," and this the Government sanctioned in Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 101, dated 8th May 1827.

10. That your Grace will here observe that both the Board and the Government were in error in stating that the shrotrium granted to your Petitioner's Grandfather was for two lives, viz. for his own and his son's, whereas from the recommendation of the Board in 1819, and of the Government and the confirmation of the Honorable the Court of Directors in 1823 quoted above in paras. 5 and 7, it will be observed that the shrotrium was to be for the life of your Petitioner's Grandfather and two succeeding lives, and therefore it is submitted that the annuity of 375 Pagodas should have been similarly granted.

11. That your Petitioner's Father was the first of the two succeeding lives after your Petitioner's Grandfather, and that after your Petitioner's Father, the second or succeeding life consisted of your Petitioner's elder brother and himself, and that therefore, on the demise of your Petitioner's elder Brother in 1856, the annuity should have been continued to your Petitioner. It is true that in accepting the bounty of Government, as recorded in Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 101, dated 8th May 1827, your Petitioner's Father and Grandmother, in their letter to the Commercial Superintendent, dated 18th May 1827, did declare the impracticability of taking charge of a village, and therefore expressed their willingness to receive an annuity of 375 Pagodas during the life of your Petitioner's Father and of his son Soobbaroyloo (your Petitioner's late elder brother); yet your Petitioner submits that such acceptance could not have been intended to destroy or set aside the terms upon which the grant to your Petitioner's Grandfather was originally made :—that grant was made for his own and two succeeding lives, and the mere mention of your Petitioner's Brother's *name* could not limit the bounty of Government to his life, while your Petitioner was surviving.

12. The late Rae-Rae Row Kundy Nursing Row, Head Sheristadar of the Board of Revenue, had three sons, and he desired the second life pension to be drawn by his eldest son or eldest surviving son, but his naming such son could not destroy the title of his other sons to participate in the second life pension. The eldest surviving son was the head of the family according to the Hindu Law, and as such he was appointed to draw the second life pension, and to hand over to each of his brothers their respective shares; so your Petitioner's Father named his eldest son as the person to receive the second life pension on behalf of the family, on whom the bounty of Government was bestowed in recognition of your Petitioner's Grandfather's long and faithful services, but he by no means thereby destroyed your Petitioner's right to succeed to the pension after the demise of his eldest son. Your Petitioner submits that the argument urged by the Government is therefore totally incorrect, opposed to law and their own practice.

Vide Proceedings of Government, No. 381, 1st December 1866, Revenue Department.

13. That on the demise of your Petitioner's elder Brother in 1856, your Petitioner applied to the Government of Madras for the continuance to him of the annuity; but the Government of Madras, basing their decision on the erroneous statement of the Board of Trade in their letter quoted above, dated 12th July 1826, declared the claim to be altogether inadmissible, and lapsed *in toto*; stating that your Petitioner's Father had elected to take the annuity, and had expressly named his son Soobbaroyloo for the second life, and that the allowance having been therefore strictly limited to the first grantee and his late son C. Soobbaroyloo, had ceased. But your Petitioner respectfully submits that this decision of Government is incorrect, as when there are two or three sons of a first grantee, the second life includes all those sons who succeed to the grant in order of survivorship. This is in accordance with various rulings of Government. There are many that can be cited, but the following will, your Petitioner believes, suffice to establish his position.

1*st*.—In Extract Minutes of Consultation 20th October 1848, Revenue Department, No. 1155, Para. 25, it is ruled as follows:—"The Governor in Council "having therefore in view the instructions of the Honorable Court, conceives that "under the Hindu Law C. Vencata Lutchmiah being the first life, his three sons (an "undivided Hindu family) would be regarded and are the second life; and that C. Ven- "catapatty, whether he was formally adopted by his deceased uncle C. Vencatasoobiah "or not, will be the third life, if he survives his father and living uncle; and under the "authority given to this Government by the Honorable Court's Despatch of the 19th "January 1848, No. 2, resolves thus to recognize the succession of the family to the "shrotrium."

2*nd*.—In Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 20th December 1851, Para. 3, in deciding on the case of the Wootoocaudoo shrotrium, the Government ruled as follows:—"The Honorable the Court of Directors have ordered that the suc- "cession to this shrotrium be regulated on the same principle as was applied to the "shrotrium of Tinnanore, that is, that all the sons of the original grantee be regarded "as the second of the three heirs, and all their sons as the third."

14. That not only have Government considered the second life to embrace all the sons of the first grantee, but they have also allowed second life grants to be split up into annuities among the persons constituting the second life, as in the case of Shashagiri Row, late pensioned Sheristadar of Trichinopoly (vide Proceedings of Government No. 382, dated 3rd February 1866.)

15. Under these circumstances your Petitioner feels persuaded that your Grace will not interpret the act of liberality shewn to your Petitioner's Grandfather by the narrow rules which guide a lawyer in the construction of a deed. Your Grace will, your Petitioner feels assured, look rather at the nature of the reward promised to an old and faithful servant, and take care that the spirit of the promise is carried out in spite of mere technical objections. Your Grace will observe that the promise made to your Petitioner's Grandfather was a *shrotrium* for *his own and his two succeeding lives*, which according to the interpretation put upon, and rulings of the late Honorable the Court of Directors in similar grants, would have included two descents; and it is evident that the intention of the Government of the day was that the annuity should be enjoyed under the same terms as the shrotrium would have been, that is to say, for the support of your Petitioner's Grandfather and his family for two succeeding generations, and not of any individual member of that family.

16. And your Petitioner therefore prays that your Grace, taking into consideration the spirit of the grant, will accord to your Petitioner the continuance of the annuity which has been erroneously withheld from him.

17. Your Petitioner is the only surviving son of his Father, and according to the course of nature, 49 years have elapsed since the sanction of the grant. Your Petitioner, who is now about 47 years of age, cannot long enjoy the bounty of Government. Your Petitioner is burdened with a large family of his own, and with the maintenance of the surviving members of his late Brother's family, consisting altogether of twenty souls, including your Petitioner's aged mother, 72 years old; and your Petitioner and the various members of his own and his Brother's family are suffering the greatest distress in consequence of the scanty means which your Petitioner is able to earn for their maintenance and support, and therefore he humbly and earnestly entreats that your Grace, in consideration of the recognized and valuable services of your Petitioner's Grandfather, will kindly cause to be continued to your Petitioner the bounty which your Petitioner's Grandfather earned at the hands of Government, and which was promised to be given for his own and two succeeding lives, of the last of which your Petitioner is the only surviving one, and as such entitled to receive the same; and your Petitioner, as in duty bound,

WILL EVER PRAY.

Madras-9th September 1869

Seetaramiah

Commercial Department.

To Coodoor Sawmy Braminy.

Para. 1st.—In reply to your Address dated the 9th August last, I am directed by the Board of Trade to furnish you with the accompanying copy of a Letter from Government in the Commercial Department, stating that your claims to a grant of land in shrotreum tenure will be brought to the favorable notice of the Honorable Court of Directors.

2nd.—A copy of the late Secretary's report, and one of the letters dispatched to Government in consequence are also furnished to you.

Madras,
Board of Trade Office,
25th October, 1819.

By order of the Board of Trade.
(Signed) J. W. PYBUS.
Secretary.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Trade, dated 28th September 1819.

On laying before the Board the following report from the late Secretary Mr. Gwatkin, together with the Address from the Head Native Servant in the Board's Office, to which it relates, the Secretary hopes that he may be permitted to enter his testimony in support of those claims, that are now again submitted to the Board's consideration.

The character which the Manager Sawmy Braminy has acquired for fidelity and assiduity, is so strongly recorded on the Board's Proceedings, that it might be considered unnecessary to enter into further testimony respecting them, but while the period of time during which, as Deputy Secretary, the services of this individual have been within Mr. Pybus's immediate knowledge, will be considered as attaching some little weight to his testimony, he feels it a public duty to state to the Board that during the six years he has been in their office, the conduct of Coodoor Sawmy Braminy has been highly meritorious; and that the uniform assiduity and perseverance which has called forth those marked commendations which stand on the Board's Proceedings, has been so continued as to authorize the record of that further tribute of testimony, which a subsequent unremitted attention of three more years has necessarily earned.

Mr. Gwatkin's Report.

On leaving the office of Secretary, I take the liberty of submitting to the Board a letter from Coodoor Sawmy Braminy, Head Native Manager. The principal circumstances stated in the letter are already known to the Board; there is, however, one point upon which I would beg leave to submit a few remarks. The Braminy's employment in the public service commenced in the year 1785; in 1795 he was appointed Deputy Manager in this office, and since 1798, he has been charged with the duties of Head Manager, extending to the Sea Custom and Marine Departments, as well as the Commercial. It was only in the year 1814 that the Commercial Department became a distinct branch of the Honorable Company's establishment: consequently, his general claim for long services, upon the footing of all public native servants holding situations of trust and responsibility, extends to the whole time prior to 1814, a period of nineteen years; and since 1814 his claims on the bounty of Government have increased not less in the Marine and Sea Custom than in the Commercial. In deciding upon the representation submitted to the Honorable the Court of Directors in favour of the Head Native Manager, they stated "that a grant on shrotreum tenure being not a lease for pecuniary consideration or an equitable rent, but a reduction of the full assessment or an assignment of a portion of the land revenue: we consider such a mode of remunerating commercial servants wholly inadmissible under the new system of keeping distinct accounts of commercial and political expenditure." It is clear that the foundation of the Braminy's claim was substantially laid for services performed prior to the new system, and that the portion of those services, to which the greatest consideration is due, belongs to a period which, under the rules which then obtained, would allow of his being a candidate for the distinguished mark of public approbation and favour which he solicits. I would beg to add that a grant in favour of the Braminy could not assume the character of a precedent, as there is no native officer in the commercial line whose situation is similar. To the repeated Testimonials which the Braminy holds from the several Secretaries under whom he has served I can add nothing, unless it be to certify that from the date of the latest his diligence, care, and general good conduct in the office up to this time, entitle him to a continuation of the sentiments which have been successively recorded in his favour.

(Signed) J. GWATKIN,
late Secretary.

August 17th, 1819.

Resolved that the services of the Head Native Manager in the office are deserving of that support from the Board to which the new light in which they have been placed by Mr. Gwatkin's report, and the further testimony now permitted to be recorded, entitles them. Ordered in consequence that a letter, according to the following draft, be dispatched.

To the Chief Secretary to Government,

Fort St. George.

Sir,—In again venturing to lay before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the claim to public reward of Coodoor Sawmy Braminy, the Head Native Servant in their Office, the Board of Trade direct me to premise that had not the services of this individual been placed in some point of view far different to that in which they have been before submitted to the Government and the Court of Directors (and been decided upon by the latter) the Board would not have been induced to make his claims the subject of further representation.

2nd.—Entitled however as Coodoor Sawmy Braminy's past fidelity is to some mark of public favor, and debarred therefrom as his nominal situation has induced the Honorable Court to consider him, the Board on the present occasion solicit the attention of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to the arguments in his favor urged in the accompanying copy of an Address from the late Secretary to the Board, Mr. Gwatkin; giving cover to the further Appeal which he has been made by the subject of this communication.

3rd.—The distinct manner in which the late Secretary has stated the claims of the Manager as grounded on a service of nineteen years previous to the separation of the accounts of the Territorial and Commercial expenditure (upon which alone the Honorable Court have rejected the claim to a grant in shotreum tenure) appears to the Board to be entitled to every consideration; and to this distinction, may be added that, notwithstanding the separation adverted to, the services of this public servant have all along been availed of in the Territorial, or, in other words, the Custom and Marine Departments.

4th.—The Board are induced to hope that upon the foregoing explanation the Right Honorable the Governor in Council will be pleased again to bring the subject to the notice of the Honorable Court of Directors for their favorable consideration, well convinced that where faithful and long services are clearly substantiated, the Honorable Court will feel disposed to extend to the individual rendering them such reward as it may be possible to grant; and which, in the case in question, appears to be one of more nominal gratification than pecuniary emolument: and the hope of obtaining which, in common with his contemporaries who are now enjoying that reward for which he presents himself a candidate, has probably been the incentive to those exertions which have proved so extensively beneficial to the Department in which the individual has served.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) J. B. PYBUS,
Secretary.

Madras;
Board of Trade Office,
28th September 1819.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Trade, dated 25th October 1819.

To the President and Members of the Board of Trade.

Gentlemen,—I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your Secretary's letter of the 28th ultimo (220), and to state that it will afford the Right Honorable the Governor in Council pleasure to bring to the favourable notice of the Honorable the Court of Directors your renewed testimony to the long and valuable services of Coodoor Sawmy Braminy the Head Native Servant in your Office, with a recommendation that the Honorable the Court of Directors many sanction the grant of a shrotrium to him for his own and two succeeding lives. It will be explained to the Honorable Court that, in the event of their compliance, the remission of revenue made in favour of the Braminy will be debited to the Commercial Department.

I have the honor to be,
Gentlemen,
Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) D. HILL,
Secretary to Government.

Fort Saint George,
18th October 1819.

(True Copies)

(Signed) J. B. PYBUS,
Secretary.

The following is a Pass-Port given by the Town Major of Fort Saint George to Coodoor Sawmy Braminy, for the use of Palankeen to pass and re-pass the Garrison Gates.

FORM No. 1.

PASS-PORT,

Permit the undermentioned person to pass and re-pass the Garrison Gates in his Palankeen until futher orders.

No.	Name.	Occupation.	Remarks.
	C. Sawmy.	Braminy, Head Writer.	Board of Trade Office.

Town Major's Office;
Fort Saint George,
24th November 1810.

(Signed) H. BARJEAN,
Town Major.

(11)

Rec'd 22239/69

Answered 16th Dec 69

1 Rev. 2275 To 16th Dec 69.

(12)

Madras 9th September 1869.

His Excellency The Right Honorable
Lionel Lord Napier Baron Napier K.T.
Governor of Madras.
&c. &c. &c.

My Lord,

I respectfully beg to forward herewith a Copy of a Memorial to the Home Government, which I am submitting in the usual course, and to solicit that your Excellency will be so good as to accord to the Statements and arguments therein contained your Excellency's best consideration and if satisfied with the truth of the former and the justness of the latter, I trust your Excellency will be so good as to afford me your best help in the matter.

I beg to remain
My Lord
your Excellency's most obedient
and humble Servant
Ctee Taramuck.

No 2275 of 1869

From C. Seetaramiah
grandson of the
late C. Sanny Bra
mmy Head Ma-
nager & Record
Keeper of the late
Board of Trade
office residing at
Madras

Dated 9th September 1869
Recd 16th
1 enclosure

Vide No 2250 of 1869.

Ino
22 Sept
13th Oct 1869

(19)

To

The Honorable R. S Ellis C.S.
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Memorial to the Home Government respecting the continuance to me of the Annuity which was granted on account of the Shotrium originally sanctioned to my Grand father for 3 lives and to request you will be so good as to submit the same to Government for transmission and I earnestly hope that for the reasons set forth in the Memorial my prayer will meet with the best support of Government.

I beg to remain
Sir
your most obedient & humble Servant.
P Seetaramiah.

Madras
9th September 1869

Read
2239
13 Sept 69

Madras 9th September 1869

To

W. R. Mohan Esquire
Under-Secretary to the Government of
Fort Saint George.

Honored Sir,

I respectfully beg to forward herewith a Copy of a Memorial to the Home Government, which I am submitting on the usual Course and to solicit that you will be so good as to accord to the Statements and arguments therein contained your best Consideration, and if satisfied with the truth of the former and the justness of the latter, I trust you will be so good as to afford me your best help in the matter.

I beg to remain,
Honored Sir,
your most obedient humble
Servant.
Seetaramiah.

Rec'd 22 Sept 1869
Transmitted to the Secretary
Examiner Calculating
at Madras.
Dated 9th September 1869
Received 13th October 1869
Enclosure.

Submitting herewith a memorial addressed to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, soliciting certain concessions to him of the pension granted to his grandfather in Elder of the Khoreem and the grandfather's pension to his mother.

To Right Honorable

No 2239 of 1869

From C. Sitaramiah
grandson of the late
Head Manager of the
late Board of trade
office. Madras.

Dated 9 Sept 13 } Sept 1869

1 Encl

Vide No 2250 of 1869.

Vide G. O. Fin Depart
of 21st May 1870. No 204.

No 204 of 21st May 70.

Communicated to C. Seetaramiah
with reference to his memorial dated
the 9th Sept. 1869., and to the Postal
Department.

To C. Seetaramiah.
Ho Postal Department
" Accountant General

Copy of Memorial from C. Seetaramiah
to Chief Secretary to Government
dated 9th Sept 1869.

Requesting that he may be
appointed to the Grant of Krishna
Seetaramiah for a certain
Share of the Pattam Village

Vide Letter dated 30th June

15-2-12

Govt. of [1870] Madras.

Fwd.

C. Sattianaden

Dated May. 21

Rect.

Ansd.

G. O. 21 May. 1870. No. 204

Nos. 21. 22.

Forwg. letter from the Govt. of India sanctioning the grant to Codoor Sattianaden of a certain Share of his late father's Stipend.